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Methodology:
This poll was conducted between February 4-February 5, 2020 among a national sample of 2197 Adults. The interviews were conducted online and the data were weighted to approximate a target sample of Adults based on age, educational attainment, gender, race, and region. Results from the full survey have a margin of error of plus or minus 2 percentage points.
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2 Table LX2a_1: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election? Campaigning
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6 Table LX2a_5: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election? Standing up for her policies

7 Table LX2a_6: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election? Uniting the country

8 Table LX2a_7: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election? Appealing to working class voters

9 Table LX2a_8: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election? Appealing to male voters .
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14 Table LX2b_1: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election? Campaigning

15 Table LX2b_2: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election? Debate performance
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23 Table LX2b_10: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election? Appealing to swing voters .

24 Table LX2b_11: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election? Appealing to young voters .

25 Table LX2b_12: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election? Working with the media . .
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35 Table LX4_5: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues? National security
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39 Table LX4_9: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues? Jobs and the economy

Table LX5: Even if neither is exactly correct, which of the following comes closer to your opinion? 133
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## Crosstabulation Results by Respondent Demographics

Table LX1: As you may know, the House of Representatives has impeached President Donald Trump and there is an ongoing trial in the Senate to determine whether or not to convict and remove him from office. Has the Senate impeachment trial made you:

| Demographic | More likely to re-elect President Trump this year |  | Less likely to re-elect President Trump this year |  | Neither more nor less likely to re-elect President Trump this year |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $32 \%$ | (709) | 36\% | (790) | $32 \%$ | (699) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 37\% | (393) | 35\% | (368) | 28\% | (299) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 28\% | (316) | 37\% | (421) | 35\% | (399) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 18\% | (81) | 48\% | (214) | $34 \%$ | (155) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 29\% | (162) | 35\% | (195) | 36\% | (204) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | $37 \%$ | (120) | $31 \%$ | (100) | 33\% | (108) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 40\% | (168) | $31 \%$ | (129) | 30\% | (125) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | $41 \%$ | (178) | 35\% | (151) | 25\% | (107) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | $14 \%$ | (28) | 50\% | (104) | $36 \%$ | (75) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 25\% | (146) | 40\% | (232) | 35\% | (200) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 34\% | (189) | $31 \%$ | (175) | 35\% | (192) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 39\% | (296) | $33 \%$ | (248) | 28\% | (212) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 5\% | (38) | 68\% | (506) | 27\% | (205) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 22\% | (165) | $31 \%$ | (230) | 47\% | (351) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | $72 \%$ | (506) | 8\% | (53) | 20\% | (143) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 6\% | (20) | 67\% | (237) | 28\% | (99) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 5\% | (18) | 68\% | (269) | 27\% | (106) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 28\% | (98) | $31 \%$ | (108) | 40\% | (139) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 17\% | (67) | $31 \%$ | (122) | 53\% | (211) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 77\% | (275) | 6\% | (23) | 17\% | (61) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 67\% | (231) | 9\% | (30) | 24\% | (82) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 8\% | (47) | 62\% | (372) | 30\% | (178) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 19\% | (110) | 42\% | (245) | 40\% | (233) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 67\% | (491) | $11 \%$ | (84) | $21 \%$ | (157) | 731 |
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Table LX1: As you may know, the House of Representatives has impeached President Donald Trump and there is an ongoing trial in the Senate to determine whether or not to convict and remove him from office. Has the Senate impeachment trial made you:

| Demographic | More likely to re-elect President Trump this year |  | Less like Presiden | to re-elect Trump this ear | Neither likely Preside | ore nor less re-elect Trump this ear | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 32\% | (709) | 36\% | (790) | 32\% | (699) | 2197 |
| Educ: < College | 33\% | (496) | 35\% | (533) | 32\% | (481) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 33\% | (145) | 36\% | (160) | 31\% | (138) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 28\% | (68) | 39\% | (96) | 33\% | (80) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 29\% | (336) | 35\% | (410) | 36\% | (411) | 1158 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 37\% | (261) | 36\% | (251) | 27\% | (191) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | $33 \%$ | (111) | 38\% | (128) | 29\% | (96) | 335 |
| Ethnicity: White | 38\% | (648) | 32\% | (548) | 30\% | (524) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 16\% | (55) | 53\% | (186) | $31 \%$ | (108) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 13\% | (36) | 49\% | (134) | 38\% | (103) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (25) | 53\% | (108) | 35\% | (71) | 204 |
| All Christian | $41 \%$ | (436) | 31\% | (326) | 28\% | (300) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (17) | $51 \%$ | (47) | 32\% | (29) | 92 |
| Atheist | 22\% | (22) | 50\% | (50) | 28\% | (29) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 25\% | (234) | 39\% | (367) | 36\% | (341) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | $22 \%$ | (27) | 50\% | (60) | $28 \%$ | (33) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 45\% | (264) | 28\% | (163) | 27\% | (162) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 36\% | (294) | 33\% | (267) | $31 \%$ | (255) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 22\% | (124) | 44\% | (252) | 35\% | (200) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | $33 \%$ | (330) | 37\% | (378) | 30\% | (303) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 42\% | (255) | 26\% | (160) | $32 \%$ | (196) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 30\% | (209) | 36\% | (255) | $34 \%$ | (234) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 32\% | (44) | 38\% | (53) | 30\% | (41) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 38\% | (76) | 32\% | (63) | 30\% | (60) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 34\% | (56) | 30\% | (49) | 36\% | (59) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 43\% | (211) | 32\% | (155) | 25\% | (121) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 20\% | (51) | 39\% | (98) | 40\% | (101) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | $31 \%$ | (48) | 37\% | (58) | $32 \%$ | (50) | 156 |
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Table LX1: As you may know, the House of Representatives has impeached President Donald Trump and there is an ongoing trial in the Senate to determine whether or not to convict and remove him from office. Has the Senate impeachment trial made you:

| Demographic | More likely to re-elect President Trump this year |  | Less like Presiden | to re-elect Trump this ear | Neither likely Presiden | ore nor less re-elect Trump this ear | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $32 \%$ | (709) | 36\% | (790) | 32\% | (699) | 2197 |
| Military HH: Yes | 41\% | (152) | 30\% | (111) | 30\% | (110) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | $31 \%$ | (557) | 37\% | (678) | 32\% | (588) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 68\% | (588) | 8\% | (65) | 24\% | (206) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 9\% | (121) | 54\% | (725) | 37\% | (493) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 72\% | (657) | 4\% | (39) | 24\% | (217) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | $4 \%$ | (42) | 64\% | (741) | 33\% | (381) | 1165 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 88\% | (470) | 4\% | (19) | 8\% | (43) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 49\% | (187) | 5\% | (20) | 46\% | (174) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (19) | 41\% | (94) | 51\% | (118) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 3\% | (23) | 69\% | (647) | 28\% | (263) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 75\% | (656) | 3\% | (29) | 22\% | (194) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | $4 \%$ | (41) | 62\% | (730) | 34\% | (404) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 90\% | (497) | 2\% | (12) | 8\% | (43) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 49\% | (159) | 5\% | (17) | 46\% | (151) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (22) | 30\% | (59) | 59\% | (115) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 2\% | (20) | 68\% | (671) | 30\% | (289) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | $33 \%$ | (178) | 33\% | (180) | 34\% | (187) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 62\% | (265) | 17\% | (74) | 21\% | (90) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 20\% | (70) | 47\% | (170) | 33\% | (119) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | $33 \%$ | (109) | 39\% | (126) | 28\% | (92) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 12\% | (16) | 47\% | (63) | 41\% | (55) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 20\% | (24) | 34\% | (41) | 46\% | (55) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 8\% | (11) | 58\% | (84) | 34\% | (49) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 26\% | (35) | 38\% | (52) | 36\% | (50) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 5\% | (38) | 65\% | (487) | 30\% | (221) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 74\% | (485) | 5\% | (34) | 20\% | (133) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 23\% | (21) | 27\% | (25) | 50\% | (46) | 93 |

[^0]Table LX1: As you may know, the House of Representatives has impeached President Donald Trump and there is an ongoing trial in the Senate to determine whether or not to convict and remove him from office. Has the Senate impeachment trial made you:

| Demographic | More likely to re-elect President Trump this year |  | Less like Presiden | to re-elect Trump this ear | Neither likely Preside | ore nor less re-elect Trump this ear | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $32 \%$ | (709) | 36\% | (790) | $32 \%$ | (699) | 2197 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | $4 \%$ | (25) | 66\% | (442) | 30\% | (201) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 75\% | (531) | 5\% | (32) | 20\% | (140) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 12\% | (23) | 42\% | (79) | 46\% | (86) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 20\% | (127) | 37\% | (235) | 43\% | (271) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 36\% | (471) | $36 \%$ | (472) | 27\% | (358) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 26\% | (237) | 35\% | (318) | 38\% | (341) | 896 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 10\% | (81) | 57\% | (452) | 33\% | (261) | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 68\% | (380) | 9\% | (49) | 23\% | (131) | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | 35\% | (28) | 20\% | (16) | 45\% | (37) | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 29\% | (219) | 36\% | (271) | 35\% | (269) | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 29\% | (115) | 39\% | (151) | 32\% | (126) | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 32\% | (146) | 35\% | (163) | $33 \%$ | (153) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 38\% | (310) | 31\% | (254) | $31 \%$ | (259) | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | 27\% | (138) | 43\% | (221) | $31 \%$ | (161) | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_1: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Campaigning

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (166) | 33\% | (368) | 30\% | (339) | 22\% | (253) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 16\% | (85) | 33\% | (174) | 28\% | (149) | 23\% | (121) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 14\% | (81) | $33 \%$ | (194) | 32\% | (190) | 22\% | (132) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 18\% | (41) | 29\% | (68) | 28\% | (65) | 25\% | (57) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 18\% | (51) | 37\% | (107) | 30\% | (86) | 16\% | (45) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 12\% | (21) | $31 \%$ | (52) | 38\% | (64) | 20\% | (34) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 12\% | (23) | 37\% | (74) | 27\% | (55) | 25\% | (50) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 13\% | (30) | 29\% | (67) | 30\% | (69) | 29\% | (67) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 20\% | (20) | 39\% | (38) | 21\% | (21) | 21\% | (21) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 17\% | (52) | $31 \%$ | (93) | 30\% | (89) | 22\% | (64) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 14\% | (41) | $33 \%$ | (96) | 36\% | (106) | 17\% | (51) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 12\% | (48) | $34 \%$ | (130) | 27\% | (106) | 27\% | (104) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 24\% | (100) | 44\% | (180) | 23\% | (94) | 8\% | (34) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 12\% | (43) | $36 \%$ | (127) | 29\% | (104) | 22\% | (79) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (23) | 17\% | (61) | 39\% | (141) | 38\% | (140) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 27\% | (50) | 42\% | (78) | 22\% | (42) | 9\% | (18) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 23\% | (50) | 46\% | (102) | 24\% | (52) | 7\% | (16) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 12\% | (19) | $38 \%$ | (61) | 27\% | (42) | 23\% | (37) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 13\% | (25) | $34 \%$ | (67) | $32 \%$ | (62) | 21\% | (42) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (16) | 19\% | (36) | 35\% | (65) | 36\% | (66) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (7) | 14\% | (25) | 42\% | (76) | 40\% | (74) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 25\% | (79) | 38\% | (121) | 27\% | (88) | 10\% | (32) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 16\% | (51) | 41\% | (130) | 26\% | (82) | 16\% | (51) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (20) | 22\% | (79) | 35\% | (126) | 38\% | (136) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 13\% | (99) | 32\% | (250) | 30\% | (236) | 25\% | (190) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (39) | 30\% | (68) | 32\% | (72) | 21\% | (46) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 22\% | (28) | 40\% | (50) | 24\% | (31) | 13\% | (16) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (87) | 34\% | (200) | 27\% | (160) | 25\% | (150) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 15\% | (52) | $32 \%$ | (116) | $32 \%$ | (113) | 21\% | (76) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (28) | 30\% | (53) | 38\% | (66) | 16\% | (28) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 13\% | (115) | $32 \%$ | (280) | $32 \%$ | (272) | 23\% | (195) | 861 |

[^1]Table LX2a_1: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Campaigning

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (166) | 33\% | (368) | 30\% | (339) | 22\% | (253) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 22\% | (39) | 30\% | (53) | 29\% | (52) | 19\% | (35) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 22\% | (34) | 36\% | (56) | 20\% | (31) | 23\% | (36) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 16\% | (18) | 30\% | (33) | 33\% | (36) | 20\% | (22) | 109 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (61) | 32\% | (172) | 33\% | (175) | 24\% | (127) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 35\% | (20) | $34 \%$ | (19) | 22\% | (13) | 9\% | (5) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 16\% | (78) | $33 \%$ | (158) | 29\% | (139) | 23\% | (112) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 30\% | (22) | $34 \%$ | (24) | 25\% | (18) | $11 \%$ | (8) | 73 |
| Evangelical | $11 \%$ | (29) | $32 \%$ | (91) | 32\% | (89) | 25\% | (70) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 14\% | (59) | 30\% | (123) | $34 \%$ | (141) | 22\% | (90) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (47) | 39\% | (115) | 27\% | (79) | 19\% | (56) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (72) | $32 \%$ | (170) | $32 \%$ | (171) | 22\% | (118) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 16\% | (48) | 28\% | (84) | 30\% | (89) | 26\% | (79) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 12\% | (43) | 36\% | (126) | 33\% | (118) | 19\% | (66) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 25\% | (16) | 25\% | (17) | 32\% | (21) | 18\% | (12) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 12\% | (12) | 28\% | (30) | 33\% | (35) | 27\% | (28) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 15\% | (12) | $34 \%$ | (28) | $34 \%$ | (28) | 17\% | (14) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 14\% | (37) | 29\% | (76) | 26\% | (69) | $31 \%$ | (80) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 16\% | (21) | 29\% | (37) | 26\% | (34) | 29\% | (38) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 24\% | (17) | 37\% | (26) | 27\% | (18) | 13\% | (9) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (30) | 33\% | (66) | 30\% | (58) | 22\% | (43) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 15\% | (136) | 33\% | (303) | 30\% | (281) | 23\% | (210) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 7\% | (30) | 25\% | (112) | 35\% | (155) | 33\% | (147) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 20\% | (136) | 38\% | (256) | 27\% | (184) | 16\% | (106) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (31) | 21\% | (98) | $36 \%$ | (166) | 36\% | (163) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 21\% | (130) | 41\% | (251) | 25\% | (152) | 13\% | (80) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (25) | 15\% | (43) | $32 \%$ | (89) | 44\% | (124) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | $4 \%$ | (7) | 31\% | (55) | 43\% | (77) | 22\% | (40) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 12\% | (13) | 37\% | (40) | 43\% | (46) | 8\% | (8) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 23\% | (117) | $42 \%$ | (211) | $21 \%$ | (106) | $14 \%$ | (72) | 506 |

[^2]Table LX2a_1: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Campaigning

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (166) | 33\% | (368) | 30\% | (339) | 22\% | (253) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (24) | 21\% | (90) | 36\% | (157) | 38\% | (163) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 22\% | (138) | 41\% | (252) | 25\% | (153) | 12\% | (74) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (17) | 16\% | (45) | 33\% | (93) | 46\% | (130) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (7) | 30\% | (45) | 43\% | (64) | 22\% | (33) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (10) | 38\% | (37) | 42\% | (42) | 10\% | (9) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 25\% | (128) | 41\% | (214) | 21\% | (111) | 13\% | (65) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (27) | 36\% | (101) | 30\% | (85) | 24\% | (67) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 15\% | (34) | 18\% | (42) | 34\% | (78) | 33\% | (75) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 19\% | (33) | 38\% | (67) | 28\% | (50) | 16\% | (28) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 14\% | (23) | 32\% | (55) | 31\% | (54) | 23\% | (40) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 14\% | (11) | 44\% | (33) | 27\% | (20) | 15\% | (12) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 27\% | (15) | 38\% | (22) | 20\% | (11) | 15\% | (9) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 19\% | (15) | 40\% | (31) | 32\% | (25) | 9\% | (7) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 15\% | (8) | 29\% | (17) | 29\% | (16) | 27\% | (15) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 26\% | (100) | 42\% | (166) | 23\% | (92) | 9\% | (34) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 4\% | (13) | 22\% | (74) | 36\% | (122) | 37\% | (125) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 24\% | (84) | 44\% | (153) | 23\% | (79) | 8\% | (29) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (17) | 20\% | (71) | 37\% | (132) | 38\% | (133) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 12\% | (11) | 38\% | (36) | 31\% | (30) | 19\% | (18) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (53) | 33\% | (109) | 29\% | (97) | 22\% | (73) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 15\% | (103) | 32\% | (220) | 31\% | (209) | 22\% | (151) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 14\% | (63) | 33\% | (149) | 29\% | (130) | 23\% | (102) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 24\% | (96) | 43\% | (175) | 24\% | (97) | 10\% | (42) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 4\% | (12) | 21\% | (62) | 37\% | (109) | 38\% | (112) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (55) | 31\% | (117) | $31 \%$ | (116) | 24\% | (91) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 18\% | (36) | 37\% | (76) | 31\% | (63) | 15\% | (30) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 17\% | (40) | 34\% | (80) | 26\% | (60) | 23\% | (53) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 12\% | (52) | 33\% | (140) | 30\% | (130) | 25\% | (105) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 15\% | (38) | 28\% | (73) | 33\% | (85) | 25\% | (64) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_2: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Debate performance

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (149) | 30\% | (341) | 33\% | (367) | 24\% | (269) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 15\% | (77) | $31 \%$ | (165) | 29\% | (152) | 26\% | (136) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 12\% | (72) | 29\% | (176) | 36\% | (216) | 22\% | (133) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 13\% | (30) | 32\% | (75) | 34\% | (78) | 20\% | (47) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 17\% | (48) | 37\% | (108) | 26\% | (76) | 20\% | (57) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 12\% | (21) | 25\% | (43) | 40\% | (69) | 23\% | (39) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 12\% | (25) | 28\% | (57) | $31 \%$ | (64) | 28\% | (58) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 10\% | (24) | 25\% | (58) | 35\% | (81) | 30\% | (69) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 20\% | (20) | 28\% | (28) | 33\% | (33) | 18\% | (18) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 13\% | (39) | 39\% | (117) | 27\% | (81) | 20\% | (60) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 14\% | (41) | 27\% | (80) | 37\% | (109) | 22\% | (64) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 12\% | (46) | 27\% | (105) | 33\% | (126) | 29\% | (111) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 22\% | (91) | 43\% | (175) | 27\% | (110) | 8\% | (32) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | $11 \%$ | (40) | 32\% | (111) | 34\% | (121) | 23\% | (81) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (19) | 15\% | (55) | 37\% | (136) | 43\% | (156) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (43) | 42\% | (78) | 26\% | (49) | 9\% | (18) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 22\% | (47) | 44\% | (96) | 28\% | (61) | 7\% | (15) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 12\% | (19) | 34\% | (54) | 29\% | (45) | 25\% | (40) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | $11 \%$ | (21) | 29\% | (57) | 39\% | (76) | 21\% | (41) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 8\% | (14) | 18\% | (33) | 31\% | (57) | 43\% | (79) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 2\% | (4) | 12\% | (22) | 43\% | (78) | 42\% | (77) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 23\% | (75) | 41\% | (130) | 26\% | (84) | 10\% | (31) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 15\% | (46) | 38\% | (119) | $31 \%$ | (97) | 17\% | (52) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 4\% | (13) | 15\% | (56) | 40\% | (143) | 41\% | (148) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 11\% | (82) | 30\% | (231) | 33\% | (259) | 26\% | (204) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (39) | 29\% | (65) | 32\% | (72) | 22\% | (49) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 23\% | (29) | 35\% | (44) | 29\% | (36) | 13\% | (16) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 13\% | (78) | 30\% | (177) | $31 \%$ | (185) | 26\% | (156) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (37) | 36\% | (127) | $31 \%$ | (109) | 23\% | (84) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 20\% | (35) | 22\% | (38) | 42\% | (73) | 17\% | (29) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 13\% | (115) | 28\% | (244) | 33\% | (287) | 25\% | (215) | 861 |

[^3]Table LX2a_2: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Debate performance

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (149) | 30\% | (341) | 33\% | (367) | 24\% | (269) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (26) | 35\% | (62) | 36\% | (64) | 15\% | (27) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 14\% | (22) | 43\% | (67) | 23\% | (36) | 20\% | (32) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 11\% | (12) | 27\% | (30) | 41\% | (45) | 20\% | (22) | 109 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (59) | 26\% | (139) | 36\% | (194) | 27\% | (142) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 25\% | (15) | 44\% | (25) | 24\% | (14) | 7\% | (4) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 14\% | (68) | $32 \%$ | (157) | 30\% | (147) | 23\% | (114) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 24\% | (18) | 37\% | (27) | 30\% | (22) | 8\% | (6) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 9\% | (24) | 30\% | (85) | 34\% | (94) | 27\% | (76) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (48) | 28\% | (116) | 36\% | (147) | 25\% | (103) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (51) | 34\% | (100) | 30\% | (89) | 19\% | (56) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 12\% | (65) | 31\% | (166) | 33\% | (173) | 24\% | (126) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 11\% | (34) | 25\% | (75) | 35\% | (105) | 29\% | (87) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 12\% | (44) | $31 \%$ | (111) | 35\% | (125) | 21\% | (74) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 10\% | (7) | 39\% | (26) | 33\% | (22) | 19\% | (13) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 16\% | (17) | 28\% | (29) | 35\% | (37) | 21\% | (22) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 12\% | (10) | 37\% | (30) | 33\% | (27) | 18\% | (15) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 13\% | (33) | 23\% | (62) | 30\% | (79) | 34\% | (89) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 15\% | (20) | 27\% | (36) | 28\% | (37) | 29\% | (38) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 16\% | (11) | 40\% | (28) | 28\% | (19) | 16\% | (11) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 12\% | (24) | 26\% | (52) | 35\% | (70) | 26\% | (52) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 14\% | (126) | 31\% | (289) | 32\% | (297) | 23\% | (217) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 7\% | (31) | 20\% | (90) | 35\% | (156) | 38\% | (167) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 17\% | (118) | 37\% | (251) | 31\% | (211) | 15\% | (102) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 5\% | (22) | 19\% | (88) | 37\% | (170) | 39\% | (180) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 20\% | (123) | 39\% | (240) | 28\% | (174) | 12\% | (77) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 5\% | (14) | 15\% | (41) | 34\% | (95) | 47\% | (131) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 4\% | (8) | 26\% | (47) | 42\% | (75) | 28\% | (50) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 3\% | (3) | 45\% | (48) | 40\% | (43) | 12\% | (13) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 24\% | (119) | 38\% | (192) | 26\% | (131) | 13\% | (64) | 506 |

[^4]Table LX2a_2: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Debate performance

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (149) | 30\% | (341) | $33 \%$ | (367) | 24\% | (269) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (19) | 17\% | (73) | 37\% | (159) | 42\% | (182) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (126) | 40\% | (245) | 28\% | (175) | 11\% | (71) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (11) | 14\% | (41) | 33\% | (94) | 49\% | (138) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (7) | 21\% | (32) | 44\% | (66) | 29\% | (44) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (7) | 37\% | (37) | 44\% | (43) | 11\% | (11) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (119) | 40\% | (208) | 26\% | (132) | 12\% | (60) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (28) | 29\% | (80) | 37\% | (104) | 24\% | (68) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (16) | 21\% | (47) | $32 \%$ | (74) | 40\% | (92) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 20\% | (35) | $32 \%$ | (57) | 37\% | (65) | 11\% | (20) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 12\% | (21) | 34\% | (59) | 28\% | (48) | 26\% | (45) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 17\% | (13) | 37\% | (28) | 29\% | (22) | 17\% | (13) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 21\% | (12) | 41\% | (23) | 21\% | (12) | 17\% | (10) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 18\% | (14) | 42\% | (33) | $31 \%$ | (24) | 9\% | (7) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 18\% | (10) | 25\% | (14) | 32\% | (18) | 25\% | (14) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 23\% | (91) | 42\% | (166) | 26\% | (102) | 8\% | (32) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 3\% | (9) | 19\% | (63) | 38\% | (125) | 41\% | (137) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 23\% | (78) | 43\% | (150) | 26\% | (90) | 8\% | (27) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 3\% | (12) | 17\% | (61) | 37\% | (131) | 43\% | (150) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 10\% | (9) | 37\% | (35) | 40\% | (37) | 14\% | (13) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (50) | 29\% | (95) | 33\% | (109) | 24\% | (79) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 14\% | (94) | 30\% | (203) | 32\% | (220) | 24\% | (165) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 12\% | (55) | $31 \%$ | (138) | 33\% | (147) | 23\% | (104) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 22\% | (90) | 41\% | (170) | 26\% | (107) | 11\% | (44) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 3\% | (9) | 14\% | (41) | 42\% | (123) | 41\% | (122) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (48) | 31\% | (118) | 32\% | (121) | 25\% | (93) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 14\% | (30) | 36\% | (75) | 30\% | (61) | 20\% | (40) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 10\% | (24) | 36\% | (84) | 29\% | (67) | 25\% | (58) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 13\% | (56) | 27\% | (114) | 35\% | (150) | 25\% | (108) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 15\% | (39) | 27\% | (69) | 34\% | (89) | 24\% | (63) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_3: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Running against Trump

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (176) | 22\% | (253) | 28\% | (315) | 34\% | (383) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 16\% | (83) | 23\% | (122) | 25\% | (134) | 36\% | (191) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 16\% | (93) | 22\% | (131) | 30\% | (182) | 32\% | (192) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | $21 \%$ | (48) | 23\% | (52) | 31\% | (72) | 26\% | (59) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 21\% | (61) | 25\% | (72) | 28\% | (81) | 26\% | (75) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 11\% | (20) | 18\% | (30) | 36\% | (62) | 35\% | (59) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 12\% | (24) | 25\% | (51) | 22\% | (45) | 41\% | (84) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 10\% | (23) | 21\% | (48) | 24\% | (55) | 46\% | (106) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 37\% | (36) | 21\% | (21) | 23\% | (23) | 20\% | (20) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 18\% | (52) | 24\% | (71) | 32\% | (94) | 27\% | (81) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 14\% | (40) | 22\% | (63) | 33\% | (98) | 31\% | (92) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 11\% | (42) | 24\% | (92) | 24\% | (92) | 42\% | (163) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 26\% | (106) | 32\% | (129) | 26\% | (108) | 16\% | (65) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 15\% | (53) | 24\% | (86) | 32\% | (114) | 28\% | (101) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (17) | 10\% | (38) | 26\% | (94) | 59\% | (217) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 27\% | (50) | 33\% | (62) | 23\% | (44) | 17\% | (31) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 25\% | (55) | 30\% | (67) | 29\% | (64) | 15\% | (34) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 16\% | (25) | $21 \%$ | (33) | 31\% | (50) | 32\% | (51) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 14\% | (28) | 27\% | (53) | 33\% | (64) | 26\% | (50) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 4\% | (7) | 15\% | (27) | 22\% | (40) | 60\% | (109) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (10) | 6\% | (11) | 30\% | (54) | 59\% | (108) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 25\% | (80) | $31 \%$ | (100) | 27\% | (86) | 17\% | (53) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 20\% | (62) | 29\% | (92) | 28\% | (88) | 23\% | (72) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | $3 \%$ | (12) | 9\% | (33) | 28\% | (101) | 60\% | (216) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (112) | $21 \%$ | (163) | 28\% | (219) | 36\% | (282) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (37) | 25\% | (56) | 28\% | (63) | 31\% | (70) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | $21 \%$ | (26) | 28\% | (35) | 27\% | (33) | 25\% | (31) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 18\% | (105) | 22\% | (132) | 26\% | (152) | 35\% | (206) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 13\% | (47) | 22\% | (79) | 31\% | (112) | 33\% | (119) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 13\% | (23) | 24\% | (42) | 30\% | (51) | 33\% | (58) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 14\% | (117) | 21\% | (183) | 28\% | (245) | 37\% | (316) | 861 |

[^5]Table LX2a_3: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Running against Trump

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (176) | 22\% | (253) | 28\% | (315) | 34\% | (383) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 21\% | (38) | 26\% | (46) | 34\% | (61) | 19\% | (34) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 22\% | (35) | 29\% | (45) | 22\% | (35) | 27\% | (42) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 22\% | (24) | 23\% | (25) | 33\% | (36) | 23\% | (25) | 109 |
| All Christian | 12\% | (62) | 20\% | (105) | 30\% | (160) | 39\% | (207) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 21\% | (12) | 28\% | (16) | 28\% | (16) | 23\% | (13) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (89) | 25\% | (122) | 25\% | (123) | 31\% | (153) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 18\% | (13) | 29\% | (21) | 29\% | (21) | 24\% | (18) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 10\% | (28) | 23\% | (64) | 24\% | (68) | 43\% | (119) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 14\% | (57) | 21\% | (86) | 31\% | (130) | 34\% | (142) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 23\% | (67) | 24\% | (71) | 28\% | (84) | 25\% | (74) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (71) | 25\% | (130) | 27\% | (142) | 35\% | (187) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (38) | 17\% | (52) | 30\% | (89) | 41\% | (122) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 13\% | (47) | 28\% | (99) | 31\% | (109) | 28\% | (99) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 26\% | (17) | 14\% | (9) | 35\% | (23) | 25\% | (17) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 15\% | (16) | 16\% | (17) | 27\% | (29) | 41\% | (44) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 19\% | (16) | 24\% | (20) | 28\% | (23) | 28\% | (24) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 13\% | (33) | 18\% | (48) | 22\% | (59) | 47\% | (123) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 19\% | (25) | 20\% | (26) | 22\% | (29) | 39\% | (51) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 20\% | (14) | 18\% | (12) | 34\% | (24) | 28\% | (20) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (30) | 19\% | (38) | 24\% | (47) | 42\% | (82) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (146) | 23\% | (215) | 29\% | (268) | 32\% | (300) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 6\% | (29) | 13\% | (59) | 28\% | (123) | 53\% | (234) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 22\% | (147) | 29\% | (194) | 28\% | (192) | 22\% | (149) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 4\% | (20) | 12\% | (55) | 28\% | (130) | 55\% | (254) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 25\% | (152) | 30\% | (185) | 27\% | (163) | 19\% | (113) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 3\% | (9) | 9\% | (24) | 23\% | (65) | 65\% | (182) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (11) | 17\% | (31) | 37\% | (65) | 40\% | (72) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (9) | 27\% | (29) | 44\% | (47) | $21 \%$ | (23) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 28\% | (143) | $31 \%$ | (156) | 23\% | (116) | 18\% | (91) | 506 |

[^6]Table LX2a_3: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Running against Trump

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (176) | 22\% | (253) | 28\% | (315) | 34\% | (383) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (16) | 10\% | (43) | 26\% | (115) | 60\% | (258) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 25\% | (152) | $31 \%$ | (192) | 28\% | (171) | 17\% | (103) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (10) | 8\% | (22) | 20\% | (56) | 69\% | (196) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (6) | 14\% | (21) | 40\% | (59) | 42\% | (62) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (7) | 32\% | (31) | 44\% | (44) | 17\% | (17) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 28\% | (145) | 31\% | (160) | 24\% | (127) | 17\% | (86) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (28) | 20\% | (57) | 33\% | (93) | 37\% | (103) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (23) | 10\% | (22) | 27\% | (62) | 53\% | (122) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 21\% | (38) | 30\% | (53) | 26\% | (46) | 22\% | (39) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 15\% | (26) | 25\% | (43) | 21\% | (35) | 39\% | (68) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 16\% | (12) | 38\% | (29) | 26\% | (20) | 20\% | (15) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 25\% | (14) | 24\% | (14) | 27\% | (15) | 24\% | (14) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 27\% | (21) | 28\% | (21) | 37\% | (29) | 8\% | (6) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 24\% | (14) | 23\% | (13) | 26\% | (15) | 27\% | (15) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 23\% | (89) | 34\% | (134) | 28\% | (111) | 15\% | (59) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 3\% | (11) | 11\% | (37) | 27\% | (90) | 59\% | (196) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 23\% | (81) | 36\% | (123) | 28\% | (97) | 13\% | (44) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 4\% | (14) | 10\% | (34) | 27\% | (97) | 59\% | (209) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | $11 \%$ | (10) | 30\% | (28) | 34\% | (32) | 25\% | (24) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 21\% | (71) | 20\% | (67) | 27\% | (88) | 32\% | (106) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 14\% | (94) | 24\% | (165) | 26\% | (179) | $36 \%$ | (245) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 18\% | (81) | 20\% | (88) | 31\% | (137) | 31\% | (138) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 24\% | (99) | 32\% | (130) | 25\% | (104) | 18\% | (75) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 2\% | (6) | $11 \%$ | (33) | 30\% | (90) | 57\% | (167) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (67) | 21\% | (80) | 28\% | (107) | 33\% | (126) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 17\% | (36) | 22\% | (46) | 31\% | (65) | 29\% | (59) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 15\% | (36) | 25\% | (59) | 24\% | (56) | 35\% | (83) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 13\% | (55) | 24\% | (102) | 27\% | (116) | 36\% | (155) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 19\% | (49) | 18\% | (46) | 30\% | (79) | 33\% | (86) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_4: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up to Trump's attacks

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (177) | 25\% | (279) | 30\% | (334) | 30\% | (337) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 17\% | (88) | 25\% | (132) | 28\% | (148) | 30\% | (161) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 15\% | (89) | 25\% | (147) | 31\% | (186) | 29\% | (176) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 19\% | (43) | 24\% | (56) | 30\% | (69) | 27\% | (63) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 19\% | (56) | $31 \%$ | (90) | 27\% | (77) | 23\% | (66) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 12\% | (21) | 19\% | (32) | 36\% | (62) | 33\% | (56) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 13\% | (25) | 26\% | (53) | 33\% | (66) | 29\% | (59) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 14\% | (32) | 21\% | (48) | 25\% | (59) | 40\% | (93) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 28\% | (28) | 23\% | (23) | 24\% | (24) | 26\% | (26) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 16\% | (48) | 29\% | (87) | 29\% | (87) | 25\% | (76) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 15\% | (43) | 24\% | (69) | 33\% | (97) | 29\% | (84) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 13\% | (51) | 24\% | (93) | 29\% | (113) | 34\% | (130) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 26\% | (107) | 37\% | (152) | 24\% | (98) | 13\% | (51) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 15\% | (52) | 24\% | (84) | 34\% | (121) | 27\% | (97) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (18) | 12\% | (43) | 32\% | (115) | 52\% | (189) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 27\% | (51) | 37\% | (69) | 25\% | (47) | 11\% | (21) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 26\% | (56) | 38\% | (83) | 23\% | (50) | 14\% | (30) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 16\% | (25) | $21 \%$ | (34) | 33\% | (52) | 30\% | (48) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 14\% | (27) | 26\% | (50) | 35\% | (69) | 25\% | (49) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (12) | 16\% | (29) | 27\% | (49) | 50\% | (92) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (6) | 8\% | (14) | 36\% | (66) | 53\% | (97) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 24\% | (78) | 38\% | (123) | 26\% | (82) | 12\% | (37) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 20\% | (63) | 28\% | (88) | 31\% | (98) | 21\% | (65) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 4\% | (16) | 12\% | (43) | 30\% | (110) | 53\% | (192) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (111) | 25\% | (192) | 30\% | (231) | 31\% | (242) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 18\% | (40) | 23\% | (52) | 30\% | (69) | 29\% | (66) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 21\% | (26) | 29\% | (36) | 27\% | (34) | 23\% | (29) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 17\% | (100) | 23\% | (136) | 30\% | (180) | 30\% | (180) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 14\% | (50) | 27\% | (97) | 27\% | (97) | 32\% | (113) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 15\% | (27) | 27\% | (47) | 33\% | (57) | 25\% | (44) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 15\% | (131) | 23\% | (201) | 31\% | (263) | 31\% | (266) | 861 |

[^7]Table LX2a_4: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up to Trump's attacks

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (177) | 25\% | (279) | 30\% | (334) | 30\% | (337) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 17\% | (30) | 32\% | (57) | $31 \%$ | (55) | 20\% | (37) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 20\% | (31) | $31 \%$ | (49) | 19\% | (29) | 30\% | (47) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (15) | 27\% | (29) | 38\% | (41) | 21\% | (23) | 109 |
| All Christian | 14\% | (72) | 22\% | (119) | $31 \%$ | (165) | 33\% | (178) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 30\% | (18) | 35\% | (20) | 18\% | (10) | 16\% | (9) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 15\% | (75) | 27\% | (131) | 29\% | (140) | 29\% | (141) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 27\% | (20) | 35\% | (26) | 19\% | (14) | 18\% | (13) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 11\% | (30) | 24\% | (68) | $31 \%$ | (86) | 34\% | (96) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 15\% | (62) | 22\% | (93) | $31 \%$ | (126) | 32\% | (133) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 23\% | (67) | 26\% | (77) | 27\% | (80) | 24\% | (72) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (75) | 27\% | (144) | 30\% | (159) | 29\% | (153) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (35) | 19\% | (58) | 32\% | (95) | 37\% | (112) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (55) | 26\% | (91) | 32\% | (114) | 26\% | (94) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 10\% | (7) | 25\% | (17) | 32\% | (21) | 33\% | (22) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 13\% | (14) | 30\% | (31) | $31 \%$ | (33) | 26\% | (27) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 18\% | (15) | 23\% | (19) | 28\% | (24) | $31 \%$ | (26) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 14\% | (36) | 20\% | (53) | 25\% | (66) | $41 \%$ | (107) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 19\% | (25) | 24\% | (32) | 28\% | (36) | 29\% | (38) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 27\% | (19) | 22\% | (15) | 30\% | (21) | 21\% | (14) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (30) | 22\% | (43) | 27\% | (54) | 36\% | (70) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (147) | 25\% | (236) | 30\% | (280) | 29\% | (267) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 6\% | (27) | 16\% | (72) | 30\% | (135) | 47\% | (211) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 22\% | (150) | 30\% | (207) | 29\% | (199) | 18\% | (126) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | $4 \%$ | (19) | 16\% | (73) | $31 \%$ | (142) | 49\% | (225) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 24\% | (149) | 32\% | (194) | 27\% | (168) | 17\% | (101) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 3\% | (10) | 11\% | (32) | 25\% | (71) | 60\% | (168) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (9) | 23\% | (41) | 40\% | (71) | 32\% | (57) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 12\% | (13) | 29\% | (31) | 40\% | (43) | 19\% | (20) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 27\% | (136) | 32\% | (163) | 25\% | (125) | 16\% | (81) | 506 |

[^8]Table LX2a_4: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up to Trump's attacks

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (177) | 25\% | (279) | 30\% | (334) | 30\% | (337) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (19) | 13\% | (56) | 30\% | (128) | 53\% | (230) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 24\% | (151) | 33\% | (204) | 28\% | (172) | 15\% | (90) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (10) | 11\% | (33) | 23\% | (64) | 63\% | (178) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (9) | 16\% | (23) | 43\% | (64) | 35\% | (52) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (11) | 28\% | (28) | 46\% | (46) | 14\% | (14) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 27\% | (140) | 34\% | (176) | 24\% | (126) | 15\% | (76) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 11\% | (31) | 24\% | (69) | 35\% | (97) | 30\% | (83) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (22) | 14\% | (32) | 27\% | (63) | 49\% | (112) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 19\% | (34) | 29\% | (51) | 34\% | (61) | 18\% | (31) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 17\% | (30) | 27\% | (46) | 25\% | (43) | 31\% | (54) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 17\% | (13) | 29\% | (22) | 29\% | (22) | 25\% | (19) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 30\% | (17) | 34\% | (19) | 14\% | (8) | 22\% | (13) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 26\% | (20) | 35\% | (27) | 30\% | (23) | 9\% | (7) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 17\% | (10) | 23\% | (13) | 30\% | (17) | 30\% | (17) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 26\% | (103) | 34\% | (134) | 28\% | (110) | 12\% | (46) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 3\% | (11) | 16\% | (53) | 28\% | (95) | 52\% | (175) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 25\% | (88) | 38\% | (133) | 26\% | (90) | 10\% | (34) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 4\% | (15) | 14\% | (48) | 28\% | (101) | 54\% | (190) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 14\% | (13) | 25\% | (24) | 41\% | (39) | 20\% | (19) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (60) | 22\% | (74) | $31 \%$ | (103) | 28\% | (94) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 15\% | (106) | 25\% | (168) | 28\% | (193) | 32\% | (216) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 16\% | (71) | 25\% | (111) | 32\% | (141) | 27\% | (121) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 26\% | (106) | 34\% | (137) | 27\% | (112) | 13\% | (54) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 3\% | (9) | 11\% | (33) | 35\% | (102) | 51\% | (150) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (56) | 26\% | (98) | 29\% | (108) | 31\% | (118) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 21\% | (43) | 27\% | (56) | 30\% | (63) | 21\% | (44) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 17\% | (39) | 27\% | (62) | 27\% | (62) | 30\% | (70) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 13\% | (57) | 24\% | (102) | 30\% | (130) | 33\% | (139) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 15\% | (39) | 22\% | (59) | 30\% | (79) | 32\% | (84) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_5: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up for her policies

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (197) | 33\% | (374) | 29\% | (321) | 21\% | (235) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 18\% | (95) | 34\% | (182) | 27\% | (144) | 21\% | (109) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 17\% | (102) | 32\% | (192) | 30\% | (178) | 21\% | (126) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 19\% | (44) | 33\% | (75) | 27\% | (62) | 21\% | (50) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 21\% | (61) | 36\% | (105) | 25\% | (73) | 17\% | (50) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 14\% | (24) | 34\% | (58) | 31\% | (54) | 21\% | (36) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 17\% | (35) | 29\% | (60) | 34\% | (69) | 20\% | (40) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 14\% | (33) | 32\% | (75) | 28\% | (65) | 26\% | (60) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 24\% | (24) | 38\% | (38) | 24\% | (24) | 14\% | (14) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 20\% | (58) | 32\% | (96) | 26\% | (77) | 22\% | (67) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 16\% | (47) | 35\% | (104) | 30\% | (87) | 19\% | (55) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 16\% | (62) | 31\% | (119) | $32 \%$ | (122) | 22\% | (85) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 29\% | (118) | 43\% | (177) | 19\% | (77) | 9\% | (36) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 14\% | (50) | 34\% | (121) | 29\% | (103) | 23\% | (80) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 8\% | (29) | 21\% | (76) | 39\% | (141) | 33\% | (119) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 28\% | (52) | 43\% | (81) | 20\% | (38) | 9\% | (17) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 30\% | (66) | 44\% | (96) | 18\% | (39) | 9\% | (19) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 14\% | (22) | 35\% | (56) | 28\% | (45) | 22\% | (35) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 14\% | (27) | 33\% | (65) | 30\% | (58) | 23\% | (45) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | $11 \%$ | (20) | 24\% | (44) | 33\% | (61) | 31\% | (57) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (9) | 17\% | (31) | 44\% | (80) | $34 \%$ | (62) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 29\% | (91) | 44\% | (140) | 19\% | (60) | 9\% | (28) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 20\% | (64) | 36\% | (113) | 30\% | (95) | 13\% | (42) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (20) | 23\% | (82) | 35\% | (127) | 36\% | (131) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 15\% | (117) | 33\% | (256) | 29\% | (226) | 23\% | (177) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | $21 \%$ | (48) | 32\% | (71) | 29\% | (65) | 18\% | (41) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 25\% | (32) | 37\% | (46) | 24\% | (30) | 14\% | (17) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 19\% | (111) | $32 \%$ | (189) | 27\% | (163) | 22\% | (133) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 16\% | (57) | 36\% | (127) | 28\% | (98) | 21\% | (74) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (28) | 33\% | (58) | 35\% | (60) | 16\% | (28) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 16\% | (139) | 34\% | (291) | 30\% | (255) | 20\% | (176) | 861 |

[^9]Table LX2a_5: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up for her policies

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (197) | 33\% | (374) | 29\% | (321) | 21\% | (235) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 27\% | (49) | 33\% | (58) | 23\% | (41) | 17\% | (31) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 26\% | (40) | 35\% | (55) | 16\% | (25) | 24\% | (37) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 17\% | (18) | 26\% | (28) | 37\% | (41) | 20\% | (22) | 109 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (79) | 31\% | (164) | 34\% | (182) | 21\% | (110) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 30\% | (17) | 36\% | (21) | 26\% | (15) | 8\% | (5) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (89) | 36\% | (176) | 23\% | (113) | 22\% | (108) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 29\% | (21) | $36 \%$ | (26) | 29\% | (21) | 6\% | (5) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (37) | $31 \%$ | (87) | 32\% | (90) | 23\% | (64) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 17\% | (69) | $31 \%$ | (130) | 32\% | (134) | 20\% | (81) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 21\% | (62) | 35\% | (104) | 28\% | (83) | 16\% | (47) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 16\% | (85) | 35\% | (186) | 29\% | (153) | 20\% | (107) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 17\% | (50) | 28\% | (84) | 28\% | (85) | 27\% | (82) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (54) | $34 \%$ | (120) | 32\% | (113) | 19\% | (67) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 24\% | (16) | 29\% | (19) | 30\% | (20) | 18\% | (12) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 25\% | (26) | 38\% | (41) | 20\% | (21) | 17\% | (18) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 19\% | (16) | $32 \%$ | (26) | 31\% | (25) | 19\% | (16) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 17\% | (44) | 29\% | (75) | 29\% | (76) | 26\% | (68) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 16\% | (21) | 34\% | (45) | 20\% | (27) | 29\% | (38) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 18\% | (12) | 34\% | (24) | 32\% | (22) | 16\% | (11) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 19\% | (38) | 28\% | (55) | 33\% | (66) | 19\% | (38) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 17\% | (159) | 34\% | (318) | 27\% | (255) | 21\% | (197) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 11\% | (47) | 24\% | (105) | 35\% | (156) | $31 \%$ | (136) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 22\% | (150) | 39\% | (268) | 24\% | (165) | 14\% | (99) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (34) | 24\% | (109) | 37\% | (168) | 32\% | (148) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 26\% | (160) | 40\% | (245) | 22\% | (134) | 12\% | (75) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 5\% | (14) | 19\% | (53) | 36\% | (101) | 40\% | (112) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 11\% | (19) | 31\% | (56) | 38\% | (67) | 20\% | (36) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 11\% | (12) | 40\% | (43) | 37\% | (40) | 12\% | (13) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 29\% | (147) | 40\% | (202) | 19\% | (94) | 12\% | (62) | 506 |

[^10]Table LX2a_5: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up for her policies

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (197) | 33\% | (374) | 29\% | (321) | 21\% | (235) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (33) | 22\% | (94) | 36\% | (157) | $34 \%$ | (148) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 26\% | (161) | 41\% | (253) | 22\% | (136) | 11\% | (67) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (24) | 16\% | (46) | 35\% | (99) | 41\% | (116) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (9) | 32\% | (48) | 40\% | (59) | 22\% | (33) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (10) | 42\% | (41) | 37\% | (37) | 11\% | (11) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 29\% | (152) | 41\% | (212) | 19\% | (99) | 11\% | (56) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 15\% | (43) | 28\% | (79) | 34\% | (96) | 22\% | (62) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (23) | 27\% | (62) | 28\% | (65) | 34\% | (79) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 24\% | (42) | 37\% | (66) | 25\% | (45) | 13\% | (23) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 19\% | (33) | 33\% | (57) | 28\% | (48) | 20\% | (34) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 17\% | (13) | 43\% | (33) | 26\% | (20) | 13\% | (10) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 29\% | (17) | 41\% | (24) | $11 \%$ | (6) | 19\% | (11) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 22\% | (17) | 45\% | (35) | 26\% | (21) | 6\% | (5) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 16\% | (9) | 30\% | (17) | 36\% | (20) | 18\% | (10) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 29\% | (113) | 43\% | (170) | 20\% | (80) | 7\% | (29) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (24) | 22\% | (75) | 35\% | (118) | 35\% | (118) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 27\% | (95) | 45\% | (157) | 19\% | (66) | 8\% | (28) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (28) | 21\% | (74) | 36\% | (127) | 35\% | (125) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 14\% | (13) | 37\% | (35) | 33\% | (31) | 17\% | (16) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (61) | 32\% | (107) | 29\% | (97) | 20\% | (67) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 19\% | (128) | 32\% | (217) | 28\% | (193) | 21\% | (145) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 16\% | (69) | 35\% | (157) | 29\% | (129) | 20\% | (90) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 28\% | (116) | 41\% | (166) | 20\% | (84) | 11\% | (43) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 4\% | (13) | 24\% | (71) | 38\% | (111) | 34\% | (100) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (65) | 32\% | (121) | 29\% | (112) | 22\% | (82) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 19\% | (39) | 37\% | (76) | 27\% | (55) | 18\% | (36) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 17\% | (38) | 35\% | (82) | 28\% | (65) | 20\% | (47) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 16\% | (70) | 34\% | (144) | 28\% | (118) | 23\% | (97) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 19\% | (50) | 27\% | (72) | 32\% | (84) | 21\% | (55) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_6: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Uniting the country

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (154) | 25\% | (282) | 30\% | (338) | $31 \%$ | (354) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 16\% | (84) | 25\% | (131) | 26\% | (139) | 33\% | (175) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 12\% | (69) | 25\% | (150) | 33\% | (199) | 30\% | (178) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 19\% | (45) | 25\% | (57) | $31 \%$ | (71) | 25\% | (58) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 15\% | (42) | $34 \%$ | (100) | 27\% | (79) | 24\% | (68) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 11\% | (20) | 21\% | (36) | 33\% | (56) | 35\% | (59) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 11\% | (22) | 23\% | (47) | 30\% | (61) | 37\% | (75) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 11\% | (25) | 18\% | (42) | $31 \%$ | (71) | 40\% | (94) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 26\% | (26) | 22\% | (22) | 35\% | (35) | 16\% | (16) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 15\% | (46) | $34 \%$ | (100) | 24\% | (71) | 27\% | (82) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 12\% | (35) | 24\% | (71) | $34 \%$ | (101) | $30 \%$ | (87) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | $11 \%$ | (42) | 21\% | (82) | $31 \%$ | (120) | 37\% | (144) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 25\% | (101) | $37 \%$ | (152) | 27\% | (109) | 11\% | (46) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 8\% | (29) | 26\% | (93) | 36\% | (127) | 30\% | (105) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (24) | 10\% | (37) | 28\% | (102) | 56\% | (203) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 30\% | (57) | $34 \%$ | (64) | 24\% | (46) | 12\% | (22) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (44) | 40\% | (88) | 29\% | (64) | 11\% | (24) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 9\% | (14) | 25\% | (40) | 32\% | (50) | 34\% | (54) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 8\% | (15) | 27\% | (53) | 39\% | (76) | 26\% | (50) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 8\% | (14) | 15\% | (27) | 23\% | (43) | 54\% | (99) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 6\% | (10) | 5\% | (9) | 32\% | (59) | 57\% | (104) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 25\% | (79) | $33 \%$ | (106) | 30\% | (95) | 12\% | (39) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 15\% | (48) | 32\% | (101) | 33\% | (104) | 19\% | (61) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 3\% | (12) | 10\% | (37) | 28\% | (99) | 59\% | (212) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 11\% | (89) | 24\% | (187) | 32\% | (245) | 33\% | (255) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 18\% | (40) | 25\% | (56) | 25\% | (57) | 32\% | (73) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (25) | 31\% | (38) | 29\% | (36) | 20\% | (26) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 13\% | (78) | 26\% | (154) | 30\% | (176) | 31\% | (186) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 13\% | (46) | 27\% | (95) | 27\% | (96) | 33\% | (119) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (29) | 18\% | (32) | 38\% | (66) | 28\% | (48) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 12\% | (105) | 23\% | (196) | $31 \%$ | (267) | 34\% | (292) | 861 |

[^11]Table LX2a_6: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Uniting the country

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (154) | 25\% | (282) | 30\% | (338) | 31\% | (354) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 21\% | (38) | 30\% | (54) | 31\% | (56) | 18\% | (31) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 19\% | (29) | 37\% | (58) | 19\% | (30) | 25\% | (39) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 17\% | (19) | 25\% | (27) | 38\% | (41) | 20\% | (22) | 109 |
| All Christian | 12\% | (65) | 20\% | (106) | 31\% | (164) | 37\% | (199) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 40\% | (23) | 19\% | (11) | 22\% | (12) | 20\% | (11) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 12\% | (59) | 31\% | (149) | 30\% | (148) | 27\% | (131) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 33\% | (24) | 23\% | (17) | 22\% | (16) | 22\% | (16) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 11\% | (30) | 22\% | (60) | $31 \%$ | (86) | 37\% | (103) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 11\% | (46) | 23\% | (95) | 34\% | (140) | 32\% | (133) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (52) | 27\% | (81) | 31\% | (91) | 25\% | (73) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (67) | 26\% | (140) | 28\% | (151) | 32\% | (172) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 11\% | (34) | 20\% | (61) | 32\% | (96) | 36\% | (109) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (50) | 27\% | (95) | $31 \%$ | (109) | 28\% | (99) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 26\% | (17) | 24\% | (16) | 27\% | (18) | 24\% | (16) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 12\% | (13) | 25\% | (27) | $31 \%$ | (33) | 31\% | (33) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 11\% | (9) | 33\% | (27) | 23\% | (19) | 33\% | (27) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 11\% | (29) | 19\% | (51) | 27\% | (71) | 43\% | (112) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 14\% | (19) | 21\% | (27) | 32\% | (42) | 33\% | (43) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 9\% | (7) | 36\% | (25) | 34\% | (24) | 20\% | (14) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (22) | 23\% | (46) | 28\% | (56) | 37\% | (74) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 14\% | (132) | 25\% | (235) | 30\% | (282) | 30\% | (280) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 6\% | (26) | 16\% | (71) | 27\% | (121) | $51 \%$ | (228) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 19\% | (128) | 31\% | (211) | 32\% | (218) | 18\% | (126) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 5\% | (25) | 12\% | (54) | 27\% | (125) | 55\% | (255) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 20\% | (123) | 35\% | (214) | 31\% | (189) | 14\% | (88) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 7\% | (19) | 6\% | (18) | 23\% | (65) | 64\% | (178) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | $4 \%$ | (6) | 20\% | (36) | 33\% | (60) | 43\% | (76) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (9) | 34\% | (36) | 43\% | (46) | 15\% | (16) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 23\% | (115) | 35\% | (177) | 28\% | (142) | 14\% | (72) | 506 |

[^12]Table LX2a_6: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Uniting the country

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (154) | 25\% | (282) | 30\% | (338) | $31 \%$ | (354) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | $4 \%$ | (16) | 11\% | (46) | 27\% | (117) | $59 \%$ | (254) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 21\% | (132) | 35\% | (214) | 30\% | (187) | 14\% | (84) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (10) | 8\% | (24) | 23\% | (65) | 65\% | (185) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (5) | 15\% | (23) | 35\% | (51) | 46\% | (69) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (8) | 28\% | (28) | 43\% | (42) | 20\% | (20) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 24\% | (124) | 36\% | (186) | 28\% | (145) | 12\% | (64) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (28) | 25\% | (71) | 32\% | (89) | 33\% | (93) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (23) | 12\% | (27) | 24\% | (55) | 54\% | (125) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 19\% | (34) | 32\% | (57) | 30\% | (52) | 19\% | (34) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 11\% | (19) | 26\% | (45) | 33\% | (57) | 30\% | (52) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 15\% | (12) | 31\% | (24) | 32\% | (24) | 21\% | (16) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 20\% | (11) | $31 \%$ | (18) | 28\% | (16) | 22\% | (12) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 20\% | (15) | 37\% | (28) | 37\% | (29) | 7\% | (5) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 19\% | (11) | 22\% | (12) | 30\% | (17) | 29\% | (17) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 25\% | (98) | 36\% | (140) | 28\% | (109) | 12\% | (46) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 3\% | (9) | 10\% | (35) | 28\% | (94) | 59\% | (196) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 26\% | (89) | 38\% | (132) | 26\% | (89) | 10\% | (35) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | $3 \%$ | (11) | 10\% | (36) | 29\% | (103) | 58\% | (204) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 11\% | (11) | 23\% | (21) | 38\% | (35) | 29\% | (27) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (43) | 28\% | (92) | 33\% | (110) | 26\% | (87) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 14\% | (93) | 25\% | (168) | 28\% | (190) | 34\% | (231) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 14\% | (60) | 26\% | (113) | 33\% | (148) | 28\% | (122) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 22\% | (89) | 36\% | (148) | 29\% | (117) | 14\% | (56) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 4\% | (10) | 8\% | (25) | 28\% | (82) | 60\% | (178) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 14\% | (53) | 26\% | (97) | 33\% | (125) | 28\% | (105) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 18\% | (38) | 24\% | (50) | 34\% | (70) | 23\% | (48) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 10\% | (24) | 31\% | (71) | 27\% | (63) | 32\% | (74) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 13\% | (55) | 22\% | (93) | 31\% | (134) | 34\% | (146) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 14\% | (37) | 26\% | (67) | 27\% | (70) | 33\% | (86) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_7: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to working class voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (147) | 29\% | (323) | 34\% | (380) | 25\% | (277) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 14\% | (75) | 28\% | (150) | 32\% | (169) | 26\% | (136) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 12\% | (72) | 29\% | (174) | 35\% | (211) | 23\% | (140) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 16\% | (36) | 30\% | (69) | 34\% | (79) | 20\% | (46) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 14\% | (40) | 37\% | (107) | 29\% | (85) | 19\% | (56) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 12\% | (20) | 21\% | (36) | 42\% | (72) | 25\% | (43) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 13\% | (27) | 28\% | (58) | 33\% | (67) | 25\% | (52) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 10\% | (24) | 23\% | (53) | 33\% | (76) | 34\% | (80) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 17\% | (17) | 33\% | (33) | $31 \%$ | (31) | 19\% | (19) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 15\% | (44) | 33\% | (99) | $31 \%$ | (94) | 20\% | (60) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 12\% | (35) | 27\% | (80) | 38\% | (112) | 23\% | (66) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 12\% | (47) | 26\% | (100) | 33\% | (128) | 29\% | (113) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 23\% | (93) | 39\% | (161) | 29\% | (116) | 9\% | (38) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 11\% | (39) | 28\% | (100) | 38\% | (136) | 22\% | (79) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 4\% | (15) | 17\% | (63) | 35\% | (128) | 44\% | (160) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 27\% | (50) | 36\% | (67) | 29\% | (54) | 9\% | (17) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (43) | 43\% | (94) | 28\% | (62) | 9\% | (20) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 12\% | (19) | 26\% | (42) | 37\% | (59) | 25\% | (39) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 10\% | (20) | 30\% | (58) | 39\% | (77) | 20\% | (39) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 3\% | (6) | 22\% | (41) | $31 \%$ | (56) | 44\% | (80) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (9) | 12\% | (22) | 39\% | (72) | 44\% | (80) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 24\% | (76) | 37\% | (118) | 29\% | (92) | 10\% | (33) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 14\% | (45) | 36\% | (114) | 35\% | (111) | 14\% | (44) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 3\% | (9) | 16\% | (57) | 35\% | (127) | 46\% | (168) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 11\% | (87) | 28\% | (215) | 35\% | (271) | 26\% | (203) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 15\% | (35) | 29\% | (66) | 33\% | (75) | 22\% | (50) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 21\% | (26) | 34\% | (43) | 27\% | (34) | 19\% | (23) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 13\% | (78) | 28\% | (166) | 34\% | (203) | 25\% | (149) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 13\% | (45) | 33\% | (117) | 30\% | (106) | 25\% | (89) | 357 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 14\% | (24) | 23\% | (41) | 41\% | (71) | 22\% | (38) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 12\% | (105) | 27\% | (232) | 35\% | (301) | 26\% | (223) | 861 |

[^13]Table LX2a_7: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to working class voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (147) | 29\% | (323) | 34\% | (380) | 25\% | (277) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 18\% | (32) | 32\% | (58) | 35\% | (64) | 15\% | (26) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 17\% | (27) | 39\% | (61) | 23\% | (36) | 21\% | (32) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (15) | 28\% | (31) | 39\% | (42) | 20\% | (22) | 109 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (53) | 25\% | (135) | 38\% | (201) | 27\% | (146) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 38\% | (22) | 23\% | (13) | 24\% | (14) | 15\% | (9) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 13\% | (62) | 34\% | (165) | 30\% | (147) | 23\% | (112) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 32\% | (23) | 24\% | (17) | 30\% | (22) | 14\% | (10) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 6\% | (17) | 30\% | (83) | 33\% | (93) | $31 \%$ | (86) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 11\% | (46) | 28\% | (114) | 37\% | (155) | 24\% | (99) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (47) | 34\% | (100) | $31 \%$ | (92) | 19\% | (58) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 12\% | (64) | 30\% | (159) | 32\% | (171) | 26\% | (136) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (37) | 22\% | (65) | 39\% | (116) | 28\% | (83) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 11\% | (41) | 32\% | (113) | 36\% | (128) | 21\% | (73) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 32\% | (21) | 17\% | (11) | 37\% | (24) | 15\% | (10) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (9) | 34\% | (36) | 31\% | (33) | 26\% | (28) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 13\% | (11) | 30\% | (25) | 32\% | (26) | 25\% | (21) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 12\% | (31) | 22\% | (57) | 32\% | (84) | 35\% | (91) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 16\% | (22) | 28\% | (37) | 28\% | (37) | 28\% | (36) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 9\% | (6) | 33\% | (23) | 44\% | (31) | 14\% | (10) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (21) | 22\% | (44) | 36\% | (71) | 31\% | (61) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 14\% | (126) | 30\% | (279) | 33\% | (309) | 23\% | (216) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 5\% | (24) | 19\% | (84) | 37\% | (163) | 39\% | (174) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 18\% | (123) | 35\% | (240) | 32\% | (217) | 15\% | (102) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 5\% | (24) | 18\% | (83) | 34\% | (158) | 42\% | (195) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 19\% | (119) | 37\% | (229) | 32\% | (195) | 12\% | (71) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 6\% | (15) | 14\% | (39) | 29\% | (82) | 51\% | (144) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (9) | 24\% | (43) | 42\% | (76) | 29\% | (51) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 6\% | (7) | 42\% | (45) | 44\% | (48) | 8\% | (8) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 22\% | (112) | 36\% | (184) | 29\% | (147) | 12\% | (62) | 506 |

[^14]Table LX2a_7: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to working class voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (147) | 29\% | (323) | 34\% | (380) | 25\% | (277) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (14) | 17\% | (73) | 35\% | (152) | 45\% | (193) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 21\% | (128) | 37\% | (229) | $31 \%$ | (194) | 11\% | (67) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (10) | 14\% | (40) | $31 \%$ | (87) | 52\% | (147) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (4) | 22\% | (33) | 44\% | (65) | $31 \%$ | (46) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (9) | 35\% | (34) | 49\% | (49) | 7\% | (6) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (119) | 37\% | (194) | 28\% | (145) | 12\% | (61) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (22) | 26\% | (74) | 40\% | (113) | 26\% | (72) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (17) | 20\% | (46) | 30\% | (68) | 43\% | (98) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 20\% | (36) | 31\% | (56) | 33\% | (59) | 15\% | (26) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (23) | 30\% | (52) | $32 \%$ | (54) | 25\% | (43) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 11\% | (8) | 40\% | (30) | 34\% | (26) | 15\% | (11) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 30\% | (17) | 33\% | (19) | 22\% | (13) | 14\% | (8) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 16\% | (13) | 41\% | (32) | 33\% | (26) | 9\% | (7) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 20\% | (11) | 25\% | (14) | 37\% | (21) | 19\% | (11) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 24\% | (92) | 38\% | (151) | 29\% | (115) | 9\% | (34) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 2\% | (8) | 19\% | (62) | 33\% | (112) | 45\% | (152) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 24\% | (83) | 40\% | (139) | 27\% | (92) | 9\% | (31) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 3\% | (12) | 17\% | (59) | 35\% | (122) | 46\% | (161) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 12\% | (11) | 27\% | (26) | 42\% | (40) | 18\% | (17) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (41) | 30\% | (100) | 37\% | (124) | 20\% | (67) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 14\% | (94) | 28\% | (190) | $31 \%$ | (214) | 27\% | (186) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 12\% | (53) | 30\% | (133) | 37\% | (166) | 20\% | (91) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 22\% | (92) | 39\% | (161) | 27\% | (111) | 11\% | (46) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 2\% | (5) | 15\% | (45) | 37\% | (109) | 46\% | (136) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (46) | 29\% | (111) | 37\% | (140) | 22\% | (83) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 17\% | (35) | 31\% | (64) | 37\% | (76) | 15\% | (30) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 12\% | (29) | $31 \%$ | (73) | 30\% | (70) | 26\% | (61) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 13\% | (56) | 28\% | (121) | 32\% | (138) | 27\% | (114) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 10\% | (27) | 26\% | (66) | 37\% | (96) | 27\% | (71) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_8: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to male voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (95) | 22\% | (247) | 38\% | (433) | $31 \%$ | (353) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (54) | 26\% | (138) | 33\% | (177) | 30\% | (161) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (41) | 18\% | (109) | 43\% | (255) | 32\% | (192) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 8\% | (18) | 22\% | (51) | 38\% | (87) | 32\% | (74) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 13\% | (38) | 27\% | (77) | 33\% | (97) | 27\% | (78) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 7\% | (12) | 16\% | (27) | 42\% | (71) | 35\% | (60) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 7\% | (15) | 19\% | (39) | 44\% | (89) | 30\% | (61) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 5\% | (12) | 23\% | (52) | 38\% | (88) | 34\% | (79) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 12\% | (12) | 14\% | (14) | 38\% | (38) | 36\% | (36) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 11\% | (32) | 28\% | (85) | 32\% | (94) | 29\% | (87) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 8\% | (23) | 19\% | (57) | 42\% | (124) | 31\% | (90) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 7\% | (25) | 21\% | (80) | $41 \%$ | (160) | 32\% | (123) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (59) | 32\% | (130) | 38\% | (154) | 16\% | (65) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (22) | 20\% | (70) | 43\% | (151) | $31 \%$ | (111) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 4\% | (14) | 13\% | (47) | 35\% | (127) | 48\% | (177) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 17\% | (32) | 37\% | (71) | 33\% | (63) | 12\% | (23) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (27) | 27\% | (59) | 42\% | (91) | 19\% | (43) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (13) | 22\% | (35) | 39\% | (62) | 31\% | (48) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | $4 \%$ | (9) | 18\% | (35) | 46\% | (90) | 32\% | (62) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 4\% | (8) | 18\% | (32) | 29\% | (53) | 49\% | (90) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (6) | 8\% | (15) | 41\% | (75) | 48\% | (87) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | $14 \%$ | (45) | 25\% | (81) | 39\% | (125) | $21 \%$ | (68) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 9\% | (28) | 28\% | (88) | 40\% | (127) | 23\% | (72) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 4\% | (13) | 11\% | (40) | 36\% | (131) | 49\% | (177) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (53) | 22\% | (169) | 38\% | (292) | 34\% | (261) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (27) | 19\% | (44) | 42\% | (94) | 27\% | (61) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 12\% | (15) | 27\% | (33) | 37\% | (47) | 24\% | (30) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 9\% | (51) | 23\% | (140) | 35\% | (207) | 33\% | (198) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 7\% | (26) | 23\% | (82) | 40\% | (143) | 30\% | (106) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 10\% | (18) | 14\% | (25) | 48\% | (83) | 28\% | (48) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (78) | 20\% | (172) | 39\% | (335) | 32\% | (276) | 861 |

[^15]Table LX2a_8: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to male voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (95) | 22\% | (247) | 38\% | (433) | $31 \%$ | (353) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 12\% | (22) | 22\% | (40) | 38\% | (67) | 28\% | (50) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 5\% | (7) | 35\% | (54) | 32\% | (50) | 29\% | (45) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 9\% | (10) | 18\% | (20) | 43\% | (47) | 29\% | (32) | 109 |
| All Christian | 7\% | (40) | 18\% | (94) | 41\% | (217) | 34\% | (183) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 12\% | (7) | 43\% | (25) | $31 \%$ | (18) | 14\% | (8) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 9\% | (42) | 25\% | (120) | 36\% | (174) | $31 \%$ | (150) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 11\% | (8) | 38\% | (27) | 35\% | (26) | 16\% | (12) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 4\% | (12) | 19\% | (53) | 41\% | (115) | 35\% | (99) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (33) | 20\% | (81) | 40\% | (164) | 33\% | (136) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 10\% | (30) | 27\% | (79) | 37\% | (111) | 26\% | (76) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (42) | 22\% | (115) | 38\% | (203) | 32\% | (170) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 7\% | (22) | 18\% | (53) | 40\% | (119) | 35\% | (106) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 8\% | (27) | 19\% | (68) | 43\% | (152) | 30\% | (107) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 8\% | (5) | 30\% | (20) | 34\% | (22) | 29\% | (19) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 14\% | (15) | 24\% | (25) | 37\% | (39) | 25\% | (27) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 8\% | (7) | 21\% | (17) | 46\% | (38) | 25\% | (21) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 6\% | (17) | 20\% | (53) | 37\% | (97) | 37\% | (96) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 14\% | (19) | 18\% | (23) | 32\% | (41) | 36\% | (48) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (4) | 37\% | (26) | 28\% | (19) | 30\% | (21) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 7\% | (15) | 20\% | (39) | 38\% | (75) | 35\% | (69) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (81) | 22\% | (208) | 38\% | (358) | 31\% | (284) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 4\% | (16) | 18\% | (79) | 35\% | (157) | 43\% | (193) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 12\% | (79) | 25\% | (167) | 40\% | (276) | 23\% | (160) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 4\% | (16) | 16\% | (72) | 34\% | (156) | 47\% | (215) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 12\% | (73) | 27\% | (164) | 41\% | (251) | 20\% | (125) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | $4 \%$ | (12) | 12\% | (34) | 31\% | (87) | 53\% | (148) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 2\% | (4) | 21\% | (38) | 39\% | (70) | 37\% | (67) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 5\% | (5) | 25\% | (27) | 52\% | (56) | 18\% | (19) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 13\% | (68) | 27\% | (136) | 39\% | (195) | $21 \%$ | (107) | 506 |

[^16]Table LX2a_8: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to male voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (95) | 22\% | (247) | 38\% | (433) | $31 \%$ | (353) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (12) | 13\% | (58) | 35\% | (152) | 49\% | (210) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (75) | 28\% | (172) | 40\% | (247) | 20\% | (124) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | $4 \%$ | (12) | 12\% | (35) | 28\% | (81) | 55\% | (156) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | - | (0) | 16\% | (23) | 48\% | (71) | 36\% | (54) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (7) | 26\% | (25) | 47\% | (47) | 20\% | (20) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (68) | 28\% | (146) | 39\% | (200) | 20\% | (104) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 6\% | (18) | 23\% | (64) | 40\% | (113) | 31\% | (86) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 5\% | (12) | 14\% | (31) | 35\% | (80) | 46\% | (105) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (24) | 23\% | (40) | 44\% | (78) | 20\% | (35) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 7\% | (12) | 28\% | (49) | 39\% | (67) | 26\% | (45) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | $7 \%$ | (5) | 23\% | (18) | 38\% | (29) | 32\% | (24) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 17\% | (9) | 27\% | (16) | 18\% | (10) | 39\% | (22) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 13\% | (10) | 20\% | (16) | 46\% | (36) | 22\% | (17) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 8\% | (4) | 25\% | (14) | 35\% | (20) | 32\% | (18) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (57) | 31\% | (123) | 40\% | (155) | 15\% | (57) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 3\% | (11) | 13\% | (45) | 35\% | (118) | 48\% | (160) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | $14 \%$ | (49) | 35\% | (120) | 35\% | (122) | 16\% | (55) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 3\% | (12) | 14\% | (50) | 35\% | (122) | 48\% | (170) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 8\% | (7) | 18\% | (17) | 52\% | (49) | 22\% | (20) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (26) | 18\% | (60) | 42\% | (138) | 33\% | (108) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (66) | 22\% | (149) | 38\% | (258) | 31\% | (209) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 6\% | (29) | 22\% | (97) | 39\% | (174) | 32\% | (144) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 15\% | (61) | 31\% | (127) | 37\% | (153) | 17\% | (68) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 3\% | (10) | 9\% | (27) | 39\% | (115) | 48\% | (143) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (22) | 22\% | (83) | 38\% | (145) | 34\% | (130) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 10\% | (21) | 27\% | (55) | 38\% | (79) | 25\% | (51) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 10\% | (23) | 20\% | (47) | 40\% | (93) | 30\% | (70) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 7\% | (31) | 21\% | (91) | 37\% | (157) | 35\% | (150) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 8\% | (20) | 21\% | (54) | 40\% | (103) | 32\% | (83) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_9: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to female voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 25\% | (277) | $34 \%$ | (388) | 24\% | (266) | 17\% | (196) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 27\% | (141) | $37 \%$ | (195) | 20\% | (106) | 17\% | (88) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 23\% | (136) | $32 \%$ | (193) | 27\% | (160) | 18\% | (108) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 27\% | (63) | 30\% | (70) | 25\% | (57) | 18\% | (41) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 28\% | (81) | $36 \%$ | (105) | 22\% | (63) | 14\% | (41) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 20\% | (34) | $34 \%$ | (59) | 29\% | (49) | 17\% | (30) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 28\% | (57) | $38 \%$ | (77) | 19\% | (39) | 15\% | (31) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 18\% | (42) | 34\% | (78) | 25\% | (58) | 23\% | (54) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 43\% | (43) | 25\% | (24) | 21\% | (21) | 11\% | (11) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 23\% | (69) | 36\% | (106) | 23\% | (69) | 18\% | (54) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 22\% | (66) | 35\% | (103) | 27\% | (79) | 16\% | (46) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 23\% | (91) | 36\% | (140) | $22 \%$ | (83) | 19\% | (74) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 35\% | (143) | 39\% | (160) | 18\% | (75) | 7\% | (30) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 25\% | (88) | $32 \%$ | (113) | 25\% | (88) | 18\% | (64) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 12\% | (45) | $32 \%$ | (116) | 28\% | (102) | 28\% | (103) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 35\% | (67) | 38\% | (72) | 19\% | (37) | 7\% | (13) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 35\% | (77) | 40\% | (88) | 18\% | (39) | 7\% | (16) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 29\% | (47) | $33 \%$ | (53) | 20\% | (31) | 17\% | (28) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 21\% | (42) | 31\% | (60) | 29\% | (57) | 19\% | (36) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 15\% | (28) | 39\% | (71) | 21\% | (38) | 26\% | (47) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 9\% | (17) | 25\% | (45) | 35\% | (65) | $31 \%$ | (56) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 38\% | (120) | $38 \%$ | (121) | 17\% | (55) | 8\% | (24) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 30\% | (93) | $37 \%$ | (117) | 23\% | (71) | 10\% | (33) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 11\% | (41) | $31 \%$ | (111) | 28\% | (100) | $30 \%$ | (109) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 20\% | (158) | 35\% | (271) | 25\% | (192) | 20\% | (155) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 32\% | (73) | 30\% | (68) | 23\% | (52) | 14\% | (32) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 36\% | (45) | 39\% | (49) | 17\% | (22) | 8\% | (9) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 24\% | (145) | $31 \%$ | (187) | $24 \%$ | (142) | 20\% | (122) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 24\% | (86) | 39\% | (139) | 21\% | (75) | 16\% | (57) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 26\% | (46) | 35\% | (62) | 28\% | (49) | 10\% | (18) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 24\% | (207) | 35\% | (297) | $24 \%$ | (205) | 18\% | (151) | 861 |

[^17]Table LX2a_9: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to female voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 25\% | (277) | $34 \%$ | (388) | 24\% | (266) | 17\% | (196) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | $33 \%$ | (60) | 30\% | (53) | 23\% | (42) | 14\% | (24) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 24\% | (37) | 38\% | (60) | 18\% | (28) | 21\% | (32) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 29\% | (32) | 29\% | (31) | 30\% | (33) | 12\% | (13) | 109 |
| All Christian | 25\% | (131) | $33 \%$ | (179) | 24\% | (130) | 18\% | (94) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 37\% | (21) | 41\% | (24) | 16\% | (9) | 6\% | (3) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 22\% | (108) | 35\% | (169) | 24\% | (116) | 19\% | (93) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 37\% | (27) | 37\% | (27) | $21 \%$ | (15) | 5\% | (3) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 18\% | (50) | 37\% | (103) | 24\% | (67) | 21\% | (59) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 25\% | (102) | $33 \%$ | (137) | 26\% | (107) | 16\% | (68) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 33\% | (97) | 32\% | (94) | 21\% | (63) | 14\% | (42) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 24\% | (125) | $36 \%$ | (192) | 24\% | (128) | 16\% | (85) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 18\% | (54) | $34 \%$ | (102) | 25\% | (75) | 23\% | (70) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 27\% | (94) | 37\% | (131) | 23\% | (81) | 14\% | (48) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 37\% | (24) | 29\% | (20) | 25\% | (17) | 9\% | (6) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 20\% | (22) | 43\% | (45) | 20\% | (22) | 16\% | (17) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 20\% | (16) | 37\% | (30) | 25\% | (21) | 19\% | (15) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 21\% | (55) | 29\% | (77) | 25\% | (66) | 25\% | (65) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 20\% | (26) | $31 \%$ | (41) | 24\% | (32) | 24\% | (32) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 30\% | (21) | 35\% | (24) | 22\% | (15) | 14\% | (10) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 28\% | (56) | 30\% | (59) | 24\% | (48) | 17\% | (34) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 24\% | (220) | 35\% | (329) | 23\% | (218) | 17\% | (162) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 14\% | (61) | $31 \%$ | (136) | 30\% | (132) | 26\% | (116) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | $32 \%$ | (215) | 37\% | (252) | 20\% | (134) | 12\% | (80) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 12\% | (53) | $33 \%$ | (152) | 28\% | (130) | 27\% | (123) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 36\% | (218) | $36 \%$ | (219) | 19\% | (116) | 10\% | (61) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (22) | 29\% | (80) | 29\% | (80) | 35\% | (99) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 18\% | (32) | 40\% | (72) | 28\% | (50) | 14\% | (25) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 23\% | (24) | 45\% | (49) | 21\% | (23) | $11 \%$ | (12) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 38\% | (194) | $34 \%$ | (170) | 18\% | (93) | 10\% | (49) | 506 |

[^18]Table LX2a_9: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to female voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 25\% | (277) | 34\% | (388) | 24\% | (266) | 17\% | (196) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (49) | 32\% | (137) | 28\% | (120) | 29\% | (126) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 36\% | (222) | 36\% | (221) | 19\% | (120) | 9\% | (55) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (21) | 30\% | (84) | 27\% | (76) | 36\% | (103) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 19\% | (29) | 36\% | (53) | 29\% | (43) | 16\% | (23) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (20) | 44\% | (44) | 28\% | (27) | 8\% | (8) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 39\% | (201) | 34\% | (177) | 18\% | (93) | 9\% | (47) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 19\% | (53) | 36\% | (101) | 26\% | (73) | 19\% | (53) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 14\% | (33) | $31 \%$ | (72) | 28\% | (63) | 27\% | (61) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 37\% | (65) | 33\% | (58) | 21\% | (37) | 10\% | (17) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 23\% | (40) | 38\% | (66) | 20\% | (34) | 18\% | (32) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 28\% | (21) | $34 \%$ | (26) | 25\% | (19) | 13\% | (10) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 42\% | (24) | $31 \%$ | (18) | 14\% | (8) | 13\% | (7) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 35\% | (27) | 36\% | (28) | 21\% | (16) | 8\% | (6) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 22\% | (13) | 35\% | (20) | 27\% | (15) | 16\% | (9) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 36\% | (139) | 41\% | (160) | 18\% | (69) | 6\% | (23) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 13\% | (43) | $31 \%$ | (104) | 28\% | (93) | 28\% | (95) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 35\% | (121) | 39\% | (135) | 19\% | (65) | 7\% | (24) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 12\% | (43) | 33\% | (116) | 27\% | (94) | 28\% | (101) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 25\% | (24) | 36\% | (34) | 26\% | (24) | 13\% | (12) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 27\% | (88) | $31 \%$ | (102) | 25\% | (82) | 18\% | (59) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 24\% | (166) | 35\% | (241) | 23\% | (157) | 17\% | (119) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 25\% | (110) | 33\% | (147) | 25\% | (109) | 18\% | (78) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 35\% | (144) | 36\% | (148) | 21\% | (84) | 8\% | (34) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 13\% | (39) | 32\% | (95) | 27\% | (80) | 28\% | (82) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 23\% | (86) | 34\% | (129) | 24\% | (92) | 19\% | (73) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 20\% | (42) | 44\% | (91) | 23\% | (47) | 12\% | (25) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 25\% | (58) | 36\% | (84) | 21\% | (50) | 17\% | (41) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 22\% | (93) | 36\% | (155) | 22\% | (96) | 20\% | (85) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 32\% | (83) | 22\% | (58) | 28\% | (73) | 18\% | (46) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_10: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to swing voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (120) | 27\% | (310) | 36\% | (406) | 26\% | (291) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (63) | 28\% | (146) | 33\% | (174) | 28\% | (147) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 10\% | (57) | 27\% | (164) | 39\% | (232) | 24\% | (144) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 10\% | (24) | $31 \%$ | (71) | 37\% | (86) | 22\% | (50) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 12\% | (36) | $32 \%$ | (93) | 32\% | (93) | 23\% | (67) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 9\% | (15) | 20\% | (34) | 45\% | (77) | 26\% | (45) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 11\% | (22) | 27\% | (54) | 38\% | (77) | 25\% | (50) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 10\% | (23) | 25\% | (57) | $32 \%$ | (74) | 34\% | (78) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 18\% | (18) | 29\% | (29) | 35\% | (35) | 18\% | (18) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 9\% | (25) | $33 \%$ | (99) | 35\% | (103) | 23\% | (70) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 11\% | (32) | 24\% | (70) | 40\% | (117) | 25\% | (74) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 10\% | (40) | 26\% | (102) | 35\% | (137) | 28\% | (109) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 19\% | (77) | 39\% | (158) | 32\% | (130) | 10\% | (43) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 9\% | (31) | 27\% | (95) | 39\% | (139) | 25\% | (89) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 3\% | (12) | 16\% | (57) | 37\% | (137) | 44\% | (160) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | $22 \%$ | (41) | $38 \%$ | (71) | 29\% | (55) | 11\% | (20) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 16\% | (35) | 40\% | (87) | $34 \%$ | (75) | 10\% | (22) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 10\% | (16) | 24\% | (38) | 39\% | (62) | 27\% | (43) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 8\% | (15) | 29\% | (57) | 39\% | (77) | 23\% | (46) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 3\% | (6) | 20\% | (37) | $31 \%$ | (57) | 46\% | (84) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (7) | 11\% | (20) | 44\% | (80) | 42\% | (76) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (59) | 37\% | (117) | $31 \%$ | (99) | 14\% | (44) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 13\% | (40) | 35\% | (110) | 36\% | (112) | 17\% | (53) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 3\% | (10) | 13\% | (48) | 41\% | (147) | 43\% | (156) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (62) | 27\% | (211) | 38\% | (291) | 27\% | (212) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 15\% | (34) | 26\% | (60) | $33 \%$ | (74) | 26\% | (58) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (23) | 32\% | (39) | 32\% | (41) | 17\% | (22) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 11\% | (66) | 26\% | (153) | 36\% | (216) | 27\% | (161) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 8\% | (29) | $33 \%$ | (118) | $32 \%$ | (115) | 27\% | (95) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 15\% | (26) | 22\% | (39) | 42\% | (74) | 20\% | (35) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 11\% | (94) | 26\% | (221) | 37\% | (315) | 27\% | (232) | 861 |

[^19]Table LX2a_10: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to swing voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (120) | 27\% | (310) | 36\% | (406) | 26\% | (291) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 11\% | (20) | 32\% | (58) | 41\% | (73) | 16\% | (28) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 10\% | (16) | 39\% | (60) | 27\% | (42) | 25\% | (38) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 10\% | (11) | 26\% | (29) | 45\% | (49) | 19\% | (21) | 109 |
| All Christian | 9\% | (50) | 21\% | (112) | 42\% | (224) | 28\% | (148) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 27\% | (16) | $34 \%$ | (19) | 26\% | (15) | 13\% | (8) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 10\% | (47) | $34 \%$ | (165) | $31 \%$ | (149) | 26\% | (126) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 25\% | (18) | 34\% | (25) | 29\% | (21) | 13\% | (9) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 6\% | (18) | 26\% | (72) | 37\% | (103) | 31\% | (86) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 10\% | (39) | 24\% | (98) | $41 \%$ | (170) | 26\% | (107) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 13\% | (38) | 30\% | (88) | 38\% | (112) | 20\% | (58) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 10\% | (53) | 30\% | (157) | 34\% | (180) | 26\% | (140) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 10\% | (29) | 21\% | (64) | 38\% | (114) | 31\% | (93) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 10\% | (35) | 30\% | (105) | 38\% | (134) | 23\% | (80) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 13\% | (9) | 28\% | (19) | 40\% | (27) | 18\% | (12) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 10\% | (11) | 37\% | (39) | 26\% | (28) | 26\% | (28) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 12\% | (10) | 20\% | (17) | 43\% | (36) | 26\% | (21) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 11\% | (29) | 23\% | (60) | $31 \%$ | (81) | 36\% | (94) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 13\% | (18) | 22\% | (28) | 36\% | (47) | 29\% | (38) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (4) | 39\% | (27) | 39\% | (27) | 16\% | (11) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 8\% | (17) | 27\% | (53) | 37\% | (74) | 27\% | (54) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 11\% | (103) | 28\% | (257) | 36\% | (332) | 26\% | (237) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 4\% | (20) | 18\% | (78) | 38\% | (168) | 40\% | (179) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (100) | 34\% | (232) | 35\% | (238) | 16\% | (112) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 4\% | (17) | 17\% | (78) | 37\% | (168) | 43\% | (196) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 16\% | (101) | 35\% | (217) | 35\% | (215) | 13\% | (80) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | $4 \%$ | (10) | 13\% | (36) | 35\% | (97) | 49\% | (138) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | $4 \%$ | (7) | 23\% | (42) | 40\% | (71) | 33\% | (59) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 4\% | (4) | 35\% | (38) | 46\% | (50) | 15\% | (16) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 19\% | (97) | 35\% | (179) | 33\% | (165) | 13\% | (65) | 506 |

[^20]Table LX2a_10: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to swing voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (120) | 27\% | (310) | 36\% | (406) | 26\% | (291) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (13) | 14\% | (59) | 38\% | (165) | 45\% | (195) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (104) | 37\% | (228) | 34\% | (208) | 13\% | (78) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (10) | 12\% | (33) | 35\% | (98) | 50\% | (143) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | $2 \%$ | (4) | 18\% | (26) | 45\% | (67) | 35\% | (52) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (9) | 36\% | (36) | 38\% | (37) | 17\% | (17) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (95) | 37\% | (192) | 33\% | (170) | 12\% | (61) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (24) | 23\% | (65) | 42\% | (116) | 26\% | (74) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | $4 \%$ | (10) | 20\% | (47) | 32\% | (73) | 43\% | (100) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 15\% | (27) | 36\% | (64) | 36\% | (63) | 13\% | (23) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (17) | 31\% | (53) | 30\% | (51) | 30\% | (52) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 10\% | (7) | 37\% | (28) | 38\% | (29) | 15\% | (11) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 23\% | (13) | 22\% | (13) | 32\% | (18) | 22\% | (13) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 16\% | (12) | 33\% | (26) | 39\% | (31) | 11\% | (9) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 16\% | (9) | 23\% | (13) | 43\% | (24) | 18\% | (10) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 20\% | (77) | 38\% | (148) | 33\% | (128) | 10\% | (40) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 3\% | (9) | 16\% | (53) | 36\% | (122) | 45\% | (150) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 20\% | (69) | 40\% | (137) | 30\% | (103) | 11\% | (37) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 3\% | (10) | 15\% | (54) | 38\% | (135) | 44\% | (156) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | $11 \%$ | (10) | 22\% | (21) | 48\% | (45) | 20\% | (18) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (31) | 29\% | (97) | 37\% | (123) | 24\% | (80) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 12\% | (83) | 26\% | (176) | 35\% | (236) | 27\% | (188) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (37) | 30\% | (134) | 38\% | (169) | 23\% | (103) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 19\% | (76) | 36\% | (146) | 32\% | (131) | 14\% | (57) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 3\% | (8) | 14\% | (42) | 39\% | (117) | 44\% | (129) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (32) | 30\% | (113) | 38\% | (142) | 24\% | (92) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 14\% | (29) | $31 \%$ | (63) | 35\% | (72) | 20\% | (42) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 10\% | (23) | 32\% | (75) | 31\% | (72) | 27\% | (62) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 9\% | (39) | 27\% | (116) | 36\% | (152) | 28\% | (121) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | $11 \%$ | (29) | 21\% | (56) | 42\% | (110) | 25\% | (66) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_11: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to young voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (189) | $32 \%$ | (360) | 30\% | (339) | 21\% | (239) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 19\% | (102) | 34\% | (179) | 26\% | (139) | 21\% | (110) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 15\% | (87) | 30\% | (182) | 33\% | (199) | 22\% | (129) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 23\% | (53) | 29\% | (66) | 26\% | (61) | 22\% | (50) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 19\% | (55) | 33\% | (96) | 30\% | (86) | 18\% | (53) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 14\% | (24) | 32\% | (55) | 34\% | (58) | 20\% | (34) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 14\% | (29) | 33\% | (67) | 33\% | (67) | 20\% | (40) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 12\% | (28) | 32\% | (75) | 29\% | (67) | 27\% | (62) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 33\% | (33) | 30\% | (30) | 21\% | (21) | 16\% | (16) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 20\% | (59) | 30\% | (90) | 28\% | (82) | 22\% | (67) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 14\% | (40) | 33\% | (98) | 35\% | (101) | 19\% | (55) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 13\% | (52) | 33\% | (130) | 31\% | (119) | 23\% | (88) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 27\% | (110) | 40\% | (165) | 24\% | (96) | 9\% | (37) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 13\% | (46) | $31 \%$ | (110) | 34\% | (119) | 22\% | (79) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 9\% | (33) | 24\% | (86) | 34\% | (123) | 34\% | (123) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 30\% | (57) | 39\% | (73) | 23\% | (43) | 8\% | (15) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 24\% | (53) | 42\% | (92) | 24\% | (53) | 10\% | (21) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 17\% | (28) | 29\% | (46) | $32 \%$ | (51) | 22\% | (34) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 10\% | (19) | 33\% | (63) | 35\% | (68) | 23\% | (45) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (18) | 33\% | (60) | 25\% | (45) | 33\% | (60) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 8\% | (15) | 15\% | (27) | 43\% | (78) | 35\% | (63) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 30\% | (97) | 36\% | (115) | 23\% | (72) | 11\% | (36) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 18\% | (56) | 38\% | (119) | 30\% | (94) | 15\% | (46) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (22) | 25\% | (91) | 35\% | (127) | 34\% | (122) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (112) | 30\% | (236) | 32\% | (247) | 23\% | (181) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 19\% | (43) | 35\% | (79) | 28\% | (62) | 18\% | (41) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 27\% | (34) | 37\% | (46) | 23\% | (29) | 13\% | (17) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 16\% | (94) | $31 \%$ | (185) | 29\% | (175) | 24\% | (142) | 596 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 17\% | (61) | $33 \%$ | (118) | 29\% | (105) | 20\% | (73) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 19\% | (34) | $33 \%$ | (57) | 34\% | (59) | 14\% | (24) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 16\% | (142) | $31 \%$ | (264) | $31 \%$ | (269) | 22\% | (187) | 861 |

[^21]Table LX2a_11: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to young voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (189) | $32 \%$ | (360) | 30\% | (339) | 21\% | (239) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 26\% | (46) | 30\% | (54) | 27\% | (48) | 17\% | (31) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 19\% | (30) | 40\% | (63) | 21\% | (33) | 20\% | (31) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 16\% | (17) | 30\% | (33) | $34 \%$ | (37) | 20\% | (22) | 109 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (79) | $32 \%$ | (169) | 31\% | (168) | 22\% | (119) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 37\% | (21) | 30\% | (17) | 25\% | (15) | 8\% | (5) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 16\% | (78) | $33 \%$ | (162) | 30\% | (145) | 21\% | (102) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 36\% | (26) | 27\% | (19) | 28\% | (20) | 9\% | (7) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 9\% | (24) | $34 \%$ | (94) | 33\% | (93) | 24\% | (68) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 16\% | (64) | 33\% | (136) | $31 \%$ | (128) | 21\% | (85) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 20\% | (59) | 33\% | (96) | 30\% | (89) | 18\% | (52) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 16\% | (87) | $32 \%$ | (172) | $31 \%$ | (164) | 20\% | (108) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 14\% | (43) | $31 \%$ | (92) | 28\% | (85) | 27\% | (80) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 16\% | (56) | 37\% | (130) | 28\% | (100) | 19\% | (68) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 30\% | (20) | 29\% | (19) | 30\% | (20) | 12\% | (8) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 20\% | (21) | 29\% | (31) | 31\% | (32) | 20\% | (22) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 11\% | (9) | 28\% | (23) | 42\% | (35) | 19\% | (16) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 12\% | (31) | 30\% | (80) | 30\% | (79) | 28\% | (73) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 19\% | (25) | 23\% | (30) | 28\% | (36) | 30\% | (40) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 18\% | (13) | 39\% | (27) | 30\% | (21) | 13\% | (9) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 13\% | (25) | 33\% | (66) | 33\% | (66) | 21\% | (41) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 18\% | (164) | $32 \%$ | (294) | 29\% | (273) | 21\% | (199) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 8\% | (34) | 28\% | (126) | 33\% | (147) | $31 \%$ | (138) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 23\% | (155) | $34 \%$ | (235) | 28\% | (191) | 15\% | (101) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (35) | 27\% | (122) | $34 \%$ | (156) | $32 \%$ | (147) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 24\% | (150) | 37\% | (227) | 26\% | (158) | 13\% | (78) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (23) | 20\% | (57) | $31 \%$ | (88) | 40\% | (113) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (11) | 37\% | (65) | 38\% | (68) | 19\% | (34) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 12\% | (13) | $41 \%$ | (44) | 33\% | (36) | 13\% | (14) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 27\% | (137) | 36\% | (183) | 24\% | (122) | 13\% | (64) | 506 |

[^22]Table LX2a_11: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to young voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (189) | 32\% | (360) | 30\% | (339) | 21\% | (239) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (24) | 26\% | (111) | 35\% | (150) | 34\% | (147) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 26\% | (159) | 37\% | (226) | 25\% | (157) | 12\% | (76) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (15) | 22\% | (63) | $31 \%$ | (88) | 42\% | (118) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (9) | 33\% | (49) | $41 \%$ | (61) | 20\% | (29) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (12) | 37\% | (37) | 36\% | (35) | 15\% | (14) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 28\% | (147) | 36\% | (189) | 23\% | (121) | 12\% | (62) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (28) | 34\% | (95) | 34\% | (95) | 23\% | (63) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 11\% | (26) | 25\% | (56) | 32\% | (74) | 32\% | (73) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 22\% | (38) | 33\% | (58) | 34\% | (59) | 12\% | (21) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 15\% | (27) | 35\% | (60) | 25\% | (44) | 25\% | (43) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 22\% | (17) | 36\% | (27) | 25\% | (19) | 17\% | (13) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 40\% | (23) | 28\% | (16) | 18\% | (10) | 15\% | (8) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 24\% | (19) | 41\% | (32) | 27\% | (21) | 8\% | (6) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 21\% | (12) | 30\% | (17) | 29\% | (16) | 21\% | (12) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 27\% | (107) | 38\% | (150) | 27\% | (106) | 7\% | (29) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (23) | 27\% | (91) | 32\% | (108) | 34\% | (113) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 27\% | (95) | 43\% | (148) | 21\% | (72) | 9\% | (30) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (22) | 25\% | (90) | 35\% | (123) | 34\% | (119) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 15\% | (14) | 28\% | (27) | 45\% | (42) | 12\% | (11) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (58) | 29\% | (95) | 30\% | (100) | 24\% | (79) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 17\% | (117) | 33\% | (227) | 29\% | (201) | 20\% | (138) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 16\% | (72) | 30\% | (133) | $31 \%$ | (137) | 23\% | (102) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 25\% | (103) | 39\% | (160) | 25\% | (104) | 10\% | (43) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 7\% | (21) | 26\% | (77) | 34\% | (100) | 33\% | (98) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (61) | 29\% | (110) | $31 \%$ | (118) | 24\% | (90) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 20\% | (41) | 34\% | (69) | 31\% | (64) | 15\% | (31) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 11\% | (26) | 36\% | (84) | 29\% | (68) | 24\% | (55) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 15\% | (65) | 35\% | (148) | 28\% | (118) | 23\% | (97) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 22\% | (57) | 23\% | (59) | 34\% | (88) | 22\% | (56) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2a_12: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Working with the media

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (191) | 36\% | (405) | 28\% | (312) | 19\% | (220) | 1127 |
| Gender: Male | 20\% | (107) | 35\% | (185) | 26\% | (137) | 19\% | (101) | 530 |
| Gender: Female | 14\% | (84) | 37\% | (219) | 29\% | (175) | 20\% | (119) | 597 |
| Age: 18-29 | 15\% | (34) | 37\% | (85) | 26\% | (60) | 22\% | (51) | 231 |
| Age: 30-44 | 19\% | (56) | 38\% | (111) | 27\% | (77) | 16\% | (46) | 290 |
| Age: 45-54 | 15\% | (26) | 30\% | (51) | 36\% | (61) | 19\% | (33) | 171 |
| Age: 55-64 | 17\% | (35) | 36\% | (74) | 28\% | (57) | 18\% | (37) | 204 |
| Age: 65+ | 17\% | (39) | 36\% | (84) | 24\% | (56) | 23\% | (53) | 232 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 22\% | (22) | $36 \%$ | (36) | 22\% | (22) | 20\% | (20) | 100 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 16\% | (48) | 37\% | (111) | 28\% | (82) | 19\% | (57) | 298 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 16\% | (46) | $34 \%$ | (100) | 32\% | (95) | 18\% | (53) | 294 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 18\% | (69) | 35\% | (138) | 26\% | (102) | 21\% | (80) | 388 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 24\% | (99) | 44\% | (180) | 23\% | (94) | 9\% | (35) | 408 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 15\% | (53) | 37\% | (129) | 29\% | (103) | 19\% | (68) | 354 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 10\% | (38) | 26\% | (95) | $31 \%$ | (115) | 32\% | (117) | 366 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 26\% | (48) | 41\% | (78) | 25\% | (46) | 9\% | (16) | 188 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 23\% | (51) | 47\% | (103) | 22\% | (48) | 8\% | (18) | 220 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 18\% | (29) | 35\% | (56) | 27\% | (43) | 19\% | (30) | 159 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 13\% | (24) | 37\% | (73) | 30\% | (59) | 20\% | (38) | 195 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 16\% | (30) | 28\% | (52) | 26\% | (47) | 30\% | (55) | 183 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (9) | 24\% | (44) | 37\% | (68) | 34\% | (62) | 183 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 23\% | (75) | 44\% | (139) | 23\% | (75) | 10\% | (31) | 320 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 17\% | (55) | 44\% | (137) | 27\% | (84) | 12\% | (38) | 314 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 12\% | (44) | 26\% | (93) | 30\% | (109) | 32\% | (115) | 361 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (108) | 36\% | (279) | 29\% | (222) | 21\% | (167) | 776 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 21\% | (47) | $34 \%$ | (77) | 28\% | (63) | 17\% | (38) | 226 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 28\% | (35) | 38\% | (48) | 22\% | (27) | 12\% | (15) | 125 |
| Income: Under 50k | 17\% | (100) | 36\% | (214) | 27\% | (159) | 20\% | (122) | 596 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 14\% | (51) | 39\% | (139) | 27\% | (96) | 20\% | (71) | 357 |
| Income: 100k+ | 23\% | (39) | 30\% | (52) | 33\% | (57) | 15\% | (26) | 174 |
| Ethnicity: White | 18\% | (151) | 36\% | (308) | 27\% | (236) | 19\% | (166) | 861 |

[^23]Table LX2a_12: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Working with the media

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (191) | 36\% | (405) | 28\% | (312) | 19\% | (220) | 1127 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 13\% | (23) | 42\% | (75) | 31\% | (55) | 15\% | (26) | 179 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 17\% | (26) | 39\% | (60) | 22\% | (34) | 23\% | (36) | 156 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (13) | 33\% | (36) | 39\% | (43) | 16\% | (18) | 109 |
| All Christian | 16\% | (85) | 33\% | (174) | 33\% | (175) | 19\% | (101) | 534 |
| All Non-Christian | 26\% | (15) | 37\% | (21) | 30\% | (18) | 7\% | (4) | 58 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (81) | 39\% | (188) | 23\% | (112) | 22\% | (105) | 487 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 24\% | (18) | 35\% | (26) | $31 \%$ | (23) | 10\% | (7) | 73 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (36) | 37\% | (103) | 31\% | (86) | 19\% | (54) | 279 |
| Non-Evangelical | 17\% | (70) | 34\% | (139) | $32 \%$ | (132) | 18\% | (74) | 414 |
| Community: Urban | 18\% | (53) | 36\% | (107) | 29\% | (85) | 17\% | (51) | 296 |
| Community: Suburban | 16\% | (86) | 36\% | (191) | 29\% | (153) | 19\% | (101) | 530 |
| Community: Rural | 17\% | (52) | 35\% | (107) | 25\% | (74) | 23\% | (68) | 300 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 16\% | (57) | $36 \%$ | (127) | 31\% | (110) | 17\% | (60) | 354 |
| Employ: Government | 17\% | (11) | 34\% | (23) | 38\% | (25) | 11\% | (7) | 67 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 17\% | (18) | 43\% | (45) | 23\% | (24) | 17\% | (18) | 106 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 14\% | (12) | 34\% | (28) | 32\% | (26) | 21\% | (17) | 83 |
| Employ: Retired | 18\% | (48) | 35\% | (92) | 23\% | (61) | 24\% | (62) | 263 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 18\% | (24) | 27\% | (36) | 28\% | (36) | 27\% | (35) | 131 |
| Employ: Other | 20\% | (14) | 42\% | (29) | 20\% | (14) | 18\% | (13) | 70 |
| Military HH: Yes | 17\% | (34) | 33\% | (65) | 31\% | (62) | 18\% | (36) | 197 |
| Military HH: No | 17\% | (156) | 37\% | (339) | 27\% | (250) | 20\% | (184) | 929 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | $11 \%$ | (49) | $31 \%$ | (139) | 29\% | (131) | 28\% | (126) | 445 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | $21 \%$ | (142) | 39\% | (265) | 27\% | (181) | 14\% | (94) | 682 |
| Trump Job Approve | 11\% | (53) | 29\% | (134) | 30\% | (137) | 30\% | (136) | 459 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 22\% | (134) | 41\% | (254) | 25\% | (154) | 12\% | (72) | 613 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 12\% | (33) | 26\% | (72) | 26\% | (73) | 36\% | (102) | 281 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 11\% | (19) | 34\% | (61) | 35\% | (63) | 19\% | (35) | 179 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 6\% | (7) | 46\% | (49) | 38\% | (40) | $11 \%$ | (11) | 108 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 25\% | (127) | 40\% | (205) | 22\% | (113) | 12\% | (61) | 506 |

[^24]Table LX2a_12: Imagine that a Senator Susan Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think she would do on each of the following during the general election?
Working with the media

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (191) | 36\% | (405) | 28\% | (312) | 19\% | (220) | 1127 |
| Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (48) | 28\% | (121) | 30\% | (128) | 32\% | (136) | 433 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 22\% | (138) | 42\% | (259) | 25\% | (156) | 11\% | (65) | 618 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (33) | 26\% | (75) | 25\% | (72) | 37\% | (104) | 284 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (14) | 31\% | (46) | 38\% | (56) | 22\% | (32) | 148 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (9) | 39\% | (38) | 40\% | (39) | 12\% | (12) | 99 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 25\% | (129) | 42\% | (220) | 22\% | (116) | 10\% | (53) | 519 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 15\% | (42) | 31\% | (88) | 32\% | (91) | 21\% | (59) | 281 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (24) | 33\% | (75) | 27\% | (61) | 30\% | (69) | 229 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 21\% | (38) | 38\% | (68) | 28\% | (49) | 13\% | (22) | 177 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 16\% | (28) | 39\% | (67) | 25\% | (43) | 20\% | (35) | 173 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 20\% | (15) | 38\% | (29) | 21\% | (16) | 20\% | (15) | 76 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 27\% | (15) | 40\% | (23) | $21 \%$ | (12) | 12\% | (7) | 57 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 22\% | (17) | 44\% | (35) | 26\% | (20) | 7\% | (6) | 78 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 21\% | (12) | 35\% | (20) | 35\% | (20) | 9\% | (5) | 57 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 25\% | (100) | 43\% | (169) | 25\% | (98) | 7\% | (26) | 392 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 11\% | (38) | 28\% | (94) | 29\% | (97) | 32\% | (106) | 334 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 25\% | (86) | 45\% | (156) | 22\% | (76) | 8\% | (27) | 345 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 12\% | (41) | 28\% | (98) | 29\% | (102) | 32\% | (113) | 354 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 16\% | (15) | 30\% | (28) | 39\% | (37) | 14\% | (13) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 14\% | (47) | 37\% | (121) | 29\% | (96) | 20\% | (67) | 332 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 19\% | (133) | $34 \%$ | (233) | 27\% | (185) | 19\% | (132) | 683 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 13\% | (58) | 39\% | (171) | 29\% | (127) | 20\% | (88) | 444 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 26\% | (107) | 41\% | (166) | 24\% | (99) | 9\% | (38) | 410 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 10\% | (31) | 26\% | (78) | 32\% | (94) | 32\% | (93) | 295 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (47) | 39\% | (149) | 27\% | (103) | $21 \%$ | (81) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 17\% | (35) | 42\% | (86) | 26\% | (53) | 15\% | (31) | 206 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 16\% | (37) | 37\% | (85) | 29\% | (67) | 19\% | (44) | 233 |
| 4-Region: South | 17\% | (73) | 37\% | (156) | 26\% | (111) | 21\% | (88) | 428 |
| 4-Region: West | 18\% | (46) | 30\% | (77) | 31\% | (80) | 22\% | (57) | 260 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_1: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Campaigning

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (103) | 32\% | (342) | 38\% | (402) | 21\% | (223) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (51) | 31\% | (163) | 39\% | (210) | 20\% | (107) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 10\% | (52) | $33 \%$ | (179) | 36\% | (193) | 21\% | (115) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 11\% | (24) | 41\% | (91) | 36\% | (80) | 12\% | (25) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 9\% | (25) | 32\% | (86) | 38\% | (104) | 21\% | (57) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 10\% | (16) | 23\% | (35) | 41\% | (64) | 27\% | (42) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 10\% | (22) | 28\% | (62) | 38\% | (83) | 24\% | (51) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (16) | 33\% | (68) | 36\% | (73) | 23\% | (48) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 13\% | (13) | 45\% | (48) | 35\% | (37) | 8\% | (8) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 9\% | (26) | 33\% | (93) | 38\% | (106) | 20\% | (56) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 10\% | (26) | 27\% | (72) | 40\% | (104) | 23\% | (60) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 9\% | (33) | 31\% | (113) | 39\% | (142) | 22\% | (80) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 18\% | (63) | 40\% | (137) | 34\% | (115) | 8\% | (27) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (26) | 34\% | (132) | 40\% | (156) | 20\% | (78) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 4\% | (15) | 22\% | (72) | 39\% | (132) | 35\% | (118) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 17\% | (28) | 44\% | (74) | 32\% | (53) | 7\% | (12) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (34) | 37\% | (63) | 35\% | (61) | 8\% | (14) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (11) | 29\% | (54) | 46\% | (86) | 19\% | (35) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (15) | 38\% | (78) | 34\% | (70) | 21\% | (44) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (11) | 20\% | (35) | 40\% | (70) | 34\% | (60) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 2\% | (4) | 23\% | (38) | 38\% | (62) | 36\% | (57) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (50) | 39\% | (108) | 36\% | (101) | 7\% | (19) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 10\% | (28) | 37\% | (102) | 34\% | (93) | 19\% | (51) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 4\% | (16) | 23\% | (87) | 39\% | (146) | 33\% | (122) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 9\% | (67) | 29\% | (216) | 39\% | (284) | 23\% | (167) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 10\% | (23) | 38\% | (83) | 38\% | (82) | 14\% | (30) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | $11 \%$ | (13) | 36\% | (43) | 31\% | (36) | 22\% | (26) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 10\% | (58) | 32\% | (181) | 35\% | (196) | 23\% | (127) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (31) | 29\% | (100) | 42\% | (146) | 20\% | (70) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 9\% | (15) | 37\% | (60) | 37\% | (60) | 16\% | (26) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (67) | 30\% | (254) | 40\% | (341) | 23\% | (196) | 858 |

[^25]Table LX2b_1: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Campaigning

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (103) | 32\% | (342) | 38\% | (402) | 21\% | (223) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 10\% | (17) | 38\% | (65) | 37\% | (63) | 15\% | (25) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 19\% | (22) | 40\% | (47) | 26\% | (30) | 15\% | (18) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 15\% | (14) | 42\% | (40) | 33\% | (31) | 10\% | (9) | 94 |
| All Christian | 9\% | (48) | 30\% | (156) | 39\% | (206) | 22\% | (119) | 528 |
| Atheist | 9\% | (5) | 29\% | (15) | 42\% | (22) | 20\% | (10) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 10\% | (45) | 34\% | (154) | 36\% | (165) | 20\% | (92) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 10\% | (32) | 23\% | (72) | 42\% | (131) | 24\% | (74) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (32) | 33\% | (132) | 39\% | (157) | 20\% | (80) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 13\% | (37) | 35\% | (98) | 34\% | (96) | 17\% | (47) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 9\% | (41) | 34\% | (165) | 38\% | (184) | 19\% | (91) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 8\% | (24) | 25\% | (78) | 39\% | (122) | 27\% | (85) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 10\% | (35) | 33\% | (114) | 40\% | (139) | 16\% | (57) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | 9\% | (7) | 39\% | (28) | 22\% | (16) | 30\% | (21) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 9\% | (8) | 27\% | (26) | 41\% | (38) | 24\% | (22) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 8\% | (7) | 29\% | (24) | 35\% | (28) | 28\% | (23) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 7\% | (15) | $31 \%$ | (69) | 37\% | (82) | 26\% | (58) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | $11 \%$ | (13) | 34\% | (41) | 39\% | (46) | 16\% | (19) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 14\% | (12) | 25\% | (21) | 38\% | (33) | 23\% | (20) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (17) | $31 \%$ | (54) | 34\% | (60) | 26\% | (46) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (87) | 32\% | (288) | 38\% | (342) | 20\% | (177) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 4\% | (16) | 23\% | (95) | 39\% | (160) | 35\% | (143) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 13\% | (87) | 38\% | (247) | 37\% | (242) | 12\% | (80) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | $3 \%$ | (12) | 22\% | (98) | 41\% | (187) | 35\% | (157) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 16\% | (91) | 40\% | (219) | 34\% | (186) | 10\% | (55) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 3\% | (8) | 17\% | (43) | 34\% | (87) | 45\% | (113) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | $2 \%$ | (3) | 27\% | (54) | 50\% | (100) | 22\% | (44) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (9) | 38\% | (47) | 39\% | (48) | 16\% | (19) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 19\% | (81) | 40\% | (172) | 32\% | (139) | 8\% | (36) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (16) | 21\% | (92) | 41\% | (183) | 35\% | (155) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 15\% | (84) | 42\% | (236) | 33\% | (183) | 10\% | (56) | 558 |

[^26]Table LX2b_1: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Campaigning

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (103) | $32 \%$ | (342) | 38\% | (402) | 21\% | (223) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (14) | 16\% | (43) | 34\% | (92) | 44\% | (118) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 1\% | (2) | 27\% | (49) | $51 \%$ | (92) | 20\% | (37) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 5\% | (5) | 37\% | (36) | 41\% | (39) | 17\% | (16) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (78) | 43\% | (199) | $31 \%$ | (143) | 9\% | (40) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (33) | 35\% | (93) | 40\% | (105) | 12\% | (33) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 4\% | (7) | 18\% | (37) | 38\% | (76) | 40\% | (80) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 11\% | (21) | 34\% | (63) | 39\% | (72) | 15\% | (27) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 12\% | (19) | 35\% | (54) | 31\% | (47) | 22\% | (34) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 15\% | (9) | 26\% | (15) | $33 \%$ | (19) | 26\% | (15) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 3\% | (2) | 37\% | (23) | 44\% | (28) | 15\% | (10) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 13\% | (9) | 41\% | (27) | 34\% | (23) | 12\% | (8) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 4\% | (3) | 35\% | (28) | 40\% | (32) | 22\% | (17) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 18\% | (65) | 43\% | (152) | 29\% | (104) | 9\% | (32) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 3\% | (9) | 22\% | (69) | 39\% | (123) | 37\% | (116) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 19\% | (61) | 40\% | (129) | 30\% | (97) | 11\% | (35) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 3\% | (9) | 20\% | (69) | 43\% | (150) | 35\% | (122) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 9\% | (8) | 45\% | (42) | 31\% | (29) | 15\% | (14) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (24) | 33\% | (100) | 42\% | (126) | 17\% | (52) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 11\% | (68) | 31\% | (193) | 36\% | (222) | 22\% | (134) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (35) | 33\% | (148) | 40\% | (180) | 20\% | (89) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 15\% | (59) | 37\% | (143) | 34\% | (132) | 13\% | (51) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 5\% | (12) | 22\% | (59) | $41 \%$ | (110) | 32\% | (86) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (32) | 33\% | (124) | 39\% | (148) | 20\% | (76) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 8\% | (14) | 39\% | (73) | 32\% | (60) | 22\% | (41) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 11\% | (26) | 29\% | (67) | 39\% | (89) | 21\% | (47) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | 10\% | (38) | 29\% | (115) | 36\% | (140) | 26\% | (102) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | 10\% | (25) | 34\% | (87) | 44\% | (113) | 13\% | (33) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_2: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Debate performance

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (117) | 29\% | (307) | 36\% | (383) | 24\% | (262) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (56) | 29\% | (152) | 37\% | (195) | 24\% | (128) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 11\% | (62) | 29\% | (156) | 35\% | (188) | 25\% | (134) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 17\% | (37) | 33\% | (72) | 32\% | (71) | 18\% | (40) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 11\% | (29) | 29\% | (80) | 37\% | (100) | 23\% | (64) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 7\% | (11) | 24\% | (38) | 40\% | (63) | 29\% | (46) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 9\% | (20) | 27\% | (59) | 38\% | (83) | 26\% | (56) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 10\% | (21) | 29\% | (60) | 33\% | (67) | 28\% | (57) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 22\% | (23) | 30\% | (32) | 38\% | (41) | 11\% | (11) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 11\% | (31) | 31\% | (87) | 33\% | (92) | 25\% | (71) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 9\% | (23) | 27\% | (71) | 38\% | (101) | 26\% | (67) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 9\% | (32) | 29\% | (106) | 37\% | (137) | 25\% | (92) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 20\% | (67) | 40\% | (136) | 32\% | (109) | 9\% | (29) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 10\% | (38) | 30\% | (117) | 37\% | (145) | 23\% | (92) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 4\% | (12) | 16\% | (55) | 38\% | (129) | 42\% | (141) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 19\% | (31) | 44\% | (73) | $31 \%$ | (51) | 7\% | (12) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (35) | 36\% | (63) | 33\% | (58) | 10\% | (17) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (16) | 28\% | (53) | 44\% | (82) | 19\% | (36) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 11\% | (23) | $31 \%$ | (64) | 30\% | (63) | 28\% | (57) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 5\% | (9) | 15\% | (26) | 35\% | (62) | 46\% | (81) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 2\% | (4) | 18\% | (29) | 42\% | (67) | 38\% | (60) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 19\% | (54) | 39\% | (108) | $31 \%$ | (87) | 10\% | (28) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 11\% | (31) | 33\% | (90) | 35\% | (96) | 21\% | (56) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 4\% | (16) | 19\% | (69) | 39\% | (143) | 38\% | (143) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 10\% | (72) | 27\% | (196) | 37\% | (272) | 26\% | (194) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (26) | 30\% | (66) | 37\% | (80) | 21\% | (45) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 16\% | (18) | 39\% | (46) | 26\% | (31) | 20\% | (23) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 11\% | (64) | 28\% | (159) | 33\% | (188) | 27\% | (151) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (36) | 25\% | (86) | 42\% | (146) | 22\% | (78) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 10\% | (17) | 38\% | (62) | 30\% | (48) | 21\% | (34) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (73) | 27\% | (228) | 39\% | (333) | 26\% | (224) | 858 |

[^27]Table LX2b_2: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Debate performance

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (117) | 29\% | (307) | 36\% | (383) | 24\% | (262) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 18\% | (30) | 35\% | (60) | 28\% | (47) | 20\% | (34) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 22\% | (26) | 34\% | (41) | 22\% | (25) | 22\% | (26) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 19\% | (18) | 42\% | (39) | 26\% | (25) | 13\% | (12) | 94 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (51) | 27\% | (144) | 39\% | (207) | 24\% | (126) | 528 |
| Atheist | 12\% | (6) | 27\% | (14) | 37\% | (20) | 24\% | (12) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 11\% | (52) | 30\% | (136) | 32\% | (148) | 26\% | (120) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 10\% | (30) | 23\% | (72) | 38\% | (117) | 29\% | (91) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 9\% | (36) | 29\% | (118) | 38\% | (154) | 23\% | (93) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (46) | 34\% | (94) | 30\% | (83) | 20\% | (56) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 9\% | (44) | 30\% | (146) | 39\% | (188) | 21\% | (103) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 9\% | (27) | 22\% | (67) | 36\% | (112) | 33\% | (104) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 12\% | (42) | 30\% | (103) | 37\% | (129) | 20\% | (70) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | 6\% | (5) | 39\% | (28) | $21 \%$ | (15) | 34\% | (24) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 10\% | (9) | 26\% | (24) | $31 \%$ | (30) | 33\% | (31) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 7\% | (5) | 34\% | (28) | 33\% | (26) | 27\% | (22) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (17) | 25\% | (55) | 38\% | (85) | 30\% | (66) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 16\% | (19) | 27\% | (32) | 39\% | (46) | 18\% | (22) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 10\% | (9) | 26\% | (23) | 39\% | (34) | 24\% | (21) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (17) | 25\% | (44) | 33\% | (58) | 32\% | (56) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 11\% | (100) | 29\% | (263) | 36\% | (325) | 23\% | (206) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 5\% | (19) | 17\% | (69) | 37\% | (153) | 42\% | (172) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (98) | 36\% | (239) | 35\% | (230) | 14\% | (90) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | $2 \%$ | (11) | 15\% | (70) | 40\% | (183) | 42\% | (189) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 18\% | (99) | 40\% | (219) | $31 \%$ | (173) | 11\% | (60) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 4\% | (9) | 9\% | (23) | $32 \%$ | (81) | 55\% | (138) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 1\% | (2) | 23\% | (47) | 51\% | (102) | 25\% | (51) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (11) | 36\% | (44) | 40\% | (50) | 15\% | (19) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $21 \%$ | (88) | 41\% | (175) | 29\% | (123) | 10\% | (41) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (16) | 15\% | (67) | 40\% | (177) | 41\% | (185) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (96) | 41\% | (228) | 30\% | (170) | 12\% | (65) | 558 |

[^28]Table LX2b_2: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Debate performance

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair | Poor |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | $(117)$ | $29 \%$ | $(307)$ | $36 \%$ | $(383)$ | $24 \%$ | $(262)$ |
| Very Favorable of Trump | $5 \%$ | $(13)$ | $8 \%$ | $(22)$ | $34 \%$ | $(92)$ | $53 \%$ | $(141)$ |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | $2 \%$ | $(4)$ | $25 \%$ | $(45)$ | $48 \%$ | $(86)$ | $25 \%$ | $(44)$ |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | $5 \%$ | $(5)$ | $37 \%$ | $(35)$ | $43 \%$ | $(42)$ | $16 \%$ | $(15)$ |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | $20 \%$ | $(91)$ | $42 \%$ | $(192)$ | $28 \%$ | $(128)$ | $11 \%$ | $(49)$ |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | $14 \%$ | $(36)$ | $28 \%$ | $(74)$ | $38 \%$ | $(101)$ | $20 \%$ | $(52)$ |
| \#1 Issue: Security | $4 \%$ | $(8)$ | $16 \%$ | $(33)$ | $36 \%$ | $(71)$ | $44 \%$ | $(88)$ |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | $12 \%$ | $(23)$ | $33 \%$ | $(61)$ | $36 \%$ | $(65)$ | $18 \%$ | $(33)$ |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | $11 \%$ | $(18)$ | $36 \%$ | $(56)$ | $31 \%$ | $(47)$ | $22 \%$ | $(34)$ |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | $16 \%$ | $(9)$ | $19 \%$ | $(11)$ | $39 \%$ | $(23)$ | $27 \%$ | $(15)$ |
| \#1 Issue: Education | $9 \%$ | $(6)$ | $35 \%$ | $(22)$ | $37 \%$ | $(23)$ | $20 \%$ | $(12)$ |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | $19 \%$ | $(13)$ | $35 \%$ | $(23)$ | $37 \%$ | $(24)$ | $9 \%$ | $(6)$ |
| \#1 Issue: Other | $6 \%$ | $(5)$ | $34 \%$ | $(27)$ | $35 \%$ | $(28)$ | $26 \%$ | $(21)$ |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | $21 \%$ | $(75)$ | $43 \%$ | $(151)$ | $26 \%$ | $(93)$ | $10 \%$ | $(34)$ |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | $3 \%$ | $(8)$ | $16 \%$ | $(51)$ | $39 \%$ | $(123)$ | $43 \%$ | $(136)$ |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | $23 \%$ | $(75)$ | $39 \%$ | $(126)$ | $27 \%$ | $(86)$ | $11 \%$ | $(35)$ |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | $2 \%$ | $(7)$ | $15 \%$ | $(52)$ | $43 \%$ | $(151)$ | $40 \%$ | $(139)$ |
| 2016 Vote: Other | $9 \%$ | $(9)$ | $46 \%$ | $(43)$ | $31 \%$ | $(29)$ | $14 \%$ | $(13)$ |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | $9 \%$ | $(26)$ | $28 \%$ | $(86)$ | $38 \%$ | $(115)$ | $25 \%$ | $(75)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $12 \%$ | $(75)$ | $29 \%$ | $(179)$ | $34 \%$ | $(210)$ | $25 \%$ | $(154)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $9 \%$ | $(43)$ | $28 \%$ | $(129)$ | $38 \%$ | $(173)$ | $24 \%$ | $(108)$ |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $17 \%$ | $(64)$ | $37 \%$ | $(142)$ | $31 \%$ | $(120)$ | $15 \%$ | $(58)$ |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $5 \%$ | $(13)$ | $16 \%$ | $(44)$ | $42 \%$ | $(113)$ | $37 \%$ | $(97)$ |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $11 \%$ | $(40)$ | $28 \%$ | $(106)$ | $36 \%$ | $(137)$ | $25 \%$ | $(97)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $11 \%$ | $(21)$ | $31 \%$ | $(58)$ | $32 \%$ | $(59)$ | $26 \%$ | $(49)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $10 \%$ | $(22)$ | $28 \%$ | $(63)$ | $39 \%$ | $(90)$ | $23 \%$ | $(53)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $12 \%$ | $(48)$ | $26 \%$ | $(101)$ | $32 \%$ | $(127)$ | $30 \%$ | $(118)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $10 \%$ | $(26)$ | $33 \%$ | $(85)$ | $41 \%$ | $(107)$ | $16 \%$ | $(41)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_3: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Running against Trump

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (156) | 23\% | (244) | 29\% | (314) | 33\% | (355) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (67) | 24\% | (129) | 30\% | (157) | 34\% | (178) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 16\% | (89) | 21\% | (115) | 29\% | (158) | $33 \%$ | (177) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 22\% | (49) | $31 \%$ | (68) | 30\% | (66) | 17\% | (37) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 12\% | (33) | 25\% | (67) | 29\% | (80) | 34\% | (91) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 10\% | (16) | 14\% | (22) | 34\% | (54) | 41\% | (65) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 14\% | (31) | 21\% | (46) | 28\% | (60) | 37\% | (80) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 13\% | (26) | 20\% | (40) | 27\% | (55) | 40\% | (82) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 23\% | (25) | 33\% | (35) | 29\% | (31) | 15\% | (16) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 16\% | (44) | 27\% | (76) | 28\% | (80) | 29\% | (80) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 11\% | (29) | 18\% | (46) | 34\% | (89) | 37\% | (97) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 13\% | (49) | 21\% | (79) | 28\% | (103) | 37\% | (136) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 23\% | (80) | 32\% | (110) | 29\% | (98) | 16\% | (54) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 15\% | (57) | 26\% | (102) | 34\% | (133) | 26\% | (101) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (19) | 10\% | (33) | 25\% | (84) | 60\% | (201) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (35) | 37\% | (61) | 30\% | (50) | 13\% | (22) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 26\% | (45) | 28\% | (49) | 28\% | (48) | 18\% | (32) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 10\% | (19) | 28\% | (51) | 36\% | (67) | 26\% | (49) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 18\% | (38) | 24\% | (50) | 32\% | (66) | 25\% | (52) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (12) | 9\% | (16) | 23\% | (40) | 61\% | (108) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $4 \%$ | (7) | 10\% | (17) | 27\% | (44) | 58\% | (93) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 22\% | (62) | $31 \%$ | (85) | 34\% | (94) | 13\% | (36) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 15\% | (41) | 30\% | (83) | 27\% | (75) | 27\% | (74) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | $4 \%$ | (16) | 13\% | (48) | 27\% | (99) | 56\% | (208) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 15\% | (111) | 21\% | (151) | 30\% | (218) | 35\% | (255) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (28) | 25\% | (55) | 30\% | (66) | 32\% | (69) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 14\% | (17) | 33\% | (39) | 26\% | (31) | 27\% | (31) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 17\% | (93) | 24\% | (137) | 27\% | (154) | 32\% | (179) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 12\% | (42) | 19\% | (67) | $31 \%$ | (107) | 37\% | (130) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 13\% | (21) | 25\% | (40) | 33\% | (53) | 29\% | (47) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 12\% | (99) | 21\% | (183) | 30\% | (259) | 37\% | (317) | 858 |

[^29]Table LX2b_3: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Running against Trump

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (156) | 23\% | (244) | 29\% | (314) | 33\% | (355) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 22\% | (38) | $31 \%$ | (52) | 25\% | (42) | 22\% | (37) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 31\% | (36) | 26\% | (31) | 22\% | (26) | 21\% | (25) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 23\% | (21) | 32\% | (31) | 31\% | (30) | 14\% | (13) | 94 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (57) | 19\% | (102) | 32\% | (171) | 37\% | (198) | 528 |
| Atheist | 16\% | (8) | 30\% | (15) | 21\% | (11) | 34\% | (18) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (83) | 26\% | (118) | 26\% | (121) | 29\% | (134) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (39) | 16\% | (48) | 33\% | (102) | 39\% | (121) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 14\% | (56) | 19\% | (76) | 33\% | (131) | 35\% | (139) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 20\% | (56) | 30\% | (85) | 22\% | (61) | 28\% | (77) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (67) | 24\% | (114) | 33\% | (157) | 30\% | (143) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 11\% | (34) | 15\% | (45) | $31 \%$ | (97) | 43\% | (135) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | $11 \%$ | (38) | 27\% | (93) | 32\% | (111) | 30\% | (103) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | $11 \%$ | (8) | 22\% | (16) | 28\% | (20) | 39\% | (28) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 20\% | (19) | 20\% | (19) | 24\% | (22) | 36\% | (34) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 17\% | (14) | 13\% | (11) | 28\% | (22) | 42\% | (34) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | $11 \%$ | (24) | 18\% | (40) | 30\% | (67) | 42\% | (93) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 25\% | (29) | 26\% | (31) | 28\% | (33) | 22\% | (26) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 20\% | (18) | 18\% | (16) | 29\% | (25) | 32\% | (28) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 8\% | (15) | 24\% | (43) | 29\% | (51) | 39\% | (68) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (141) | 23\% | (202) | 30\% | (264) | 32\% | (287) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 4\% | (16) | 11\% | (46) | 29\% | (120) | 56\% | (232) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 21\% | (140) | 30\% | (198) | 30\% | (195) | 19\% | (124) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | 2\% | (9) | 9\% | (42) | 29\% | (134) | 59\% | (269) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 25\% | (139) | 34\% | (190) | 27\% | (151) | 13\% | (71) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 2\% | (6) | 7\% | (17) | 18\% | (45) | 73\% | (183) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 1\% | (3) | 12\% | (25) | 44\% | (89) | 42\% | (85) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 15\% | (19) | 34\% | (42) | 33\% | (40) | 18\% | (22) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 28\% | (120) | 34\% | (147) | 26\% | (111) | 12\% | (49) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (12) | 9\% | (38) | 30\% | (135) | 59\% | (261) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 25\% | (139) | 34\% | (189) | 27\% | (148) | 15\% | (82) | 558 |

[^30]Table LX2b_3: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Running against Trump

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (156) | 23\% | (244) | 29\% | (314) | $33 \%$ | (355) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (9) | 7\% | (19) | 19\% | (51) | $70 \%$ | (188) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 2\% | (3) | 11\% | (19) | 47\% | (84) | 41\% | (74) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (11) | $34 \%$ | (33) | $31 \%$ | (30) | 23\% | (23) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 28\% | (128) | 34\% | (155) | 26\% | (118) | 13\% | (60) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 18\% | (48) | 23\% | (62) | 33\% | (87) | 25\% | (67) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 5\% | (10) | 9\% | (18) | 29\% | (57) | 58\% | (115) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 16\% | (30) | 28\% | (52) | 27\% | (50) | 28\% | (51) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 16\% | (25) | 24\% | (37) | 28\% | (43) | 32\% | (49) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 25\% | (15) | 13\% | (7) | 24\% | (14) | 38\% | (22) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (8) | 23\% | (14) | 37\% | (23) | 28\% | (18) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 15\% | (10) | 51\% | (34) | 22\% | (15) | $11 \%$ | (8) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 15\% | (12) | 24\% | (20) | 30\% | (24) | $31 \%$ | (25) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 25\% | (90) | 36\% | (126) | 24\% | (83) | 16\% | (55) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 3\% | (9) | 8\% | (26) | 27\% | (85) | 62\% | (198) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 28\% | (90) | 33\% | (106) | 25\% | (79) | 15\% | (48) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 2\% | (7) | 6\% | (23) | 31\% | (107) | 61\% | (212) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 19\% | (18) | 33\% | (31) | 27\% | (25) | 22\% | (21) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 14\% | (41) | 28\% | (85) | 33\% | (101) | 25\% | (74) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 15\% | (92) | 22\% | (137) | 26\% | (161) | $37 \%$ | (228) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 14\% | (64) | 24\% | (107) | 34\% | (154) | 28\% | (127) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 24\% | (94) | 29\% | (112) | 27\% | (103) | 20\% | (76) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 4\% | (12) | 11\% | (30) | 29\% | (78) | 55\% | (147) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (50) | 25\% | (95) | 32\% | (120) | 30\% | (115) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 12\% | (22) | 23\% | (42) | $31 \%$ | (59) | $34 \%$ | (64) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 14\% | (32) | 23\% | (52) | 29\% | (67) | $34 \%$ | (78) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | 17\% | (65) | 18\% | (72) | 28\% | (112) | $37 \%$ | (146) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | 14\% | (37) | 30\% | (78) | 30\% | (77) | 26\% | (67) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_4: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up to Trump's attacks

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (143) | 26\% | (274) | $31 \%$ | (331) | 30\% | (322) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (63) | 26\% | (138) | 32\% | (171) | 30\% | (159) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 15\% | (80) | 25\% | (136) | 30\% | (160) | 30\% | (163) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 20\% | (43) | 29\% | (63) | $31 \%$ | (67) | 21\% | (46) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 12\% | (33) | 30\% | (80) | 32\% | (87) | 26\% | (71) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 8\% | (12) | 22\% | (35) | $31 \%$ | (49) | 38\% | (60) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 13\% | (29) | 22\% | (47) | $31 \%$ | (68) | 34\% | (74) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 12\% | (25) | 24\% | (48) | 29\% | (60) | 35\% | (71) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 22\% | (24) | 29\% | (31) | 34\% | (37) | 15\% | (16) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 14\% | (40) | $31 \%$ | (86) | 28\% | (78) | 27\% | (76) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 10\% | (25) | 24\% | (62) | 34\% | (88) | 33\% | (86) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 12\% | (45) | 23\% | (83) | 32\% | (117) | 33\% | (123) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 22\% | (74) | 40\% | (136) | 26\% | (89) | 12\% | (42) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 12\% | (45) | 26\% | (103) | 36\% | (140) | 27\% | (105) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (23) | 10\% | (35) | $31 \%$ | (103) | 52\% | (176) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 22\% | (36) | 41\% | (68) | 25\% | (42) | 13\% | (21) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 22\% | (38) | 39\% | (68) | 27\% | (47) | 12\% | (21) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (11) | 27\% | (51) | 42\% | (78) | 25\% | (46) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 16\% | (34) | 25\% | (52) | 30\% | (62) | 28\% | (58) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 8\% | (15) | 11\% | (19) | 29\% | (51) | 52\% | (92) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (8) | 10\% | (16) | 33\% | (52) | 52\% | (84) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 21\% | (59) | 39\% | (107) | 25\% | (70) | 15\% | (42) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | $14 \%$ | (39) | 25\% | (69) | 37\% | (102) | 23\% | (64) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (20) | 16\% | (58) | 30\% | (113) | 49\% | (180) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (102) | 23\% | (169) | $31 \%$ | (229) | 32\% | (235) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 10\% | (22) | 28\% | (61) | 36\% | (78) | 26\% | (56) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 16\% | (19) | 37\% | (44) | $21 \%$ | (25) | 26\% | (31) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (82) | 26\% | (149) | 27\% | (151) | 32\% | (181) | 563 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 12\% | (43) | 23\% | (81) | 34\% | (119) | 30\% | (104) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 11\% | (18) | 27\% | (44) | 39\% | (62) | 23\% | (37) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 11\% | (91) | 24\% | (205) | 33\% | (280) | 33\% | (282) | 858 |

[^31]Table LX2b_4: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up to Trump's attacks

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (143) | 26\% | (274) | $31 \%$ | (331) | 30\% | (322) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 22\% | (37) | 33\% | (56) | 26\% | (43) | 19\% | (33) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 24\% | (28) | 31\% | (37) | 19\% | (23) | 26\% | (30) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 25\% | (24) | $34 \%$ | (32) | 30\% | (29) | 10\% | (10) | 94 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (59) | 23\% | (123) | 33\% | (176) | 32\% | (169) | 528 |
| Atheist | 19\% | (10) | 25\% | (13) | 32\% | (17) | 24\% | (13) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 14\% | (65) | 28\% | (129) | 28\% | (126) | 30\% | (135) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (39) | 19\% | (60) | 32\% | (99) | 36\% | (111) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (47) | 25\% | (101) | 33\% | (132) | 30\% | (122) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 18\% | (50) | 34\% | (95) | 24\% | (66) | 24\% | (68) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (63) | 23\% | (113) | 36\% | (174) | 27\% | (131) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 10\% | (30) | 21\% | (66) | 29\% | (91) | 40\% | (124) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 12\% | (40) | 30\% | (103) | 32\% | (110) | 27\% | (91) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | 9\% | (6) | 24\% | (17) | 26\% | (19) | 41\% | (29) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 14\% | (14) | 23\% | (22) | 25\% | (24) | 37\% | (35) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 19\% | (15) | 15\% | (12) | 34\% | (28) | 32\% | (26) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 11\% | (25) | 20\% | (46) | 32\% | (72) | 36\% | (81) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 14\% | (17) | 33\% | (39) | 29\% | (35) | 24\% | (29) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 20\% | (17) | 18\% | (16) | 35\% | (30) | 27\% | (23) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 13\% | (23) | 19\% | (33) | 27\% | (48) | 40\% | (71) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 13\% | (120) | 27\% | (240) | 32\% | (283) | 28\% | (251) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 5\% | (22) | 13\% | (55) | 34\% | (138) | 48\% | (198) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 18\% | (121) | 33\% | (219) | 29\% | (193) | 19\% | (125) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | 3\% | (13) | 13\% | (58) | 34\% | (154) | 50\% | (228) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 22\% | (124) | 36\% | (201) | 27\% | (149) | 14\% | (78) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 4\% | (11) | 9\% | (22) | 24\% | (61) | 63\% | (158) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 1\% | (3) | 18\% | (36) | 46\% | (93) | 35\% | (70) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 15\% | (18) | 35\% | (43) | 34\% | (42) | 16\% | (20) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 25\% | (106) | 37\% | (158) | 25\% | (107) | 14\% | (58) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (17) | 11\% | (51) | 34\% | (154) | 50\% | (225) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 22\% | (121) | 37\% | (204) | 26\% | (145) | 16\% | (88) | 558 |

[^32]Table LX2b_4: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up to Trump's attacks

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (143) | 26\% | (274) | 31\% | (331) | 30\% | (322) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (14) | 8\% | (21) | 25\% | (68) | 62\% | (165) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 2\% | (3) | 17\% | (30) | 48\% | (86) | 33\% | (59) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (8) | 35\% | (34) | 36\% | (35) | 21\% | (20) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 25\% | (113) | 37\% | (170) | 24\% | (109) | 15\% | (68) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (35) | 30\% | (79) | 33\% | (87) | 24\% | (64) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (13) | 8\% | (17) | 29\% | (58) | 56\% | (112) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 14\% | (26) | $31 \%$ | (56) | 28\% | (50) | 27\% | (49) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 16\% | (25) | 29\% | (45) | 31\% | (47) | 24\% | (37) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 19\% | (11) | 16\% | (9) | $34 \%$ | (20) | 30\% | (18) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 13\% | (8) | 26\% | (17) | 41\% | (26) | 19\% | (12) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 14\% | (9) | 44\% | (29) | 30\% | (20) | 12\% | (8) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 18\% | (14) | 27\% | (22) | 28\% | (23) | 27\% | (22) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 25\% | (88) | 41\% | (143) | 21\% | (76) | 13\% | (46) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 4\% | (14) | 11\% | (34) | 30\% | (96) | 55\% | (174) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 26\% | (84) | 38\% | (123) | 21\% | (69) | 15\% | (47) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 3\% | (10) | $11 \%$ | (39) | 33\% | (117) | 52\% | (183) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 18\% | (17) | 29\% | (27) | 33\% | (31) | 20\% | (18) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (32) | 28\% | (84) | 37\% | (113) | 24\% | (73) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 14\% | (89) | 26\% | (160) | 27\% | (168) | 32\% | (201) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 12\% | (54) | 25\% | (113) | 36\% | (163) | 27\% | (122) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 21\% | (81) | 34\% | (130) | 25\% | (96) | 20\% | (77) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 4\% | (11) | 15\% | (40) | 34\% | (89) | 47\% | (126) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (50) | 25\% | (95) | 34\% | (130) | 28\% | (105) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 10\% | (19) | 28\% | (52) | 34\% | (65) | 28\% | (52) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 14\% | (32) | 27\% | (62) | 28\% | (64) | 31\% | (71) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | 15\% | (57) | 20\% | (79) | 29\% | (115) | 37\% | (144) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | 13\% | (34) | $31 \%$ | (80) | 34\% | (89) | 21\% | (55) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_5: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up for his policies

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (128) | 32\% | (347) | $33 \%$ | (356) | 22\% | (239) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 11\% | (57) | 33\% | (173) | 35\% | (184) | 22\% | (116) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 13\% | (71) | 32\% | (173) | 32\% | (172) | 23\% | (123) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 14\% | (32) | 39\% | (86) | 32\% | (71) | 14\% | (32) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 11\% | (30) | 33\% | (88) | 33\% | (90) | 23\% | (63) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 9\% | (13) | 31\% | (48) | 34\% | (53) | 27\% | (42) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 14\% | (31) | 25\% | (54) | 36\% | (78) | 25\% | (54) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 11\% | (22) | $34 \%$ | (70) | 32\% | (64) | 23\% | (48) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 17\% | (18) | 44\% | (47) | 32\% | (34) | 8\% | (8) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 11\% | (32) | $32 \%$ | (91) | 33\% | (93) | 23\% | (65) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 10\% | (25) | 33\% | (85) | 33\% | (87) | 24\% | (64) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 13\% | (46) | 29\% | (108) | 35\% | (128) | 23\% | (85) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 23\% | (78) | 40\% | (136) | 29\% | (98) | 9\% | (30) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 10\% | (39) | 34\% | (134) | 34\% | (133) | 22\% | (86) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | $3 \%$ | (11) | 23\% | (77) | 37\% | (125) | 37\% | (123) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 22\% | (38) | 40\% | (67) | 30\% | (51) | 7\% | (12) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 23\% | (40) | 40\% | (69) | 27\% | (47) | 10\% | (17) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (14) | 36\% | (67) | 37\% | (70) | 19\% | (35) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 12\% | (26) | 32\% | (66) | 31\% | (63) | 25\% | (51) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 3\% | (6) | 22\% | (39) | 36\% | (64) | 39\% | (68) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $3 \%$ | (6) | 24\% | (38) | 39\% | (62) | 34\% | (55) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | $21 \%$ | (57) | 43\% | (119) | 28\% | (79) | 8\% | (22) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 14\% | (39) | 33\% | (91) | 34\% | (92) | 19\% | (52) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 4\% | (15) | 24\% | (90) | 38\% | (141) | 34\% | (125) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 12\% | (89) | 28\% | (208) | 36\% | (262) | 24\% | (175) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 11\% | (24) | 40\% | (87) | 32\% | (69) | 17\% | (38) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 13\% | (15) | 44\% | (52) | 21\% | (25) | 22\% | (26) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 13\% | (73) | 32\% | (178) | 32\% | (178) | 24\% | (134) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (35) | 31\% | (107) | 39\% | (134) | 20\% | (70) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 12\% | (20) | 39\% | (63) | 27\% | (44) | 22\% | (35) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (90) | 30\% | (260) | $34 \%$ | (292) | 25\% | (216) | 858 |

[^33]Table LX2b_5: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up for his policies

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (128) | 32\% | (347) | 33\% | (356) | 22\% | (239) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 17\% | (29) | 41\% | (70) | 28\% | (48) | 13\% | (23) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 21\% | (25) | 36\% | (43) | 31\% | (36) | 11\% | (13) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (13) | 47\% | (44) | 29\% | (28) | 10\% | (9) | 94 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (57) | 31\% | (165) | 35\% | (185) | 23\% | (120) | 528 |
| Atheist | 16\% | (8) | 34\% | (18) | 27\% | (14) | 23\% | (12) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 12\% | (57) | 32\% | (148) | $32 \%$ | (146) | 23\% | (105) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 10\% | (30) | 28\% | (87) | 37\% | (115) | 25\% | (78) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (48) | 31\% | (124) | 35\% | (141) | 22\% | (88) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (45) | 37\% | (104) | 27\% | (76) | 19\% | (54) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 10\% | (50) | 35\% | (168) | $36 \%$ | (175) | 18\% | (88) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 11\% | (33) | 24\% | (75) | $34 \%$ | (105) | 31\% | (97) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 11\% | (37) | 37\% | (126) | 34\% | (118) | 18\% | (63) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | 9\% | (7) | $31 \%$ | (23) | 25\% | (18) | 34\% | (24) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 7\% | (7) | 30\% | (28) | 36\% | (34) | 27\% | (26) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 15\% | (12) | 27\% | (22) | 32\% | (26) | 26\% | (21) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 9\% | (20) | 32\% | (71) | 32\% | (72) | 27\% | (60) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 23\% | (27) | 27\% | (32) | 32\% | (38) | 19\% | (22) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 14\% | (12) | 28\% | (24) | $36 \%$ | (31) | 22\% | (19) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (17) | 31\% | (55) | $32 \%$ | (56) | 27\% | (48) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 12\% | (111) | 33\% | (292) | 34\% | (300) | 21\% | (191) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 5\% | (20) | 23\% | (94) | 35\% | (143) | 38\% | (156) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 16\% | (108) | 38\% | (252) | 33\% | (214) | 13\% | (83) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | 2\% | (11) | 22\% | (100) | 38\% | (172) | 38\% | (171) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 20\% | (111) | 41\% | (225) | 28\% | (155) | 11\% | (61) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 3\% | (7) | 17\% | (43) | 32\% | (81) | 48\% | (122) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | $2 \%$ | (4) | 28\% | (57) | 45\% | (91) | 24\% | (49) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 10\% | (13) | 38\% | (46) | 36\% | (45) | 16\% | (20) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 23\% | (98) | 42\% | (178) | 26\% | (110) | 10\% | (42) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | $4 \%$ | (16) | 22\% | (98) | 37\% | (165) | 38\% | (168) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (108) | 42\% | (233) | 28\% | (159) | 11\% | (59) | 558 |

[^34]Table LX2b_5: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Standing up for his policies

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (128) | 32\% | (347) | 33\% | (356) | 22\% | (239) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (11) | 17\% | (46) | 32\% | (86) | 47\% | (124) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | $3 \%$ | (5) | 29\% | (52) | 44\% | (78) | 24\% | (43) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (7) | 38\% | (37) | 40\% | (39) | 14\% | (14) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 22\% | (101) | 42\% | (196) | 26\% | (120) | 10\% | (45) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 15\% | (38) | 37\% | (98) | 32\% | (85) | 16\% | (43) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 5\% | (11) | 19\% | (38) | 34\% | (67) | 42\% | (84) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 11\% | (20) | 38\% | (69) | 36\% | (66) | 15\% | (27) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 17\% | (26) | $33 \%$ | (52) | 29\% | (46) | 20\% | (31) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 20\% | (11) | 17\% | (10) | 36\% | (21) | 28\% | (16) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 6\% | (4) | 41\% | (26) | 39\% | (25) | 14\% | (9) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 14\% | (9) | 47\% | (31) | 25\% | (17) | 15\% | (10) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 10\% | (8) | 28\% | (23) | 38\% | (31) | 24\% | (19) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 24\% | (84) | 42\% | (150) | 25\% | (90) | 9\% | (31) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 2\% | (6) | 24\% | (76) | 35\% | (112) | 39\% | (125) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 26\% | (85) | 39\% | (125) | 25\% | (80) | 10\% | (33) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 2\% | (6) | $21 \%$ | (73) | 39\% | (136) | 38\% | (134) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 13\% | (12) | 41\% | (38) | 33\% | (31) | 13\% | (13) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (25) | $36 \%$ | (108) | 36\% | (110) | 19\% | (59) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 13\% | (82) | 32\% | (197) | 32\% | (195) | 23\% | (144) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 10\% | (47) | 33\% | (150) | 36\% | (161) | 21\% | (95) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 20\% | (76) | 36\% | (138) | 30\% | (116) | 14\% | (54) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 4\% | (11) | 25\% | (68) | 36\% | (95) | 35\% | (92) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $11 \%$ | (41) | 33\% | (125) | 34\% | (131) | 22\% | (83) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 9\% | (17) | 35\% | (65) | 33\% | (61) | 24\% | (44) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 12\% | (27) | 34\% | (77) | 32\% | (73) | 23\% | (52) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | 14\% | (56) | 26\% | (101) | 35\% | (139) | 25\% | (99) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | $11 \%$ | (29) | 40\% | (104) | $32 \%$ | (83) | 17\% | (43) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_6: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Uniting the country

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (115) | 24\% | (252) | $32 \%$ | (347) | 33\% | (355) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (52) | 22\% | (114) | 35\% | (185) | 34\% | (179) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 12\% | (64) | 26\% | (138) | 30\% | (162) | 33\% | (176) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 13\% | (29) | 34\% | (74) | $31 \%$ | (69) | 22\% | (48) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 9\% | (24) | 27\% | (73) | $34 \%$ | (92) | 30\% | (83) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 9\% | (13) | 16\% | (26) | 38\% | (59) | 38\% | (59) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 12\% | (27) | 20\% | (45) | 28\% | (62) | 39\% | (85) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 11\% | (22) | 17\% | (35) | $32 \%$ | (66) | 40\% | (81) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 15\% | (16) | 33\% | (35) | $34 \%$ | (36) | 18\% | (20) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 10\% | (29) | 28\% | (78) | 30\% | (84) | 32\% | (88) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 8\% | (22) | 23\% | (59) | 38\% | (99) | $31 \%$ | (82) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 11\% | (39) | 20\% | (73) | $31 \%$ | (112) | 39\% | (143) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 20\% | (69) | 35\% | (121) | $31 \%$ | (106) | 13\% | (46) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 8\% | (32) | 27\% | (105) | $36 \%$ | (140) | 29\% | (115) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 4\% | (14) | 8\% | (27) | 30\% | (101) | 58\% | (195) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 20\% | (34) | $36 \%$ | (60) | $31 \%$ | (53) | 13\% | (22) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (35) | 35\% | (61) | $31 \%$ | (54) | 14\% | (24) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (12) | 23\% | (42) | 40\% | (75) | $31 \%$ | (57) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 10\% | (21) | $31 \%$ | (63) | $31 \%$ | (65) | 28\% | (57) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 4\% | (6) | 7\% | (13) | $32 \%$ | (57) | 57\% | (100) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (8) | 9\% | (14) | 27\% | (44) | 59\% | (94) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 19\% | (53) | $31 \%$ | (87) | $32 \%$ | (88) | 18\% | (50) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 12\% | (32) | $31 \%$ | (84) | $34 \%$ | (93) | 24\% | (65) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 4\% | (14) | 11\% | (39) | $32 \%$ | (118) | 54\% | (200) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | $11 \%$ | (80) | 22\% | (159) | 32\% | (237) | 35\% | (258) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 9\% | (19) | 28\% | (60) | 35\% | (76) | 29\% | (63) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 14\% | (16) | 28\% | (33) | 29\% | (34) | 29\% | (35) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 10\% | (56) | 27\% | (153) | $31 \%$ | (176) | 31\% | (177) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 11\% | (38) | 20\% | (70) | 30\% | (106) | 39\% | (134) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 13\% | (21) | 19\% | (30) | 40\% | (65) | 28\% | (44) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (73) | 21\% | (178) | $34 \%$ | (296) | 36\% | (312) | 858 |

[^35]Table LX2b_6: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Uniting the country

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (115) | 24\% | (252) | $32 \%$ | (347) | 33\% | (355) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 14\% | (23) | 37\% | (63) | 26\% | (43) | 24\% | (40) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 23\% | (28) | 29\% | (35) | 20\% | (24) | 27\% | (31) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 16\% | (15) | 42\% | (40) | 29\% | (28) | 12\% | (11) | 94 |
| All Christian | 9\% | (49) | 20\% | (107) | 34\% | (179) | 37\% | (194) | 528 |
| Atheist | $14 \%$ | (7) | 22\% | (12) | 29\% | (15) | 35\% | (18) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | $12 \%$ | (55) | 25\% | (116) | $31 \%$ | (143) | $31 \%$ | (141) | 456 |
| Evangelical | $11 \%$ | (34) | 18\% | (56) | $31 \%$ | (97) | 40\% | (123) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 10\% | (39) | 22\% | (88) | 36\% | (144) | 33\% | (131) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (42) | 32\% | (90) | 26\% | (72) | 27\% | (75) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 10\% | (48) | 23\% | (111) | 36\% | (172) | 31\% | (150) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 8\% | (25) | 17\% | (52) | 33\% | (103) | 42\% | (130) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 12\% | (40) | 23\% | (81) | 35\% | (120) | 30\% | (103) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | 7\% | (5) | 28\% | (20) | 23\% | (17) | 42\% | (30) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 10\% | (10) | 26\% | (24) | 25\% | (23) | 39\% | (37) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | $11 \%$ | (9) | 19\% | (16) | 33\% | (27) | 37\% | (30) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (18) | 16\% | (37) | $31 \%$ | (70) | 44\% | (98) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 13\% | (16) | 32\% | (39) | $31 \%$ | (37) | 23\% | (28) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 15\% | (13) | 20\% | (17) | 39\% | (34) | 26\% | (23) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 7\% | (12) | 21\% | (37) | 29\% | (51) | 43\% | (75) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | $11 \%$ | (103) | 24\% | (215) | 33\% | (296) | 31\% | (280) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | $4 \%$ | (17) | 12\% | (48) | $31 \%$ | (129) | 53\% | (219) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (98) | $31 \%$ | (204) | 33\% | (218) | 21\% | (136) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | 3\% | (14) | 9\% | (41) | 30\% | (136) | 58\% | (263) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 18\% | (99) | 35\% | (194) | 33\% | (181) | 14\% | (78) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | $4 \%$ | (9) | 6\% | (15) | 22\% | (55) | 69\% | (173) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 3\% | (5) | 13\% | (26) | 40\% | (81) | 44\% | (90) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (10) | 39\% | (48) | 37\% | (46) | 17\% | (20) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 21\% | (89) | 34\% | (146) | 32\% | (135) | 13\% | (58) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (15) | 10\% | (44) | 30\% | (134) | 57\% | (254) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (98) | 35\% | (194) | 32\% | (181) | 15\% | (84) | 558 |

[^36]Table LX2b_6: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Uniting the country

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (115) | 24\% | (252) | 32\% | (347) | $33 \%$ | (355) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (10) | 7\% | (18) | 22\% | (59) | 68\% | (181) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (5) | 14\% | (26) | 42\% | (76) | $41 \%$ | (73) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 2\% | (2) | 34\% | (33) | 42\% | (41) | 21\% | (21) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 21\% | (96) | 35\% | (161) | 30\% | (140) | 14\% | (64) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (26) | 29\% | (76) | 31\% | (82) | 30\% | (80) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (14) | 11\% | (22) | 26\% | (52) | 56\% | (113) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (22) | 26\% | (47) | 35\% | (64) | 27\% | (48) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 12\% | (19) | 25\% | (39) | 33\% | (50) | 30\% | (47) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 18\% | (11) | 18\% | (11) | 32\% | (19) | $32 \%$ | (18) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 7\% | (4) | 21\% | (13) | 45\% | (29) | 27\% | (17) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 19\% | (13) | 26\% | (17) | 39\% | (26) | 17\% | (11) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 9\% | (7) | 34\% | (27) | 32\% | (26) | 25\% | (20) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 21\% | (76) | 36\% | (127) | 28\% | (100) | 14\% | (51) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 3\% | (8) | 8\% | (27) | 29\% | (94) | 59\% | (189) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 21\% | (69) | 38\% | (123) | 29\% | (93) | 12\% | (38) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 3\% | (9) | 6\% | (22) | 31\% | (109) | 60\% | (208) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | $11 \%$ | (10) | 26\% | (24) | 36\% | (34) | 27\% | (26) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (27) | 27\% | (82) | 36\% | (110) | 28\% | (83) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 13\% | (78) | 22\% | (138) | 30\% | (183) | 35\% | (219) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (37) | 25\% | (115) | 36\% | (164) | 30\% | (136) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 19\% | (73) | $31 \%$ | (120) | 29\% | (113) | 20\% | (78) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $4 \%$ | (11) | 9\% | (25) | 33\% | (89) | 53\% | (142) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (31) | 26\% | (100) | 35\% | (133) | 30\% | (115) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 7\% | (13) | 23\% | (44) | 37\% | (68) | 33\% | (62) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 13\% | (29) | 21\% | (49) | 34\% | (78) | 32\% | (72) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | 13\% | (49) | 20\% | (81) | 28\% | (112) | 39\% | (153) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | 9\% | (24) | 30\% | (79) | 34\% | (88) | 26\% | (68) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_7: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to working class voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (116) | 27\% | (288) | 36\% | (390) | 26\% | (276) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (63) | 26\% | (137) | 36\% | (192) | 26\% | (138) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 10\% | (53) | 28\% | (151) | 37\% | (197) | 26\% | (138) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 12\% | (26) | 37\% | (81) | 36\% | (78) | 16\% | (34) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 11\% | (30) | 28\% | (75) | 39\% | (107) | 22\% | (60) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 10\% | (15) | 20\% | (32) | 37\% | (58) | 33\% | (52) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 10\% | (22) | 22\% | (48) | 36\% | (78) | 32\% | (70) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 11\% | (23) | 26\% | (53) | 33\% | (68) | 29\% | (60) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 12\% | (13) | 35\% | (38) | 45\% | (48) | 8\% | (8) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 10\% | (29) | 32\% | (89) | 34\% | (96) | 24\% | (67) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 11\% | (30) | 23\% | (61) | 38\% | (99) | 27\% | (71) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 10\% | (37) | 24\% | (87) | 36\% | (134) | 30\% | (109) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 21\% | (71) | 39\% | (133) | 32\% | (108) | 9\% | (29) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (25) | $31 \%$ | (121) | 39\% | (151) | 24\% | (95) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (20) | 10\% | (35) | 39\% | (130) | 45\% | (152) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (39) | 42\% | (71) | 27\% | (46) | 7\% | (12) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 19\% | (33) | 35\% | (61) | 36\% | (62) | 10\% | (18) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (11) | 27\% | (50) | 44\% | (82) | 24\% | (44) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (13) | 35\% | (71) | 34\% | (70) | 25\% | (51) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (12) | 9\% | (16) | 37\% | (65) | 47\% | (83) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (7) | $11 \%$ | (18) | 41\% | (65) | 43\% | (69) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 19\% | (52) | 38\% | (106) | 33\% | (92) | 10\% | (27) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 11\% | (29) | 30\% | (83) | 39\% | (106) | 20\% | (55) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (20) | 13\% | (50) | 38\% | (140) | 43\% | (161) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 10\% | (74) | 25\% | (186) | 37\% | (271) | 28\% | (204) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 10\% | (21) | 31\% | (67) | 39\% | (85) | 20\% | (44) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 18\% | (21) | 30\% | (35) | 29\% | (34) | 24\% | (28) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | $11 \%$ | (63) | 28\% | (159) | 34\% | (193) | 26\% | (148) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (31) | 24\% | (84) | 40\% | (140) | 27\% | (92) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 14\% | (22) | 28\% | (45) | 35\% | (57) | 23\% | (37) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (81) | 24\% | (209) | 38\% | (329) | 28\% | (239) | 858 |

[^37]Table LX2b_7: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to working class voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (116) | 27\% | (288) | $36 \%$ | (390) | 26\% | (276) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 12\% | (20) | 38\% | (64) | 34\% | (57) | 17\% | (29) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 19\% | (23) | 37\% | (43) | 20\% | (24) | 24\% | (28) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (12) | 38\% | (36) | 40\% | (37) | 10\% | (9) | 94 |
| All Christian | 9\% | (49) | 24\% | (126) | 39\% | (208) | 27\% | (145) | 528 |
| Atheist | 15\% | (8) | 25\% | (13) | 39\% | (20) | 20\% | (11) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 11\% | (52) | 29\% | (133) | 33\% | (152) | 26\% | (119) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 11\% | (34) | 18\% | (56) | 41\% | (126) | 30\% | (94) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 9\% | (36) | 27\% | (108) | 38\% | (153) | 26\% | (106) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (43) | 33\% | (92) | 32\% | (89) | 20\% | (55) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 10\% | (47) | 28\% | (136) | 38\% | (185) | 24\% | (113) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 9\% | (27) | 19\% | (60) | 37\% | (116) | 35\% | (108) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 12\% | (43) | 25\% | (86) | $41 \%$ | (140) | 22\% | (76) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | 2\% | (2) | 35\% | (25) | 28\% | (20) | 35\% | (25) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 10\% | (10) | 30\% | (28) | 30\% | (29) | 30\% | (28) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 10\% | (8) | 25\% | (20) | 39\% | (32) | 26\% | (21) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 9\% | (20) | 23\% | (52) | 32\% | (72) | 36\% | (80) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 12\% | (14) | 33\% | (40) | 38\% | (45) | 18\% | (21) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 15\% | (13) | 20\% | (17) | 40\% | (35) | 25\% | (22) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 7\% | (13) | 25\% | (44) | 35\% | (62) | 33\% | (58) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 12\% | (104) | 27\% | (244) | 37\% | (328) | 24\% | (218) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 5\% | (20) | 16\% | (68) | 34\% | (142) | 45\% | (184) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (96) | 34\% | (221) | 38\% | (248) | 14\% | (92) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | 4\% | (19) | 12\% | (53) | 39\% | (176) | 45\% | (205) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 17\% | (94) | 39\% | (213) | 34\% | (187) | 10\% | (58) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 6\% | (15) | 8\% | (19) | 29\% | (73) | 58\% | (145) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 2\% | (4) | 17\% | (34) | 51\% | (104) | 30\% | (60) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (10) | 39\% | (48) | 37\% | (45) | 16\% | (20) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 20\% | (84) | 39\% | (165) | 33\% | (142) | 9\% | (37) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (22) | 12\% | (54) | 38\% | (170) | 45\% | (200) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (91) | 40\% | (220) | 33\% | (183) | 11\% | (63) | 558 |

[^38]Table LX2b_7: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to working class voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (116) | 27\% | (288) | $36 \%$ | (390) | 26\% | (276) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (17) | 9\% | (24) | 29\% | (76) | 56\% | (150) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (5) | 17\% | (30) | $52 \%$ | (94) | 28\% | (50) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 5\% | (5) | 38\% | (37) | 39\% | (38) | 18\% | (17) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (87) | 40\% | (184) | $31 \%$ | (145) | 10\% | (46) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (34) | $31 \%$ | (81) | 35\% | (94) | $21 \%$ | (55) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 5\% | (11) | 13\% | (26) | 34\% | (67) | 48\% | (96) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (21) | 30\% | (55) | $39 \%$ | (71) | 19\% | (35) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 15\% | (23) | 30\% | (46) | $32 \%$ | (49) | 24\% | (36) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 12\% | (7) | 20\% | (12) | 39\% | (23) | 29\% | (17) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 10\% | (7) | 25\% | (16) | 44\% | (28) | 20\% | (13) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 9\% | (6) | 47\% | (31) | 37\% | (25) | 7\% | (5) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 8\% | (7) | 27\% | (21) | 41\% | (33) | 24\% | (19) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 21\% | (74) | 41\% | (147) | 28\% | (100) | 9\% | (33) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 4\% | (12) | 12\% | (37) | 36\% | (116) | 48\% | (153) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 23\% | (75) | 40\% | (129) | 27\% | (86) | 10\% | (33) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | $3 \%$ | (12) | 10\% | (36) | 39\% | (137) | 47\% | (166) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (7) | $36 \%$ | (33) | 42\% | (40) | 15\% | (14) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (23) | 29\% | (88) | 42\% | (128) | $21 \%$ | (63) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 13\% | (81) | 26\% | (160) | 35\% | (214) | 26\% | (163) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (35) | 28\% | (128) | 39\% | (176) | 25\% | (113) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 19\% | (71) | 35\% | (135) | $33 \%$ | (126) | 14\% | (53) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 5\% | (14) | 13\% | (35) | 39\% | (104) | 42\% | (113) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (31) | 28\% | (107) | 38\% | (146) | 25\% | (97) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 8\% | (15) | 32\% | (60) | $31 \%$ | (58) | 29\% | (54) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 12\% | (27) | 27\% | (62) | 37\% | (86) | 24\% | (55) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | 12\% | (46) | 22\% | (88) | 35\% | (137) | $31 \%$ | (124) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | $11 \%$ | (28) | 30\% | (78) | 42\% | (109) | 17\% | (44) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_8: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to male voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (101) | 29\% | (310) | 38\% | (403) | 24\% | (256) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 9\% | (48) | 29\% | (153) | 38\% | (203) | 24\% | (126) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 10\% | (53) | 29\% | (156) | 37\% | (200) | 24\% | (130) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 11\% | (24) | 38\% | (83) | 40\% | (88) | 11\% | (25) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 8\% | (22) | 30\% | (81) | 36\% | (97) | 26\% | (72) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 7\% | (11) | 24\% | (37) | 39\% | (61) | 30\% | (47) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 12\% | (26) | 22\% | (48) | 39\% | (85) | 27\% | (58) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 9\% | (17) | 29\% | (60) | 36\% | (72) | 27\% | (54) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 13\% | (14) | 42\% | (45) | 36\% | (39) | 9\% | (9) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 8\% | (21) | $31 \%$ | (88) | 39\% | (108) | 22\% | (63) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 9\% | (22) | 26\% | (68) | 38\% | (99) | 27\% | (71) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 10\% | (37) | 25\% | (92) | 40\% | (146) | 25\% | (92) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 18\% | (62) | 41\% | (139) | 32\% | (110) | 9\% | (31) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (26) | 28\% | (109) | 42\% | (166) | 23\% | (90) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 4\% | (13) | 18\% | (62) | 38\% | (127) | 40\% | (135) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 18\% | (30) | 43\% | (72) | $31 \%$ | (51) | 9\% | (15) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 19\% | (32) | 39\% | (67) | 34\% | (58) | 9\% | (16) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 5\% | (10) | 25\% | (47) | 49\% | (91) | 21\% | (39) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 8\% | (17) | 30\% | (62) | 37\% | (75) | 25\% | (51) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 5\% | (9) | 20\% | (35) | 35\% | (61) | 41\% | (72) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (4) | 17\% | (27) | $41 \%$ | (66) | 39\% | (63) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 15\% | (42) | 40\% | (111) | 36\% | (101) | 9\% | (24) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 12\% | (34) | 31\% | (85) | 36\% | (99) | 20\% | (56) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 4\% | (16) | 20\% | (74) | 37\% | (138) | 39\% | (143) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 10\% | (74) | 25\% | (187) | 39\% | (286) | 25\% | (187) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 7\% | (15) | 37\% | (81) | 39\% | (84) | 17\% | (38) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 10\% | (12) | 35\% | (42) | 28\% | (33) | 26\% | (31) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 11\% | (63) | 28\% | (156) | 35\% | (200) | 26\% | (144) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (31) | 28\% | (96) | 41\% | (142) | 23\% | (78) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 4\% | (7) | 36\% | (58) | 39\% | (62) | 21\% | (34) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (70) | 26\% | (223) | 39\% | (337) | 27\% | (229) | 858 |

[^39]Table LX2b_8: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to male voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (101) | 29\% | (310) | 38\% | (403) | 24\% | (256) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 10\% | (16) | 28\% | (47) | 46\% | (78) | 17\% | (28) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 18\% | (21) | 44\% | (51) | 23\% | (27) | 15\% | (18) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 10\% | (10) | 38\% | (36) | 42\% | (40) | 10\% | (9) | 94 |
| All Christian | 8\% | (44) | 26\% | (138) | 39\% | (206) | 26\% | (139) | 528 |
| Atheist | 8\% | (4) | $34 \%$ | (18) | 39\% | (20) | 19\% | (10) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 10\% | (43) | 31\% | (140) | 37\% | (166) | 23\% | (106) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 10\% | (31) | 20\% | (63) | 44\% | (137) | 25\% | (79) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (31) | 29\% | (117) | 39\% | (157) | 24\% | (97) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 12\% | (32) | 37\% | (103) | $32 \%$ | (89) | 20\% | (55) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (39) | $31 \%$ | (147) | 39\% | (189) | 22\% | (105) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 10\% | (30) | 19\% | (59) | 40\% | (125) | 31\% | (96) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 9\% | (31) | 32\% | (109) | 40\% | (139) | 19\% | (65) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | 8\% | (6) | 35\% | (25) | 26\% | (19) | $31 \%$ | (22) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (7) | 25\% | (24) | 39\% | (37) | 28\% | (27) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 12\% | (10) | 24\% | (19) | 36\% | (29) | 28\% | (23) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (17) | 25\% | (56) | 38\% | (84) | 30\% | (66) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 10\% | (12) | 34\% | (41) | 35\% | (42) | 20\% | (24) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | $11 \%$ | (10) | 19\% | (16) | 43\% | (37) | 27\% | (24) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (15) | 28\% | (49) | 35\% | (62) | 28\% | (49) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (86) | 29\% | (260) | 38\% | (341) | 23\% | (207) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 4\% | (16) | 18\% | (76) | 37\% | (154) | 41\% | (167) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 13\% | (85) | 36\% | (234) | 38\% | (249) | 14\% | (89) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | 3\% | (14) | 18\% | (80) | 38\% | (174) | 41\% | (186) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 15\% | (84) | 38\% | (210) | 36\% | (197) | 11\% | (61) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 3\% | (9) | 14\% | (35) | 29\% | (74) | 53\% | (134) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 3\% | (5) | 22\% | (44) | 49\% | (100) | 26\% | (52) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (10) | 41\% | (51) | 34\% | (42) | 17\% | (21) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 17\% | (74) | 37\% | (159) | 36\% | (155) | 9\% | (40) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (17) | 17\% | (77) | 38\% | (171) | 41\% | (182) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 15\% | (82) | 39\% | (219) | 36\% | (198) | 11\% | (59) | 558 |

[^40]Table LX2b_8: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to male voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (101) | 29\% | (310) | $38 \%$ | (403) | 24\% | (256) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (10) | 13\% | (36) | $31 \%$ | (82) | 52\% | (140) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (7) | 23\% | (41) | 50\% | (89) | 24\% | (42) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 5\% | (5) | 41\% | (40) | 38\% | (37) | 16\% | (16) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (77) | $39 \%$ | (179) | 35\% | (162) | 9\% | (43) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (26) | 34\% | (91) | 38\% | (101) | 17\% | (46) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 5\% | (9) | 15\% | (30) | 36\% | (71) | 45\% | (89) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (24) | 33\% | (59) | 35\% | (64) | 19\% | (35) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 12\% | (19) | 30\% | (46) | 36\% | (56) | 21\% | (33) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 12\% | (7) | 25\% | (15) | 34\% | (20) | 29\% | (17) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 7\% | (5) | 30\% | (19) | 44\% | (28) | 19\% | (12) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 7\% | (4) | 42\% | (28) | 41\% | (27) | $11 \%$ | (7) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 9\% | (7) | 26\% | (21) | 44\% | (36) | 21\% | (17) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 17\% | (59) | 42\% | (150) | $31 \%$ | (110) | 10\% | (34) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 2\% | (7) | 17\% | (53) | 37\% | (119) | 44\% | (140) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 17\% | (55) | 41\% | (132) | 32\% | (103) | 10\% | (32) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 2\% | (7) | 16\% | (57) | 40\% | (140) | 41\% | (145) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | $11 \%$ | (10) | $31 \%$ | (29) | 40\% | (38) | 18\% | (16) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (28) | 30\% | (90) | 40\% | (121) | 21\% | (63) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (64) | 29\% | (176) | 35\% | (219) | 26\% | (159) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (37) | 29\% | (133) | 41\% | (185) | 22\% | (98) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 15\% | (58) | 37\% | (142) | 35\% | (135) | 13\% | (50) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 4\% | (11) | 17\% | (46) | 39\% | (104) | 40\% | (106) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (32) | 30\% | (113) | 39\% | (149) | 23\% | (86) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 6\% | (12) | 32\% | (59) | 36\% | (67) | 26\% | (49) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 12\% | (28) | 30\% | (70) | 35\% | (81) | 22\% | (51) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | 11\% | (44) | 25\% | (98) | 37\% | (144) | 27\% | (108) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | 7\% | (17) | 32\% | (83) | 43\% | (110) | 19\% | (48) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_9: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to female voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (104) | 28\% | (302) | 38\% | (408) | 24\% | (257) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (55) | 30\% | (158) | 38\% | (200) | 22\% | (118) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 9\% | (49) | 27\% | (144) | 39\% | (208) | 26\% | (139) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 11\% | (25) | 29\% | (63) | 44\% | (96) | 16\% | (36) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 9\% | (25) | 28\% | (77) | 36\% | (97) | 26\% | (72) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 8\% | (12) | 25\% | (39) | 40\% | (63) | 28\% | (43) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 9\% | (20) | 27\% | (60) | 38\% | (82) | 25\% | (55) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 11\% | (22) | 31\% | (63) | 34\% | (69) | 25\% | (50) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 9\% | (9) | 26\% | (28) | 52\% | (55) | 14\% | (15) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 12\% | (33) | 27\% | (74) | 35\% | (99) | 26\% | (74) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 8\% | (20) | 29\% | (77) | 39\% | (102) | 24\% | (63) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 9\% | (34) | 29\% | (106) | 38\% | (140) | 24\% | (88) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 20\% | (68) | 35\% | (121) | 35\% | (118) | 10\% | (34) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (26) | 32\% | (126) | 40\% | (157) | 21\% | (83) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 3\% | (10) | 16\% | (55) | 39\% | (132) | 42\% | (140) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (35) | 40\% | (67) | 31\% | (52) | 8\% | (14) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 19\% | (34) | $31 \%$ | (54) | 38\% | (66) | 11\% | (20) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (14) | 33\% | (61) | 43\% | (79) | 18\% | (33) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (12) | 32\% | (65) | 38\% | (78) | 24\% | (50) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 4\% | (7) | 17\% | (30) | 39\% | (68) | 40\% | (71) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 2\% | (3) | 15\% | (24) | 40\% | (64) | 43\% | (69) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 19\% | (53) | 34\% | (94) | 37\% | (104) | 10\% | (27) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 10\% | (28) | 31\% | (84) | 37\% | (101) | 22\% | (60) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 4\% | (14) | 21\% | (79) | 39\% | (145) | 36\% | (133) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 9\% | (65) | 24\% | (180) | 40\% | (297) | 26\% | (193) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 9\% | (20) | 36\% | (79) | 35\% | (77) | 19\% | (41) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 16\% | (19) | 36\% | (43) | 29\% | (34) | 19\% | (23) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 10\% | (58) | 28\% | (155) | 37\% | (207) | 25\% | (143) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (31) | 27\% | (95) | 40\% | (140) | 23\% | (81) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 10\% | (16) | 32\% | (52) | 38\% | (60) | 20\% | (32) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (67) | 27\% | (228) | 40\% | (339) | 26\% | (224) | 858 |

[^41]Table LX2b_9: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to female voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (104) | 28\% | (302) | 38\% | (408) | 24\% | (257) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 9\% | (16) | 31\% | (53) | 45\% | (77) | 14\% | (24) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 20\% | (23) | 37\% | (43) | 26\% | (31) | 18\% | (21) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (14) | $33 \%$ | (31) | 40\% | (38) | 13\% | (12) | 94 |
| All Christian | 9\% | (49) | 27\% | (140) | 41\% | (218) | 23\% | (120) | 528 |
| Atheist | 18\% | (9) | 29\% | (15) | 28\% | (14) | 25\% | (13) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (38) | 30\% | (135) | 36\% | (165) | 26\% | (118) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 8\% | (26) | 23\% | (71) | 45\% | (138) | 24\% | (75) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 9\% | (34) | 28\% | (112) | 38\% | (154) | 25\% | (101) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (41) | 36\% | (99) | 30\% | (84) | 20\% | (55) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (39) | 30\% | (145) | 42\% | (202) | 20\% | (95) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 8\% | (24) | 19\% | (57) | 39\% | (122) | 34\% | (107) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 11\% | (36) | 28\% | (95) | $41 \%$ | (140) | $21 \%$ | (73) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | 12\% | (8) | 28\% | (20) | 29\% | (20) | 32\% | (23) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (8) | 28\% | (26) | 35\% | (33) | 29\% | (27) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 8\% | (6) | 24\% | (19) | 43\% | (35) | 26\% | (21) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (19) | 29\% | (66) | 35\% | (77) | 28\% | (62) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 12\% | (14) | 33\% | (40) | 37\% | (44) | 18\% | (21) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 12\% | (10) | 25\% | (22) | 37\% | (32) | 26\% | (22) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (18) | 24\% | (43) | 37\% | (66) | 28\% | (50) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (87) | 29\% | (259) | 38\% | (342) | 23\% | (207) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 4\% | (14) | 19\% | (79) | 39\% | (159) | 39\% | (160) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 14\% | (90) | 34\% | (222) | 38\% | (248) | 15\% | (96) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | 2\% | (8) | 18\% | (82) | 38\% | (175) | 42\% | (189) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 17\% | (96) | 36\% | (199) | 36\% | (199) | 10\% | (57) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 2\% | (6) | 14\% | (36) | 33\% | (82) | 51\% | (128) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 1\% | (2) | 23\% | (46) | 46\% | (92) | $31 \%$ | (62) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 6\% | (7) | 41\% | (50) | 37\% | (45) | 17\% | (20) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 21\% | (89) | 35\% | (149) | 36\% | (154) | 9\% | (37) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (12) | 18\% | (79) | 38\% | (172) | 41\% | (183) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (88) | 38\% | (211) | 36\% | (203) | 10\% | (55) | 558 |

[^42]Table LX2b_9: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to female voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (104) | 28\% | (302) | 38\% | (408) | 24\% | (257) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (8) | 14\% | (37) | $34 \%$ | (91) | 49\% | (132) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (4) | 23\% | (42) | 45\% | (81) | 29\% | (52) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 3\% | (3) | 43\% | (42) | 37\% | (36) | 16\% | (16) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (85) | 37\% | (170) | $36 \%$ | (166) | 9\% | (39) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 11\% | (30) | 32\% | (85) | 39\% | (104) | 17\% | (45) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 4\% | (8) | $21 \%$ | (42) | 36\% | (72) | 39\% | (79) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 11\% | (21) | $31 \%$ | (57) | 36\% | (65) | 22\% | (40) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 12\% | (19) | $31 \%$ | (47) | $34 \%$ | (53) | 23\% | (35) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 16\% | (9) | 16\% | (9) | $41 \%$ | (24) | 27\% | (16) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | $4 \%$ | (3) | 28\% | (18) | 39\% | (25) | 28\% | (18) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 13\% | (9) | 35\% | (23) | 41\% | (28) | 10\% | (7) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 8\% | (6) | 25\% | (21) | 45\% | (37) | $21 \%$ | (17) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 20\% | (72) | 42\% | (148) | 28\% | (100) | 10\% | (34) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 2\% | (6) | 16\% | (52) | 40\% | (126) | 42\% | (134) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 21\% | (69) | 40\% | (129) | 29\% | (95) | 9\% | (30) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 2\% | (6) | 15\% | (53) | 42\% | (147) | 41\% | (143) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 10\% | (9) | 40\% | (38) | $33 \%$ | (31) | 17\% | (16) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (20) | 27\% | (80) | 44\% | (134) | 22\% | (67) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 12\% | (74) | 30\% | (186) | 34\% | (208) | 24\% | (149) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 7\% | (30) | 25\% | (115) | 44\% | (199) | 24\% | (107) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 17\% | (64) | 37\% | (144) | $31 \%$ | (120) | 15\% | (56) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 5\% | (13) | 19\% | (50) | 40\% | (108) | 36\% | (96) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (28) | 25\% | (95) | 43\% | (161) | 25\% | (95) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 9\% | (17) | 30\% | (56) | 37\% | (69) | 24\% | (46) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 10\% | (23) | 29\% | (67) | $33 \%$ | (75) | 28\% | (64) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | 10\% | (39) | 27\% | (106) | 37\% | (146) | 26\% | (104) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | 10\% | (26) | 28\% | (73) | 45\% | (118) | 16\% | (42) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_10: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to swing voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (88) | 26\% | (274) | 38\% | (410) | 28\% | (298) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 9\% | (47) | 27\% | (141) | $36 \%$ | (192) | 28\% | (150) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (41) | 25\% | (133) | 40\% | (218) | 27\% | (147) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 8\% | (17) | 30\% | (66) | 40\% | (88) | 22\% | (48) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 7\% | (19) | 27\% | (73) | 39\% | (105) | 27\% | (74) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 6\% | (9) | 23\% | (37) | 36\% | (57) | 34\% | (54) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | $11 \%$ | (24) | 23\% | (50) | 36\% | (78) | 30\% | (65) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 9\% | (18) | 24\% | (48) | 40\% | (81) | 28\% | (56) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 9\% | (9) | 34\% | (36) | 38\% | (40) | 20\% | (21) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 7\% | (21) | 26\% | (72) | 41\% | (116) | 25\% | (71) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 6\% | (16) | 26\% | (68) | $36 \%$ | (94) | 32\% | (83) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 10\% | (35) | 24\% | (87) | 39\% | (143) | 28\% | (102) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 15\% | (51) | 37\% | (127) | 37\% | (125) | 11\% | (37) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (28) | 27\% | (107) | 39\% | (152) | 27\% | (105) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 3\% | (9) | 12\% | (40) | 40\% | (133) | 46\% | (155) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 15\% | (25) | 40\% | (67) | $37 \%$ | (61) | 9\% | (15) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 15\% | (27) | 35\% | (60) | 37\% | (64) | 13\% | (23) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (15) | 25\% | (46) | 43\% | (80) | 24\% | (45) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (13) | 30\% | (61) | 35\% | (72) | 29\% | (60) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 4\% | (7) | 16\% | (28) | 29\% | (51) | 51\% | (90) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 1\% | (1) | 7\% | (12) | 51\% | (82) | 41\% | (65) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 14\% | (38) | 38\% | (104) | $36 \%$ | (99) | 13\% | (36) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 11\% | (30) | 28\% | (76) | 39\% | (107) | 22\% | (61) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 4\% | (14) | 14\% | (52) | 39\% | (143) | 44\% | (162) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (59) | 22\% | (159) | 40\% | (295) | 30\% | (220) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 8\% | (18) | 34\% | (75) | 34\% | (74) | 23\% | (50) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 9\% | (10) | 34\% | (40) | 34\% | (40) | 23\% | (28) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (46) | 25\% | (141) | $39 \%$ | (218) | 28\% | (157) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (31) | 24\% | (84) | 37\% | (128) | 30\% | (103) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 7\% | (11) | 30\% | (49) | 40\% | (64) | 23\% | (37) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 7\% | (60) | 23\% | (196) | $39 \%$ | (333) | $31 \%$ | (269) | 858 |

[^43]Table LX2b_10: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to swing voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (88) | 26\% | (274) | 38\% | (410) | 28\% | (298) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 8\% | (13) | 30\% | (52) | 42\% | (71) | 20\% | (34) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 17\% | (20) | 39\% | (46) | 28\% | (32) | 16\% | (19) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 9\% | (8) | 34\% | (32) | 47\% | (44) | 10\% | (10) | 94 |
| All Christian | 8\% | (41) | 23\% | (123) | 40\% | (210) | 29\% | (154) | 528 |
| Atheist | 8\% | (4) | 23\% | (12) | 46\% | (24) | 23\% | (12) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (37) | 28\% | (125) | 36\% | (164) | 28\% | (129) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 7\% | (21) | 17\% | (53) | 47\% | (145) | 29\% | (91) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (30) | 27\% | (107) | 35\% | (140) | 31\% | (125) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 10\% | (28) | 32\% | (88) | 35\% | (99) | 23\% | (64) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (40) | 26\% | (127) | 39\% | (188) | 26\% | (126) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 7\% | (20) | 19\% | (59) | 40\% | (124) | 35\% | (107) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 8\% | (29) | 30\% | (102) | 40\% | (137) | 22\% | (76) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | $4 \%$ | (3) | 24\% | (17) | 38\% | (27) | $34 \%$ | (24) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (8) | 24\% | (22) | 26\% | (25) | 42\% | (39) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 13\% | (10) | 20\% | (16) | 35\% | (28) | $33 \%$ | (27) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (17) | 22\% | (49) | 40\% | (89) | 31\% | (69) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (9) | 30\% | (36) | 34\% | (40) | 29\% | (34) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 10\% | (8) | 17\% | (15) | 49\% | (42) | 24\% | (21) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 6\% | (11) | 24\% | (43) | 38\% | (68) | $31 \%$ | (54) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (77) | 26\% | (231) | 38\% | (342) | 27\% | (244) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 2\% | (10) | 16\% | (65) | 37\% | (151) | 45\% | (187) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 12\% | (78) | 32\% | (210) | 39\% | (259) | 17\% | (110) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | 1\% | (5) | 12\% | (56) | 39\% | (178) | 47\% | (215) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 15\% | (83) | 35\% | (196) | 36\% | (198) | 14\% | (75) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 2\% | (4) | 11\% | (27) | 29\% | (74) | 58\% | (147) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 1\% | (1) | 14\% | (29) | 51\% | (104) | 34\% | (68) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 7\% | (8) | 35\% | (43) | 39\% | (48) | 20\% | (25) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 17\% | (75) | 36\% | (153) | 35\% | (150) | 12\% | (50) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 2\% | (8) | 12\% | (55) | 39\% | (173) | 47\% | (210) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 14\% | (76) | 37\% | (207) | $36 \%$ | (201) | 13\% | (74) | 558 |

[^44]Table LX2b_10: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to swing voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (88) | 26\% | (274) | 38\% | (410) | 28\% | (298) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (7) | 10\% | (27) | 30\% | (81) | 57\% | (152) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 1\% | (1) | 15\% | (28) | 51\% | (92) | 33\% | (58) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 4\% | (4) | 33\% | (32) | 43\% | (42) | 20\% | (20) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (72) | 38\% | (176) | 35\% | (159) | 12\% | (54) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (25) | 30\% | (78) | 39\% | (104) | 22\% | (57) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | $3 \%$ | (6) | 11\% | (22) | 37\% | (73) | 49\% | (99) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 8\% | (15) | 28\% | (51) | 40\% | (72) | 24\% | (44) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (21) | 28\% | (43) | 34\% | (53) | 25\% | (38) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 12\% | (7) | 23\% | (13) | 36\% | (21) | 29\% | (17) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 3\% | (2) | $31 \%$ | (20) | 40\% | (26) | 25\% | (16) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 8\% | (5) | 40\% | (27) | 41\% | (27) | 11\% | (8) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 10\% | (8) | 24\% | (19) | 43\% | (35) | 23\% | (18) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 18\% | (62) | 39\% | (139) | 32\% | (113) | $11 \%$ | (39) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 1\% | (4) | 14\% | (44) | 36\% | (115) | 49\% | (155) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 18\% | (57) | 38\% | (123) | 32\% | (105) | 12\% | (38) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 2\% | (5) | 13\% | (44) | 40\% | (138) | 46\% | (162) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 13\% | (12) | 35\% | (33) | 35\% | (33) | 17\% | (16) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 5\% | (14) | 24\% | (73) | 44\% | (133) | 27\% | (81) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (62) | 26\% | (162) | 35\% | (219) | 28\% | (174) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 6\% | (26) | 25\% | (112) | 42\% | (191) | 27\% | (124) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 15\% | (57) | 34\% | (129) | 36\% | (138) | 16\% | (61) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 4\% | (11) | 15\% | (41) | 38\% | (102) | 42\% | (113) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 5\% | (20) | 25\% | (96) | 40\% | (153) | 29\% | (112) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 8\% | (15) | 28\% | (52) | 36\% | (67) | 29\% | (54) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 9\% | (20) | 24\% | (55) | 41\% | (95) | 26\% | (60) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | 9\% | (36) | 23\% | (90) | 35\% | (139) | 33\% | (129) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | 7\% | (17) | 30\% | (77) | 42\% | (109) | 21\% | (55) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_11: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to young voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (134) | 29\% | (305) | $37 \%$ | (392) | 22\% | (239) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 11\% | (60) | $31 \%$ | (162) | 38\% | (199) | 20\% | (108) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 14\% | (73) | 27\% | (143) | $36 \%$ | (193) | 24\% | (130) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 16\% | (35) | 32\% | (71) | 38\% | (83) | 14\% | (31) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | $11 \%$ | (30) | 26\% | (71) | 38\% | (102) | 25\% | (69) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 9\% | (15) | 27\% | (42) | 39\% | (62) | 25\% | (39) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 14\% | (31) | 28\% | (61) | $33 \%$ | (72) | 25\% | (54) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 11\% | (23) | 30\% | (61) | $36 \%$ | (74) | 22\% | (46) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 15\% | (16) | 37\% | (39) | 39\% | (41) | 10\% | (11) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 13\% | (36) | 26\% | (71) | $36 \%$ | (102) | 25\% | (70) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 11\% | (28) | 28\% | (73) | 39\% | (103) | 22\% | (58) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 13\% | (48) | 28\% | (104) | $36 \%$ | (133) | 22\% | (82) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 23\% | (80) | 32\% | (109) | 35\% | (120) | 9\% | (32) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 9\% | (37) | 33\% | (128) | 35\% | (139) | 23\% | (89) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (17) | 20\% | (68) | 40\% | (134) | 35\% | (118) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (39) | 37\% | (62) | $33 \%$ | (55) | 7\% | (12) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 23\% | (41) | 27\% | (47) | $38 \%$ | (65) | 12\% | (20) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (13) | 32\% | (59) | 41\% | (76) | 20\% | (38) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 12\% | (24) | 33\% | (69) | $30 \%$ | (63) | 24\% | (50) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 5\% | (8) | 23\% | (41) | $39 \%$ | (69) | $33 \%$ | (59) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (9) | 17\% | (27) | $41 \%$ | (65) | 37\% | (59) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 20\% | (56) | 34\% | (95) | $36 \%$ | (100) | 10\% | (27) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 16\% | (44) | 29\% | (79) | $36 \%$ | (99) | 19\% | (52) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (18) | 24\% | (89) | 37\% | (137) | 34\% | (127) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 12\% | (88) | 25\% | (184) | 39\% | (286) | 24\% | (176) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 14\% | (30) | $34 \%$ | (73) | 35\% | (76) | 17\% | (38) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 13\% | (16) | $41 \%$ | (49) | 25\% | (30) | 21\% | (24) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 13\% | (72) | 27\% | (154) | 38\% | (212) | 22\% | (124) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 11\% | (40) | 27\% | (95) | $38 \%$ | (130) | 24\% | (82) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 14\% | (22) | 35\% | (57) | 31\% | (50) | 20\% | (32) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (86) | 28\% | (238) | $38 \%$ | (326) | 24\% | (209) | 858 |

[^45]Table LX2b_11: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to young voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (134) | 29\% | (305) | 37\% | (392) | 22\% | (239) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 18\% | (31) | 32\% | (54) | 33\% | (57) | 17\% | (29) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 23\% | (27) | $33 \%$ | (38) | 30\% | (35) | 15\% | (17) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 23\% | (21) | 31\% | (29) | 33\% | (31) | 13\% | (13) | 94 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (59) | 27\% | (143) | 37\% | (195) | 25\% | (131) | 528 |
| Atheist | 15\% | (8) | 28\% | (15) | $31 \%$ | (16) | 26\% | (14) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 13\% | (59) | 30\% | (136) | 37\% | (167) | 20\% | (93) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 11\% | (36) | 22\% | (69) | 42\% | (129) | 25\% | (76) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 10\% | (42) | 28\% | (113) | 39\% | (156) | 23\% | (91) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (45) | 34\% | (94) | 29\% | (82) | 21\% | (58) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (63) | 29\% | (142) | 39\% | (189) | 18\% | (87) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 8\% | (26) | 22\% | (69) | 39\% | (121) | 30\% | (93) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (50) | 30\% | (102) | 37\% | (128) | 19\% | (64) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | 13\% | (9) | 28\% | (20) | 29\% | (20) | 31\% | (22) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 7\% | (7) | 30\% | (29) | 34\% | (32) | 28\% | (27) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 14\% | (12) | 23\% | (18) | 36\% | (29) | 27\% | (22) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 10\% | (23) | 30\% | (66) | 36\% | (80) | 24\% | (54) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 14\% | (17) | 29\% | (35) | 39\% | (46) | 18\% | (21) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 15\% | (13) | 21\% | (18) | 41\% | (35) | 23\% | (20) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 13\% | (23) | 29\% | (50) | $31 \%$ | (55) | 27\% | (47) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 12\% | (111) | 29\% | (255) | 38\% | (337) | 21\% | (191) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 5\% | (20) | 22\% | (89) | 38\% | (158) | 35\% | (146) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 17\% | (114) | 33\% | (216) | 36\% | (235) | 14\% | (92) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | 3\% | (14) | 20\% | (91) | 40\% | (180) | 37\% | (168) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 21\% | (117) | 35\% | (193) | 33\% | (180) | 11\% | (62) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 4\% | (10) | 15\% | (38) | 36\% | (91) | 45\% | (114) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 2\% | (4) | 26\% | (53) | 45\% | (90) | 27\% | (55) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (10) | 42\% | (52) | 36\% | (45) | 14\% | (18) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 25\% | (107) | 33\% | (142) | 31\% | (135) | 10\% | (44) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (19) | 20\% | (88) | 39\% | (174) | 37\% | (166) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (109) | 36\% | (203) | 33\% | (183) | 11\% | (63) | 558 |

[^46]Table LX2b_11: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Appealing to young voters

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (134) | 29\% | (305) | 37\% | (392) | 22\% | (239) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (13) | 15\% | (40) | 34\% | (92) | 46\% | (122) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (6) | 27\% | (48) | 46\% | (82) | 24\% | (44) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 3\% | (3) | 42\% | (41) | 39\% | (38) | 16\% | (16) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (106) | 35\% | (162) | $31 \%$ | (145) | 10\% | (47) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (34) | 38\% | (100) | 36\% | (95) | 13\% | (35) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 6\% | (11) | 21\% | (42) | 37\% | (75) | 36\% | (73) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 11\% | (21) | 29\% | (52) | 42\% | (77) | 17\% | (32) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 18\% | (27) | 27\% | (42) | 33\% | (50) | 23\% | (35) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 19\% | (11) | 12\% | (7) | 40\% | (23) | 28\% | (16) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 5\% | (3) | 28\% | (18) | 42\% | (27) | 24\% | (15) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 15\% | (10) | 43\% | (28) | 33\% | (22) | 9\% | (6) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 19\% | (15) | 21\% | (17) | 28\% | (23) | $32 \%$ | (26) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 25\% | (90) | 35\% | (125) | 29\% | (102) | 11\% | (38) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 4\% | (11) | 24\% | (75) | 36\% | (115) | 37\% | (117) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 25\% | (81) | 37\% | (120) | 27\% | (87) | 11\% | (34) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 3\% | (11) | 21\% | (74) | 40\% | (140) | 36\% | (124) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 15\% | (14) | 30\% | (28) | 37\% | (34) | 18\% | (17) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (27) | 27\% | (82) | 43\% | (129) | 21\% | (63) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 15\% | (92) | 30\% | (185) | $32 \%$ | (200) | 23\% | (141) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 9\% | (42) | 27\% | (120) | 42\% | (192) | 22\% | (98) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 21\% | (81) | 33\% | (125) | $32 \%$ | (121) | 15\% | (56) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 7\% | (17) | 25\% | (66) | 37\% | (98) | 32\% | (85) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (35) | 26\% | (100) | 41\% | (157) | 23\% | (87) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 9\% | (17) | 36\% | (67) | $33 \%$ | (63) | 22\% | (42) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 12\% | (27) | 29\% | (66) | 37\% | (86) | 22\% | (50) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | $14 \%$ | (54) | 24\% | (95) | 38\% | (150) | 24\% | (96) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | $14 \%$ | (36) | 30\% | (78) | 36\% | (94) | 19\% | (50) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX2b_12: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Working with the media

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (154) | 32\% | (338) | $32 \%$ | (346) | 22\% | (231) | 1070 |
| Gender: Male | 14\% | (75) | 33\% | (172) | 34\% | (180) | 19\% | (103) | 531 |
| Gender: Female | 15\% | (79) | $31 \%$ | (166) | $31 \%$ | (166) | 24\% | (128) | 539 |
| Age: 18-29 | 17\% | (37) | 38\% | (83) | $31 \%$ | (68) | 15\% | (33) | 220 |
| Age: 30-44 | 13\% | (35) | 27\% | (73) | 34\% | (91) | 27\% | (72) | 272 |
| Age: 45-54 | 12\% | (18) | 28\% | (44) | 33\% | (52) | 27\% | (43) | 157 |
| Age: 55-64 | 13\% | (29) | 35\% | (76) | 32\% | (71) | 19\% | (42) | 218 |
| Age: 65+ | 17\% | (35) | $31 \%$ | (62) | $32 \%$ | (65) | 20\% | (42) | 204 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 19\% | (21) | 37\% | (40) | 37\% | (39) | 7\% | (7) | 107 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 12\% | (33) | 31\% | (88) | 29\% | (81) | 28\% | (79) | 280 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 14\% | (36) | 28\% | (72) | 35\% | (91) | 24\% | (62) | 261 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 15\% | (56) | 33\% | (120) | $34 \%$ | (125) | 18\% | (67) | 368 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 23\% | (80) | 36\% | (124) | 31\% | (105) | 10\% | (33) | 341 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 11\% | (44) | 35\% | (137) | 33\% | (129) | 21\% | (82) | 392 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 9\% | (31) | 23\% | (78) | 33\% | (112) | $34 \%$ | (115) | 337 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (39) | 40\% | (67) | 28\% | (48) | 8\% | (14) | 168 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 23\% | (40) | 32\% | (56) | 33\% | (57) | 11\% | (20) | 174 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 9\% | (16) | 35\% | (65) | 38\% | (70) | 19\% | (34) | 186 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 13\% | (27) | 35\% | (72) | 29\% | (59) | 23\% | (48) | 206 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 11\% | (19) | 23\% | (40) | 35\% | (62) | 31\% | (54) | 177 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 7\% | (12) | 24\% | (38) | 31\% | (50) | 38\% | (61) | 160 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 22\% | (61) | 34\% | (95) | 34\% | (94) | 10\% | (27) | 277 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 15\% | (42) | 30\% | (82) | 33\% | (92) | 21\% | (58) | 274 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 9\% | (35) | 28\% | (103) | 32\% | (119) | 31\% | (114) | 371 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (104) | 29\% | (213) | 33\% | (245) | 23\% | (172) | 734 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (27) | 38\% | (82) | 35\% | (76) | 15\% | (32) | 217 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (23) | 37\% | (43) | 22\% | (26) | 22\% | (26) | 119 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (83) | $31 \%$ | (173) | 32\% | (180) | 22\% | (126) | 563 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 13\% | (45) | 30\% | (106) | 33\% | (116) | 23\% | (80) | 347 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (26) | 37\% | (59) | 31\% | (50) | 16\% | (25) | 161 |
| Ethnicity: White | 12\% | (107) | 30\% | (261) | $34 \%$ | (294) | 23\% | (196) | 858 |

[^47]Table LX2b_12: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Working with the media

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (154) | 32\% | (338) | 32\% | (346) | 22\% | (231) | 1070 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 20\% | (34) | 35\% | (60) | 27\% | (46) | 17\% | (30) | 170 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 21\% | (25) | 40\% | (46) | 18\% | (22) | 21\% | (24) | 117 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 24\% | (23) | 32\% | (30) | 33\% | (31) | $11 \%$ | (10) | 94 |
| All Christian | 14\% | (74) | 30\% | (157) | 35\% | (185) | 21\% | (112) | 528 |
| Atheist | 21\% | (11) | 17\% | (9) | 36\% | (19) | 26\% | (14) | 52 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 13\% | (61) | 35\% | (161) | 29\% | (131) | 23\% | (103) | 456 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (47) | 25\% | (78) | 35\% | (110) | 24\% | (75) | 310 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (48) | 33\% | (133) | 34\% | (138) | 21\% | (83) | 402 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (48) | 35\% | (98) | 28\% | (78) | 20\% | (55) | 279 |
| Community: Suburban | 15\% | (70) | 31\% | (150) | 34\% | (166) | 20\% | (94) | 481 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (36) | 29\% | (90) | 33\% | (102) | 26\% | (81) | 310 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (53) | 34\% | (116) | 32\% | (110) | 19\% | (66) | 344 |
| Employ: Government | 15\% | (11) | 33\% | (24) | 20\% | (14) | 32\% | (23) | 72 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | $21 \%$ | (20) | 24\% | (23) | $31 \%$ | (29) | 24\% | (23) | 95 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 9\% | (8) | 30\% | (25) | 29\% | (24) | 31\% | (25) | 81 |
| Employ: Retired | 14\% | (31) | 29\% | (65) | 34\% | (76) | 23\% | (52) | 224 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (9) | 41\% | (49) | 36\% | (43) | 15\% | (18) | 120 |
| Employ: Other | 16\% | (14) | 26\% | (23) | 37\% | (32) | 21\% | (18) | 86 |
| Military HH: Yes | 19\% | (33) | 25\% | (43) | 30\% | (53) | 27\% | (47) | 176 |
| Military HH: No | 14\% | (121) | 33\% | (295) | 33\% | (294) | 21\% | (184) | 894 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 9\% | (36) | 25\% | (102) | 33\% | (135) | 34\% | (140) | 413 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 18\% | (118) | 36\% | (236) | 32\% | (211) | 14\% | (91) | 657 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (31) | 24\% | (110) | 35\% | (157) | 34\% | (155) | 453 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 22\% | (122) | 37\% | (201) | 30\% | (166) | 11\% | (62) | 551 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (25) | 23\% | (58) | 26\% | (66) | 41\% | (103) | 252 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 3\% | (7) | 26\% | (53) | 45\% | (90) | 26\% | (52) | 202 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 13\% | (16) | 35\% | (43) | 36\% | (45) | 16\% | (20) | 124 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 25\% | (106) | 37\% | (158) | 28\% | (121) | 10\% | (43) | 428 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (34) | 24\% | (106) | 35\% | (155) | 34\% | (152) | 446 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 21\% | (118) | 39\% | (216) | 28\% | (158) | 12\% | (67) | 558 |

[^48]Table LX2b_12: Imagine that a Senator Michael Jones has recently won the Democratic nomination to face President Donald Trump in the 2020 general election. How well do you think he would do on each of the following during the general election?
Working with the media

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (154) | 32\% | (338) | 32\% | (346) | 22\% | (231) | 1070 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (26) | 23\% | (63) | 26\% | (70) | 41\% | (109) | 267 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (8) | 24\% | (43) | 47\% | (85) | 24\% | (43) | 179 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (7) | 40\% | (39) | 36\% | (35) | 17\% | (17) | 97 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 24\% | (111) | 38\% | (177) | 27\% | (123) | 11\% | (50) | 461 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 17\% | (45) | 38\% | (100) | 28\% | (75) | 16\% | (43) | 264 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 8\% | (15) | 26\% | (52) | 30\% | (60) | $36 \%$ | (73) | 200 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 17\% | (30) | 29\% | (53) | 40\% | (72) | 14\% | (26) | 182 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 19\% | (29) | 32\% | (49) | 29\% | (44) | 21\% | (32) | 155 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 17\% | (10) | 25\% | (14) | $31 \%$ | (18) | 27\% | (16) | 58 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 4\% | (3) | 36\% | (23) | 41\% | (26) | 19\% | (12) | 63 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 18\% | (12) | 38\% | (25) | 34\% | (23) | 11\% | (7) | 67 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 12\% | (10) | 26\% | (21) | 35\% | (28) | 27\% | (22) | 81 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 23\% | (82) | 39\% | (137) | 28\% | (98) | 11\% | (38) | 354 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 8\% | (24) | 27\% | (85) | $31 \%$ | (99) | 35\% | (110) | 318 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 26\% | (83) | 37\% | (119) | 24\% | (79) | 13\% | (41) | 323 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (27) | 22\% | (76) | 37\% | (129) | 34\% | (118) | 350 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 13\% | (12) | 45\% | (42) | 29\% | (27) | 13\% | (12) | 94 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (31) | 33\% | (100) | 37\% | (111) | 20\% | (60) | 302 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 17\% | (104) | 32\% | (196) | 30\% | (186) | 21\% | (132) | 618 |
| Voted in 2014: No | $11 \%$ | (51) | 31\% | (142) | 35\% | (160) | 22\% | (99) | 452 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 23\% | (87) | 34\% | (130) | 29\% | (110) | 15\% | (57) | 384 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 10\% | (26) | 27\% | (71) | 32\% | (85) | 32\% | (84) | 266 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (40) | 32\% | (122) | 36\% | (136) | 21\% | (81) | 380 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 13\% | (24) | 37\% | (70) | 28\% | (52) | 22\% | (42) | 187 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 13\% | (31) | 32\% | (74) | 34\% | (77) | 21\% | (47) | 229 |
| 4-Region: South | 15\% | (58) | 27\% | (105) | 34\% | (132) | 25\% | (100) | 395 |
| 4-Region: West | 16\% | (42) | 35\% | (90) | 33\% | (85) | 16\% | (42) | 259 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX3_1: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Male politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (182) | 39\% | (850) | 38\% | (844) | 15\% | (321) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 9\% | (97) | 41\% | (433) | 37\% | (391) | 13\% | (139) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (85) | 37\% | (417) | 40\% | (453) | 16\% | (182) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 9\% | (41) | 38\% | (170) | 35\% | (159) | 18\% | (80) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 14\% | (77) | 36\% | (202) | 34\% | (193) | 16\% | (89) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 7\% | (23) | 40\% | (131) | 42\% | (138) | 11\% | (35) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 5\% | (20) | 41\% | (171) | 42\% | (176) | 13\% | (55) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | 5\% | (20) | 40\% | (176) | 41\% | (178) | 14\% | (61) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 10\% | (21) | 39\% | (80) | 36\% | (75) | 15\% | (31) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 12\% | (69) | 36\% | (209) | 32\% | (185) | 20\% | (115) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 9\% | (52) | 38\% | (213) | 42\% | (231) | 11\% | (60) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | $4 \%$ | (34) | 41\% | (309) | 41\% | (308) | 14\% | (104) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | $11 \%$ | (82) | 41\% | (311) | 35\% | (263) | 12\% | (93) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (42) | 33\% | (244) | 42\% | (314) | 20\% | (146) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 8\% | (57) | 42\% | (295) | 38\% | (267) | 12\% | (82) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 11\% | (41) | 42\% | (150) | 37\% | (134) | 9\% | (32) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 11\% | (41) | 41\% | (161) | 33\% | (130) | 16\% | (61) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 5\% | (19) | 35\% | (121) | 40\% | (137) | 20\% | (67) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (23) | $31 \%$ | (123) | 44\% | (177) | 20\% | (78) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (37) | 45\% | (162) | 33\% | (120) | 11\% | (39) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 6\% | (20) | 39\% | (133) | 43\% | (147) | 13\% | (43) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 8\% | (47) | $41 \%$ | (242) | 38\% | (224) | 14\% | (84) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 9\% | (52) | 40\% | (235) | 39\% | (227) | 13\% | (75) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 8\% | (62) | 41\% | (299) | 37\% | (272) | 13\% | (98) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 9\% | (133) | 38\% | (575) | 37\% | (560) | 16\% | (241) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 5\% | (21) | 42\% | (186) | 41\% | (183) | 12\% | (53) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 11\% | (27) | 36\% | (89) | 41\% | (101) | 11\% | (27) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (95) | 37\% | (431) | 39\% | (457) | 15\% | (175) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (62) | 43\% | (304) | 35\% | (245) | 13\% | (92) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | 7\% | (25) | 34\% | (114) | 42\% | (142) | 16\% | (54) | 335 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (144) | 38\% | (657) | 38\% | (657) | 15\% | (261) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 7\% | (24) | 38\% | (133) | 40\% | (140) | 15\% | (52) | 349 |

[^49]Table LX3_1: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Male politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (182) | 39\% | (850) | 38\% | (844) | 15\% | (321) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 10\% | (26) | 45\% | (124) | 34\% | (93) | 11\% | (30) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 6\% | (11) | $33 \%$ | (68) | 46\% | (94) | 15\% | (31) | 204 |
| All Christian | 8\% | (81) | 41\% | (434) | $39 \%$ | (415) | 12\% | (132) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 14\% | (13) | 44\% | (41) | 32\% | (29) | 9\% | (8) | 92 |
| Atheist | 7\% | (7) | $34 \%$ | (35) | 42\% | (42) | 16\% | (16) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 9\% | (80) | 36\% | (340) | 38\% | (358) | 17\% | (164) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (20) | 42\% | (50) | 33\% | (39) | 8\% | (10) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 10\% | (59) | 39\% | (230) | 37\% | (219) | 14\% | (81) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (39) | 42\% | (342) | 40\% | (329) | 13\% | (106) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 11\% | (62) | 39\% | (222) | $36 \%$ | (207) | 15\% | (84) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (69) | 42\% | (426) | 37\% | (378) | 14\% | (138) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 8\% | (51) | 33\% | (202) | 42\% | (259) | 16\% | (99) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 9\% | (65) | 39\% | (269) | 38\% | (265) | 14\% | (99) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 7\% | (9) | 40\% | (55) | 41\% | (57) | 13\% | (17) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 14\% | (28) | 40\% | (81) | 34\% | (69) | 11\% | (22) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 6\% | (9) | 35\% | (57) | 43\% | (71) | 16\% | (27) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 6\% | (27) | 40\% | (195) | 41\% | (198) | 14\% | (66) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 9\% | (22) | 34\% | (85) | 39\% | (97) | 19\% | (47) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 9\% | (14) | 43\% | (68) | $31 \%$ | (48) | 17\% | (26) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (32) | 38\% | (142) | 40\% | (148) | 14\% | (52) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (150) | 39\% | (708) | $38 \%$ | (697) | 15\% | (269) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 10\% | (85) | 43\% | (365) | 36\% | (311) | 11\% | (97) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (97) | 36\% | (485) | 40\% | (533) | 17\% | (224) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (75) | 41\% | (376) | 38\% | (347) | 13\% | (115) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 9\% | (101) | 38\% | (443) | 38\% | (444) | 15\% | (177) | 1165 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (54) | 42\% | (222) | 36\% | (193) | 12\% | (64) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (21) | 40\% | (153) | 41\% | (154) | 13\% | (51) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 4\% | (10) | 47\% | (109) | 38\% | (87) | 10\% | (24) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (91) | 36\% | (333) | 38\% | (357) | 16\% | (153) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (77) | 41\% | (360) | 38\% | (334) | 12\% | (109) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (100) | 39\% | (455) | 37\% | (437) | 16\% | (183) | 1176 |

[^50]Table LX3_1: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Male politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (182) | 39\% | (850) | 38\% | (844) | 15\% | (321) | 2197 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (60) | 42\% | (232) | 36\% | (198) | 11\% | (62) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (17) | $39 \%$ | (128) | 41\% | (135) | 14\% | (47) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 6\% | (11) | 41\% | (80) | 40\% | (78) | 14\% | (27) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (89) | 38\% | (375) | 37\% | (359) | 16\% | (156) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (45) | 42\% | (229) | 36\% | (197) | 14\% | (74) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (41) | 41\% | (176) | 36\% | (157) | 13\% | (55) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 7\% | (26) | 41\% | (146) | 39\% | (138) | 14\% | (49) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 9\% | (29) | 38\% | (125) | 39\% | (127) | 14\% | (46) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 4\% | (6) | 31\% | (42) | 37\% | (50) | 27\% | (37) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 9\% | (11) | 40\% | (48) | 38\% | (46) | 13\% | (16) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 9\% | (13) | 30\% | (43) | 48\% | (70) | 13\% | (19) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 8\% | (10) | 30\% | (41) | 44\% | (60) | 19\% | (26) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 9\% | (67) | 41\% | (309) | 35\% | (264) | 14\% | (106) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (44) | 42\% | (274) | 37\% | (241) | $14 \%$ | (93) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 5\% | (5) | 15\% | (14) | 48\% | (45) | 31\% | (29) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 10\% | (66) | 43\% | (287) | 32\% | (216) | 15\% | (99) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (53) | 41\% | (292) | 38\% | (269) | 13\% | (89) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (4) | 28\% | (53) | 45\% | (84) | 25\% | (46) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (58) | 34\% | (217) | 43\% | (272) | 14\% | (86) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 8\% | (109) | 40\% | (524) | 37\% | (482) | 14\% | (186) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (73) | 36\% | (326) | 40\% | (362) | 15\% | (135) | 896 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 10\% | (81) | 41\% | (326) | 35\% | (282) | 13\% | (104) | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 7\% | (39) | 39\% | (219) | 39\% | (218) | 15\% | (85) | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | 3\% | (2) | $31 \%$ | (25) | $51 \%$ | (42) | 15\% | (12) | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (58) | 37\% | (280) | 40\% | (302) | 16\% | (119) | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 7\% | (27) | 41\% | (160) | 40\% | (157) | 12\% | (49) | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 7\% | (33) | 40\% | (183) | 38\% | (175) | 15\% | (71) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 8\% | (69) | 38\% | (313) | 39\% | (325) | 14\% | (116) | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | 10\% | (52) | 38\% | (195) | 36\% | (187) | 16\% | (85) | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX3_2: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Female politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (325) | 38\% | (834) | $31 \%$ | (687) | 16\% | (351) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 14\% | (148) | 39\% | (416) | 29\% | (309) | 18\% | (187) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 16\% | (177) | 37\% | (418) | $33 \%$ | (378) | 14\% | (163) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 21\% | (95) | 37\% | (166) | 27\% | (121) | 15\% | (68) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 18\% | (101) | 36\% | (202) | 32\% | (178) | 14\% | (80) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 11\% | (35) | 39\% | (128) | 33\% | (109) | 17\% | (56) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 11\% | (45) | 38\% | (162) | 33\% | (139) | 18\% | (76) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | 11\% | (49) | 40\% | (175) | 32\% | (141) | 16\% | (71) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 26\% | (54) | 35\% | (72) | 26\% | (53) | 13\% | (27) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 17\% | (101) | 37\% | (213) | 30\% | (171) | 16\% | (93) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 14\% | (76) | 38\% | (212) | 33\% | (183) | 15\% | (84) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 11\% | (81) | 40\% | (301) | 33\% | (246) | 17\% | (127) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 26\% | (198) | 47\% | (351) | 23\% | (170) | 4\% | (30) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 11\% | (85) | 35\% | (261) | 34\% | (255) | 19\% | (145) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (42) | 32\% | (221) | 37\% | (262) | 25\% | (176) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 25\% | (88) | 48\% | (169) | 22\% | (79) | 6\% | (20) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 28\% | (110) | 46\% | (182) | 23\% | (91) | 3\% | (10) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 10\% | (36) | 34\% | (116) | 34\% | (116) | 22\% | (77) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 12\% | (49) | 36\% | (145) | 35\% | (139) | 17\% | (68) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (24) | 36\% | (131) | 32\% | (114) | 25\% | (91) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (18) | 27\% | (91) | 43\% | (148) | 25\% | (86) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 29\% | (175) | 48\% | (285) | 19\% | (112) | 4\% | (25) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 12\% | (70) | 40\% | (237) | 34\% | (202) | 13\% | (79) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (40) | 32\% | (235) | 37\% | (267) | 26\% | (189) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (204) | 36\% | (545) | 33\% | (496) | 18\% | (265) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 15\% | (67) | 43\% | (192) | 28\% | (123) | 14\% | (61) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 22\% | (53) | 40\% | (97) | 28\% | (68) | 10\% | (25) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (168) | 36\% | (416) | 32\% | (373) | 17\% | (201) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 13\% | (93) | 42\% | (297) | 30\% | (213) | 14\% | (100) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | 19\% | (63) | 36\% | (121) | 30\% | (102) | 15\% | (49) | 335 |
| Ethnicity: White | 14\% | (239) | 37\% | (629) | 32\% | (554) | 17\% | (297) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 22\% | (78) | 37\% | (131) | 28\% | (99) | 12\% | (42) | 349 |

[^51]Table LX3_2: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Female politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (325) | 38\% | (834) | $31 \%$ | (687) | 16\% | (351) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 21\% | (56) | 47\% | (128) | 26\% | (72) | 6\% | (17) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | $14 \%$ | (29) | 38\% | (77) | 30\% | (61) | 18\% | (37) | 204 |
| All Christian | 12\% | (132) | 36\% | (385) | 34\% | (358) | 18\% | (187) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 25\% | (23) | 47\% | (43) | 23\% | (21) | 5\% | (5) | 92 |
| Atheist | 18\% | (19) | 47\% | (48) | 21\% | (21) | 14\% | (14) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 16\% | (151) | 38\% | (359) | 30\% | (287) | 15\% | (145) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 25\% | (29) | 41\% | (49) | 22\% | (26) | 12\% | (15) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (72) | 36\% | (213) | 33\% | (192) | 19\% | (112) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (94) | 38\% | (314) | 34\% | (280) | 16\% | (128) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 18\% | (105) | 41\% | (237) | 29\% | (166) | 12\% | (67) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (143) | 40\% | (406) | 30\% | (301) | 16\% | (161) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 13\% | (77) | $31 \%$ | (191) | 36\% | (220) | 20\% | (123) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 16\% | (111) | 40\% | (277) | 30\% | (211) | 14\% | (99) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 13\% | (19) | 34\% | (47) | 33\% | (45) | 20\% | (27) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 17\% | (34) | 37\% | (75) | 31\% | (61) | 15\% | (30) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 13\% | (21) | 34\% | (57) | 30\% | (49) | 23\% | (37) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | $11 \%$ | (53) | 39\% | (190) | $32 \%$ | (154) | 18\% | (89) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 17\% | (43) | 38\% | (95) | 31\% | (77) | 14\% | (36) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 10\% | (16) | 34\% | (54) | 36\% | (56) | 19\% | (30) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 17\% | (65) | 33\% | (123) | 31\% | (118) | 18\% | (68) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | $14 \%$ | (260) | 39\% | (712) | 31\% | (570) | 15\% | (282) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 6\% | (47) | 34\% | (295) | 36\% | (313) | 24\% | (203) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 21\% | (278) | 40\% | (539) | 28\% | (375) | 11\% | (148) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | $4 \%$ | (40) | 32\% | (292) | 38\% | (350) | 25\% | (230) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 24\% | (275) | 43\% | (506) | 25\% | (291) | 8\% | (93) | 1165 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 5\% | (25) | 29\% | (156) | 37\% | (197) | 29\% | (154) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 4\% | (15) | 36\% | (136) | 40\% | (153) | 20\% | (76) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 14\% | (33) | 41\% | (95) | 35\% | (80) | 10\% | (23) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 26\% | (241) | 44\% | (411) | 23\% | (211) | 7\% | (70) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (40) | $31 \%$ | (271) | 39\% | (340) | 26\% | (229) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (275) | 45\% | (523) | 24\% | (283) | 8\% | (94) | 1176 |

[^52]Table LX3_2: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Female politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (325) | 38\% | (834) | $31 \%$ | (687) | 16\% | (351) | 2197 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (26) | 29\% | (162) | 37\% | (206) | 29\% | (158) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (14) | 33\% | (108) | 41\% | (134) | 22\% | (71) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (24) | 38\% | (75) | 36\% | (70) | 14\% | (27) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 26\% | (251) | 46\% | (449) | 22\% | (213) | 7\% | (67) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (68) | 40\% | (220) | $31 \%$ | (171) | 16\% | (86) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (32) | $31 \%$ | (134) | 36\% | (156) | 25\% | (108) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 19\% | (70) | 40\% | (142) | $31 \%$ | (111) | 10\% | (36) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 12\% | (40) | 41\% | (134) | $33 \%$ | (108) | 14\% | (45) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 22\% | (30) | 36\% | (48) | 28\% | (38) | 14\% | (18) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 18\% | (21) | 44\% | (53) | 28\% | (34) | 10\% | (12) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 27\% | (38) | $41 \%$ | (60) | 27\% | (39) | 5\% | (7) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 19\% | (25) | 32\% | (44) | 22\% | (30) | 27\% | (38) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 27\% | (202) | 46\% | (344) | 22\% | (162) | 5\% | (39) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 4\% | (28) | $32 \%$ | (207) | 38\% | (245) | 26\% | (172) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 10\% | (9) | 27\% | (25) | 29\% | (27) | $34 \%$ | (31) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 28\% | (189) | 47\% | (313) | 20\% | (136) | 5\% | (30) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 4\% | (31) | 31\% | (220) | 39\% | (272) | 26\% | (180) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 8\% | (16) | $36 \%$ | (68) | $32 \%$ | (60) | 23\% | (44) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 14\% | (88) | $37 \%$ | (233) | 34\% | (216) | 15\% | (97) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 16\% | (210) | $39 \%$ | (510) | 29\% | (375) | 16\% | (206) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 13\% | (114) | $36 \%$ | (325) | 35\% | (313) | 16\% | (145) | 896 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 25\% | (196) | 44\% | (351) | 23\% | (185) | 8\% | (62) | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 5\% | (26) | 32\% | (178) | 38\% | (215) | 25\% | (143) | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | 4\% | (4) | 28\% | (22) | 43\% | (35) | 25\% | (20) | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (98) | $37 \%$ | (282) | 33\% | (253) | 17\% | (126) | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 16\% | (61) | 39\% | (155) | 33\% | (131) | 12\% | (46) | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 13\% | (60) | 42\% | (195) | 30\% | (138) | 15\% | (69) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 14\% | (114) | 37\% | (304) | $32 \%$ | (261) | 18\% | (144) | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | 17\% | (90) | 35\% | (180) | 30\% | (157) | 18\% | (91) | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX3_3: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Young politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (268) | 36\% | (781) | 36\% | (796) | 16\% | (352) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (134) | 35\% | (376) | 35\% | (368) | 17\% | (182) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 12\% | (134) | 36\% | (405) | 38\% | (428) | 15\% | (170) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 19\% | (86) | 38\% | (171) | $31 \%$ | (138) | 12\% | (56) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 15\% | (84) | 37\% | (210) | 33\% | (187) | 14\% | (81) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 7\% | (22) | $36 \%$ | (118) | 38\% | (125) | 19\% | (62) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 10\% | (43) | $31 \%$ | (130) | 40\% | (168) | 19\% | (81) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (33) | 35\% | (153) | 41\% | (178) | 17\% | (72) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 19\% | (40) | 39\% | (81) | 32\% | (66) | 9\% | (20) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 17\% | (99) | 37\% | (216) | 29\% | (167) | 17\% | (96) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 10\% | (53) | $36 \%$ | (201) | 39\% | (217) | 15\% | (84) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 8\% | (61) | 34\% | (254) | 40\% | (306) | 18\% | (134) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | $21 \%$ | (154) | 47\% | (350) | 26\% | (194) | 7\% | (51) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 9\% | (69) | 33\% | (244) | 39\% | (292) | 19\% | (141) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (45) | 27\% | (187) | 44\% | (310) | 23\% | (160) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 22\% | (77) | 45\% | (161) | 26\% | (91) | 8\% | (27) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (77) | 48\% | (190) | 26\% | (103) | 6\% | (24) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (27) | 30\% | (103) | 42\% | (143) | 21\% | (71) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 10\% | (41) | 35\% | (141) | 37\% | (149) | 17\% | (70) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 8\% | (29) | $31 \%$ | (113) | 37\% | (134) | 23\% | (84) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (16) | 22\% | (74) | 51\% | (176) | 22\% | (76) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 23\% | (136) | 47\% | (283) | 24\% | (142) | 6\% | (37) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 10\% | (57) | 38\% | (222) | 41\% | (238) | 12\% | (71) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (38) | 27\% | (200) | 42\% | (306) | 26\% | (187) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 12\% | (178) | 34\% | (513) | 37\% | (563) | 17\% | (257) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (54) | 38\% | (170) | 35\% | (155) | 14\% | (64) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 15\% | (37) | 40\% | (98) | 32\% | (78) | 13\% | (31) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 12\% | (143) | $33 \%$ | (381) | 38\% | (436) | 17\% | (198) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 12\% | (84) | 39\% | (274) | 34\% | (236) | 16\% | (110) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | 12\% | (41) | 38\% | (127) | $37 \%$ | (123) | 13\% | (44) | 335 |
| Ethnicity: White | 11\% | (184) | 36\% | (611) | 37\% | (636) | 17\% | (288) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 16\% | (56) | 40\% | (139) | $31 \%$ | (108) | 13\% | (46) | 349 |

[^53]Table LX3_3: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Young politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (268) | $36 \%$ | (781) | 36\% | (796) | 16\% | (352) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 21\% | (59) | 41\% | (112) | 23\% | (64) | 14\% | (39) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (25) | 29\% | (58) | 47\% | (96) | 12\% | (25) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (108) | 34\% | (361) | 40\% | (420) | 16\% | (174) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 26\% | (24) | 40\% | (37) | 26\% | (24) | 8\% | (8) | 92 |
| Atheist | 19\% | (19) | 40\% | (40) | 27\% | (27) | 14\% | (14) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 12\% | (117) | 36\% | (343) | 34\% | (325) | 17\% | (157) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 23\% | (28) | 37\% | (44) | $32 \%$ | (38) | 8\% | (10) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 11\% | (66) | $36 \%$ | (210) | 35\% | (208) | 18\% | (106) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 9\% | (71) | $34 \%$ | (275) | 41\% | (335) | 17\% | (135) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (91) | 39\% | (225) | 29\% | (168) | 16\% | (91) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 11\% | (116) | 36\% | (366) | 38\% | (386) | 14\% | (144) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 10\% | (61) | 31\% | (190) | 40\% | (242) | 19\% | (117) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 12\% | (82) | 41\% | (289) | 34\% | (240) | 13\% | (88) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | $21 \%$ | (30) | 25\% | (35) | 33\% | (46) | 20\% | (28) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 16\% | (33) | 37\% | (74) | 32\% | (63) | 15\% | (31) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 12\% | (20) | 27\% | (44) | 43\% | (70) | 19\% | (31) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 9\% | (42) | 32\% | (157) | 40\% | (194) | 19\% | (93) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 14\% | (36) | 30\% | (76) | 34\% | (86) | 21\% | (52) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 9\% | (14) | 32\% | (50) | 42\% | (66) | 17\% | (26) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 13\% | (50) | 30\% | (112) | 37\% | (137) | 20\% | (74) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 12\% | (218) | 37\% | (669) | 36\% | (659) | 15\% | (278) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 8\% | (66) | 28\% | (240) | 42\% | (362) | 22\% | (190) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (202) | 40\% | (541) | 32\% | (434) | 12\% | (162) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (60) | 26\% | (233) | 43\% | (397) | 24\% | (223) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 17\% | (198) | 44\% | (512) | $31 \%$ | (357) | 8\% | (98) | 1165 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 7\% | (38) | 23\% | (125) | 42\% | (222) | 28\% | (148) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (22) | 28\% | (108) | 46\% | (175) | 20\% | (75) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (20) | 43\% | (99) | 40\% | (92) | 9\% | (20) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 19\% | (178) | 44\% | (413) | 28\% | (265) | 8\% | (77) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (53) | 25\% | (221) | 45\% | (392) | 24\% | (214) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (204) | 44\% | (518) | 29\% | (341) | 10\% | (112) | 1176 |

[^54]Table LX3_3: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Young politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (268) | 36\% | (781) | 36\% | (796) | 16\% | (352) | 2197 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (33) | 24\% | (135) | 42\% | (233) | 27\% | (151) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (20) | 26\% | (86) | 49\% | (159) | 19\% | (63) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | $11 \%$ | (21) | 37\% | (73) | 35\% | (68) | 17\% | (33) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (184) | 45\% | (445) | 28\% | (273) | 8\% | (79) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 14\% | (75) | 32\% | (174) | 38\% | (209) | 16\% | (87) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (39) | 26\% | (111) | 38\% | (163) | 27\% | (116) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (42) | 40\% | (144) | 37\% | (134) | $11 \%$ | (39) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (41) | 37\% | (120) | 38\% | (125) | 12\% | (41) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 18\% | (24) | 41\% | (55) | 25\% | (33) | 16\% | (21) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (15) | 53\% | (64) | 22\% | (26) | 14\% | (16) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 15\% | (21) | 49\% | (71) | 34\% | (50) | 2\% | (3) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 9\% | (12) | 30\% | (41) | 41\% | (56) | 20\% | (28) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 20\% | (147) | 47\% | (347) | 26\% | (195) | 8\% | (57) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 4\% | (28) | 25\% | (162) | 45\% | (291) | 26\% | (171) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 10\% | (9) | 26\% | (24) | 33\% | (31) | 31\% | (29) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 21\% | (143) | 48\% | (321) | 23\% | (153) | 8\% | (52) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (39) | 25\% | (178) | 44\% | (309) | 25\% | (176) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 6\% | (11) | 26\% | (49) | 45\% | (84) | 23\% | (44) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (74) | 37\% | (233) | 39\% | (246) | 13\% | (80) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 12\% | (157) | 36\% | (474) | 36\% | (462) | 16\% | (207) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 12\% | (111) | 34\% | (307) | 37\% | (334) | 16\% | (144) | 896 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 17\% | (137) | 45\% | (360) | 28\% | (223) | 9\% | (74) | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 5\% | (30) | 25\% | (139) | 46\% | (258) | 24\% | (134) | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | 7\% | (5) | 21\% | (17) | 48\% | (39) | 24\% | (20) | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (95) | 35\% | (264) | 36\% | (276) | 16\% | (124) | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 14\% | (57) | 37\% | (147) | 35\% | (139) | 13\% | (50) | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 11\% | (52) | 37\% | (172) | 34\% | (156) | 18\% | (82) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 12\% | (102) | 34\% | (277) | 37\% | (302) | 17\% | (142) | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | 11\% | (57) | 36\% | (185) | 38\% | (199) | 15\% | (79) | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX3_4: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Older politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (167) | 32\% | (702) | 36\% | (787) | 25\% | (541) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 7\% | (73) | 32\% | (338) | 35\% | (368) | 27\% | (281) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (94) | 32\% | (364) | 37\% | (419) | 23\% | (259) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 12\% | (54) | 32\% | (143) | 31\% | (138) | 26\% | (116) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 10\% | (57) | $31 \%$ | (174) | 35\% | (194) | 24\% | (136) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 5\% | (16) | 32\% | (106) | 41\% | (135) | 22\% | (72) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 6\% | (24) | 32\% | (136) | 35\% | (147) | 27\% | (114) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (16) | 33\% | (143) | 40\% | (173) | 24\% | (104) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 16\% | (34) | $31 \%$ | (65) | 27\% | (57) | 25\% | (51) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 10\% | (57) | $31 \%$ | (181) | 32\% | (187) | 27\% | (153) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 6\% | (36) | 32\% | (176) | 40\% | (224) | 21\% | (119) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 5\% | (36) | 34\% | (254) | 36\% | (271) | 26\% | (194) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 11\% | (80) | 35\% | (263) | 35\% | (259) | 20\% | (147) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (45) | 27\% | (203) | 35\% | (258) | 32\% | (239) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (42) | 34\% | (236) | 38\% | (270) | 22\% | (155) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 9\% | (33) | 36\% | (129) | 33\% | (117) | 22\% | (78) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (47) | 34\% | (135) | 36\% | (142) | 18\% | (69) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (20) | 25\% | (87) | 35\% | (119) | 34\% | (118) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (25) | 29\% | (116) | 35\% | (139) | 30\% | (121) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 5\% | (19) | 34\% | (122) | 37\% | (132) | 24\% | (86) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 6\% | (22) | 33\% | (113) | 40\% | (138) | 20\% | (69) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 10\% | (57) | 34\% | (205) | 33\% | (198) | 23\% | (137) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (42) | $31 \%$ | (184) | 35\% | (208) | 26\% | (154) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (42) | 32\% | (235) | $39 \%$ | (284) | 23\% | (170) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (127) | 32\% | (483) | $34 \%$ | (517) | 25\% | (384) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 6\% | (28) | $31 \%$ | (136) | 39\% | (173) | 24\% | (106) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 5\% | (13) | 34\% | (83) | 40\% | (97) | 21\% | (51) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (93) | $31 \%$ | (360) | 35\% | (405) | 26\% | (301) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 8\% | (57) | 35\% | (248) | 35\% | (248) | 21\% | (149) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | 5\% | (17) | 28\% | (94) | 40\% | (134) | 27\% | (91) | 335 |
| Ethnicity: White | 7\% | (123) | 31\% | (528) | 37\% | (631) | 25\% | (438) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 9\% | (32) | 34\% | (118) | $34 \%$ | (119) | 23\% | (80) | 349 |

[^55]Table LX3_4: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Older politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (167) | 32\% | (702) | 36\% | (787) | 25\% | (541) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 8\% | (22) | 41\% | (111) | 28\% | (77) | 23\% | (64) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 11\% | (22) | $31 \%$ | (63) | 39\% | (80) | 19\% | (39) | 204 |
| All Christian | 7\% | (76) | 32\% | (340) | 37\% | (393) | 24\% | (253) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 9\% | (9) | 37\% | (34) | 37\% | (34) | 16\% | (15) | 92 |
| Atheist | 8\% | (8) | 28\% | (28) | 35\% | (35) | 30\% | (30) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (75) | 32\% | (300) | 34\% | (325) | 26\% | (243) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 9\% | (11) | 34\% | (41) | 41\% | (48) | 16\% | (19) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 9\% | (55) | 35\% | (206) | 32\% | (191) | 23\% | (137) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (46) | 32\% | (263) | 38\% | (308) | 24\% | (198) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | $11 \%$ | (62) | 36\% | (207) | 32\% | (185) | 21\% | (121) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (64) | $31 \%$ | (314) | 38\% | (386) | 24\% | (248) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 7\% | (41) | 30\% | (181) | 35\% | (216) | 28\% | (172) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 7\% | (46) | 33\% | (232) | 37\% | (257) | 23\% | (163) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 10\% | (14) | 27\% | (38) | 35\% | (48) | 28\% | (39) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 10\% | (20) | 35\% | (70) | 28\% | (57) | 27\% | (53) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (9) | 32\% | (53) | 40\% | (66) | 22\% | (36) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 6\% | (28) | $31 \%$ | (151) | 38\% | (187) | 25\% | (121) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 12\% | (30) | 32\% | (80) | $31 \%$ | (77) | 25\% | (63) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (9) | 32\% | (50) | 40\% | (62) | 22\% | (35) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 8\% | (31) | 30\% | (111) | 34\% | (128) | 28\% | (103) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 7\% | (136) | 32\% | (591) | 36\% | (659) | 24\% | (438) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 7\% | (59) | 35\% | (296) | 37\% | (317) | 22\% | (186) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (108) | 30\% | (406) | 35\% | (470) | 27\% | (355) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 5\% | (47) | 34\% | (311) | 37\% | (334) | 24\% | (221) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (115) | 31\% | (362) | 35\% | (405) | 24\% | (283) | 1165 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 7\% | (36) | 33\% | (178) | 36\% | (194) | 23\% | (125) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 3\% | (11) | 35\% | (133) | 37\% | (140) | 25\% | (96) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (18) | 35\% | (80) | 42\% | (97) | 16\% | (36) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (97) | 30\% | (282) | 33\% | (307) | 26\% | (247) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (51) | 33\% | (292) | 37\% | (321) | 24\% | (215) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (111) | 32\% | (375) | 33\% | (392) | 25\% | (298) | 1176 |

[^56]Table LX3_4: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Older politicians

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (167) | $32 \%$ | (702) | $36 \%$ | (787) | 25\% | (541) | 2197 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (41) | 32\% | (179) | $36 \%$ | (201) | 24\% | (130) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (9) | 34\% | (113) | 37\% | (121) | 26\% | (85) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (14) | 27\% | (53) | 40\% | (77) | 26\% | (51) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (97) | 33\% | (321) | 32\% | (314) | 25\% | (247) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (43) | 30\% | (163) | 37\% | (203) | 25\% | (135) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (37) | $36 \%$ | (153) | $34 \%$ | (147) | 22\% | (92) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 4\% | (15) | 34\% | (121) | 37\% | (131) | 26\% | (92) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (25) | 32\% | (106) | 35\% | (116) | 24\% | (80) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 6\% | (8) | 30\% | (40) | $33 \%$ | (44) | 31\% | (42) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 8\% | (10) | 37\% | (45) | $31 \%$ | (38) | 23\% | (28) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 10\% | (14) | 29\% | (43) | 41\% | (59) | $21 \%$ | (30) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 10\% | (14) | 23\% | (31) | 36\% | (50) | 31\% | (42) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 9\% | (68) | 34\% | (254) | $34 \%$ | (252) | 23\% | (173) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (32) | 33\% | (214) | 37\% | (242) | 25\% | (165) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 7\% | (6) | 16\% | (15) | 35\% | (32) | 42\% | (39) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 9\% | (61) | 36\% | (239) | 34\% | (226) | $21 \%$ | (142) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (38) | 33\% | (229) | 36\% | (255) | 26\% | (182) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 4\% | (8) | 18\% | (34) | 40\% | (75) | 38\% | (72) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (61) | 31\% | (198) | 36\% | (230) | 23\% | (145) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 7\% | (92) | 32\% | (420) | 36\% | (462) | 25\% | (327) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (75) | 32\% | (282) | 36\% | (325) | 24\% | (214) | 896 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 10\% | (76) | 34\% | (270) | $33 \%$ | (265) | 23\% | (183) | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 4\% | (25) | 30\% | (170) | 39\% | (217) | 27\% | (149) | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | 5\% | (4) | 19\% | (16) | $36 \%$ | (29) | 40\% | (33) | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (62) | 32\% | (246) | 36\% | (276) | 23\% | (175) | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 6\% | (25) | $33 \%$ | (130) | 39\% | (152) | 22\% | (86) | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 7\% | (31) | $31 \%$ | (143) | 37\% | (173) | 25\% | (114) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 7\% | (54) | 32\% | (266) | 34\% | (283) | 27\% | (220) | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | $11 \%$ | (56) | $31 \%$ | (163) | 35\% | (179) | 23\% | (121) | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX3_5: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Politicians who have never held elected office

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (165) | 28\% | (615) | 43\% | (947) | 21\% | (470) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (105) | 31\% | (329) | 39\% | (409) | 21\% | (218) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 5\% | (60) | 25\% | (286) | 47\% | (538) | 22\% | (252) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 8\% | (35) | 25\% | (112) | 46\% | (208) | 21\% | (95) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 10\% | (58) | 29\% | (163) | 37\% | (207) | 24\% | (134) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 6\% | (21) | 28\% | (90) | 47\% | (154) | 19\% | (62) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 8\% | (35) | 29\% | (121) | 44\% | (186) | 19\% | (79) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (16) | 29\% | (128) | 44\% | (192) | 23\% | (100) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 6\% | (12) | 25\% | (52) | 48\% | (100) | 21\% | (43) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 11\% | (62) | 27\% | (154) | 38\% | (218) | 25\% | (144) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 7\% | (40) | 29\% | (160) | 45\% | (251) | 19\% | (105) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 6\% | (45) | 29\% | (217) | 45\% | (337) | 21\% | (156) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 8\% | (62) | 33\% | (245) | 42\% | (317) | 17\% | (126) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (46) | 25\% | (187) | 42\% | (314) | 27\% | (199) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 8\% | (57) | 26\% | (184) | 45\% | (316) | 21\% | (145) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 10\% | (37) | $34 \%$ | (122) | 38\% | (134) | 18\% | (64) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 6\% | (25) | 31\% | (123) | 46\% | (183) | 16\% | (62) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (28) | 28\% | (98) | 38\% | (131) | 25\% | (88) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 5\% | (18) | 22\% | (89) | 46\% | (183) | 28\% | (111) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 11\% | (40) | 30\% | (110) | 40\% | (144) | 19\% | (67) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (17) | 22\% | (74) | 50\% | (173) | 23\% | (78) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 8\% | (47) | $32 \%$ | (189) | 42\% | (249) | 19\% | (112) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (36) | $31 \%$ | (185) | 43\% | (251) | 20\% | (116) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 8\% | (59) | 28\% | (208) | 42\% | (305) | 22\% | (159) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (112) | 26\% | (392) | 44\% | (666) | 23\% | (340) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 7\% | (33) | 32\% | (142) | 42\% | (185) | 19\% | (84) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 8\% | (20) | 34\% | (82) | 39\% | (95) | 19\% | (46) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (84) | 24\% | (283) | 44\% | (511) | 24\% | (280) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 8\% | (58) | 33\% | (233) | 40\% | (281) | 19\% | (131) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | 7\% | (23) | 29\% | (98) | 46\% | (155) | 18\% | (59) | 335 |
| Ethnicity: White | 7\% | (125) | 28\% | (489) | 43\% | (736) | 21\% | (369) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 8\% | (27) | 25\% | (86) | 43\% | (151) | 24\% | (84) | 349 |

[^57]Table LX3_5: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Politicians who have never held elected office

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (165) | 28\% | (615) | 43\% | (947) | 21\% | (470) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | $11 \%$ | (29) | 27\% | (74) | 40\% | (110) | 22\% | (60) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 5\% | (11) | 26\% | (52) | 49\% | (101) | 20\% | (41) | 204 |
| All Christian | 8\% | (83) | 30\% | (314) | 44\% | (465) | 19\% | (201) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 19\% | (17) | 34\% | (31) | $31 \%$ | (28) | 17\% | (15) | 92 |
| Atheist | 6\% | (6) | 27\% | (27) | 36\% | (36) | 32\% | (32) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (59) | 26\% | (243) | 44\% | (418) | 24\% | (222) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 16\% | (19) | 37\% | (44) | $32 \%$ | (39) | 15\% | (18) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 9\% | (53) | 26\% | (155) | 43\% | (253) | 22\% | (128) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (40) | 30\% | (247) | 46\% | (375) | 19\% | (153) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 9\% | (53) | 27\% | (157) | 42\% | (239) | 22\% | (126) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (72) | 31\% | (312) | 43\% | (435) | 19\% | (193) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 7\% | (41) | 24\% | (146) | 45\% | (272) | 25\% | (151) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 7\% | (49) | $31 \%$ | (216) | 44\% | (307) | 18\% | (126) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 13\% | (18) | 27\% | (37) | 42\% | (59) | 18\% | (24) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (17) | 28\% | (56) | 43\% | (85) | 21\% | (42) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 6\% | (11) | 26\% | (43) | 44\% | (73) | 23\% | (38) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 6\% | (29) | 29\% | (143) | 41\% | (199) | 24\% | (116) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 9\% | (22) | 21\% | (53) | 44\% | (110) | 26\% | (66) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 9\% | (15) | 26\% | (40) | 41\% | (63) | 24\% | (38) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (33) | 31\% | (116) | 38\% | (144) | 22\% | (80) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 7\% | (132) | 27\% | (499) | 44\% | (803) | 21\% | (390) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 10\% | (90) | 27\% | (235) | 41\% | (349) | 22\% | (185) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 6\% | (75) | 28\% | (380) | 45\% | (598) | 21\% | (286) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 10\% | (93) | 27\% | (247) | 40\% | (367) | 23\% | (205) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 6\% | (67) | 30\% | (349) | 45\% | (523) | 19\% | (226) | 1165 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 13\% | (70) | 24\% | (129) | 38\% | (202) | 25\% | (131) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (22) | 31\% | (118) | 44\% | (166) | 20\% | (75) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 4\% | (9) | 25\% | (57) | 56\% | (130) | 15\% | (35) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 6\% | (58) | $31 \%$ | (291) | 42\% | (393) | 21\% | (192) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (87) | 27\% | (237) | 41\% | (358) | 22\% | (198) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 6\% | (69) | 30\% | (349) | 44\% | (518) | 20\% | (240) | 1176 |

[^58]Table LX3_5: Based on what you know or have seen, how would you rate the job performance of each of the following?
Politicians who have never held elected office

| Demographic | Excellent |  | Good |  | Just Fair |  | Poor |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (165) | 28\% | (615) | 43\% | (947) | 21\% | (470) | 2197 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (67) | 26\% | (145) | 37\% | (205) | 24\% | (135) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (20) | 28\% | (92) | 47\% | (152) | 19\% | (63) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 5\% | (9) | 24\% | (47) | 50\% | (99) | 21\% | (41) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 6\% | (60) | 31\% | (302) | 43\% | (419) | 20\% | (199) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (49) | 25\% | (137) | 43\% | (236) | 23\% | (123) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (43) | 24\% | (103) | 45\% | (193) | 21\% | (90) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 3\% | (13) | 29\% | (105) | 42\% | (152) | 25\% | (90) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 7\% | (23) | 32\% | (106) | 42\% | (138) | 18\% | (60) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 6\% | (9) | 28\% | (38) | 41\% | (55) | 25\% | (33) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 10\% | (12) | $31 \%$ | (37) | 43\% | (52) | 17\% | (20) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 6\% | (9) | 35\% | (51) | 40\% | (58) | 19\% | (27) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 6\% | (8) | 29\% | (39) | 46\% | (63) | 20\% | (27) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 7\% | (56) | 33\% | (246) | 43\% | (321) | 17\% | (124) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 9\% | (57) | 27\% | (176) | 42\% | (272) | 23\% | (147) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 6\% | (6) | 18\% | (17) | 37\% | (34) | 39\% | (36) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 8\% | (52) | 32\% | (212) | 43\% | (290) | 17\% | (114) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (65) | 28\% | (195) | 41\% | (291) | 22\% | (153) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 5\% | (9) | 25\% | (47) | 43\% | (80) | 28\% | (52) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (38) | 26\% | (162) | 45\% | (283) | 24\% | (151) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 8\% | (103) | 30\% | (394) | 42\% | (545) | 20\% | (259) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 7\% | (62) | 25\% | (221) | 45\% | (402) | 24\% | (211) | 896 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 8\% | (61) | 33\% | (259) | 42\% | (337) | 17\% | (138) | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 8\% | (47) | 26\% | (148) | 43\% | (241) | 22\% | (126) | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | 6\% | (5) | 32\% | (26) | 44\% | (36) | 18\% | (14) | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (53) | 24\% | (182) | 44\% | (333) | 25\% | (192) | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 8\% | (30) | 30\% | (120) | 43\% | (168) | 19\% | (75) | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 8\% | (37) | 26\% | (120) | 44\% | (203) | 22\% | (102) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | $7 \%$ | (61) | 27\% | (223) | 42\% | (347) | 23\% | (193) | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | 7\% | (37) | 29\% | (153) | 44\% | (229) | 19\% | (100) | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX4_1: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Health care

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 27\% | (596) | 8\% | (183) | 65\% | (1418) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 23\% | (245) | 10\% | (103) | 67\% | (712) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 31\% | (351) | 7\% | (80) | 62\% | (705) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 32\% | (145) | 9\% | (42) | 59\% | (264) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 26\% | (146) | 9\% | (52) | 65\% | (363) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 25\% | (81) | 9\% | (30) | 66\% | (217) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 28\% | (117) | 5\% | (21) | 67\% | (284) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | 25\% | (108) | 9\% | (38) | 67\% | (290) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 31\% | (65) | 8\% | (17) | 60\% | (125) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 29\% | (169) | 8\% | (49) | 62\% | (359) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 25\% | (137) | 10\% | (58) | 65\% | (360) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 27\% | (201) | 6\% | (46) | 67\% | (508) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 41\% | (309) | 6\% | (42) | 53\% | (398) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 23\% | (175) | 6\% | (44) | 71\% | (527) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 16\% | (111) | 14\% | (97) | 70\% | (493) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 35\% | (124) | 8\% | (28) | 57\% | (204) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 47\% | (185) | 4\% | (14) | 49\% | (193) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 20\% | (70) | 6\% | (20) | 74\% | (255) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 26\% | (105) | 6\% | (24) | 68\% | (272) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 14\% | (51) | 15\% | (56) | 70\% | (253) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 18\% | (61) | 12\% | (42) | 70\% | (240) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 41\% | (244) | 5\% | (32) | 54\% | (321) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 27\% | (159) | 6\% | (32) | 67\% | (397) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 17\% | (123) | 13\% | (97) | 70\% | (511) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 26\% | (386) | 9\% | (140) | 65\% | (984) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 28\% | (124) | 7\% | (29) | 66\% | (290) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 35\% | (86) | 6\% | (14) | 59\% | (143) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 28\% | (325) | 8\% | (88) | 64\% | (745) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 23\% | (160) | 9\% | (63) | 68\% | (481) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | $33 \%$ | (110) | 10\% | (32) | 57\% | (192) | 335 |

[^59]Table LX4_1: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Health care

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 27\% | (596) | 8\% | (183) | 65\% | (1418) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: White | 25\% | (423) | 8\% | (138) | 67\% | (1158) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | $33 \%$ | (115) | 8\% | (28) | 59\% | (206) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 44\% | (119) | 8\% | (22) | 48\% | (132) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 26\% | (53) | 11\% | (23) | 63\% | (127) | 204 |
| All Christian | 24\% | (256) | 9\% | (98) | 67\% | (708) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 33\% | (31) | 14\% | (13) | 52\% | (48) | 92 |
| Atheist | 33\% | (33) | 10\% | (10) | 58\% | (58) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 29\% | (276) | 7\% | (62) | 64\% | (604) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 29\% | (35) | 19\% | (23) | 51\% | (61) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 24\% | (144) | 12\% | (72) | 63\% | (373) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 25\% | (203) | 7\% | (54) | 69\% | (559) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 34\% | (193) | 7\% | (38) | 60\% | (344) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | $27 \%$ | (272) | 9\% | (93) | 64\% | (646) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 21\% | (131) | 9\% | (52) | 70\% | (428) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 28\% | (196) | 8\% | (54) | 64\% | (448) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 33\% | (46) | 13\% | (18) | $54 \%$ | (75) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 28\% | (55) | 8\% | (16) | 65\% | (129) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 25\% | (41) | 5\% | (7) | 71\% | (116) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 24\% | (117) | 10\% | (49) | 66\% | (321) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 28\% | (71) | 6\% | (16) | 65\% | (164) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 19\% | (30) | 10\% | (16) | 70\% | (110) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 24\% | (89) | 11\% | (42) | 65\% | (242) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 28\% | (506) | 8\% | (142) | 64\% | (1176) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (141) | 13\% | (115) | 70\% | (602) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 34\% | (455) | 5\% | (68) | 61\% | (816) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 15\% | (138) | 14\% | (131) | 71\% | (644) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 38\% | (442) | 4\% | (51) | 58\% | (672) | 1165 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_1: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Health care

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 27\% | (596) | 8\% | (183) | 65\% | (1418) | 2197 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 12\% | (63) | 18\% | (97) | 70\% | (372) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 20\% | (75) | 9\% | (34) | 71\% | (272) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | $31 \%$ | (73) | 6\% | (15) | 62\% | (144) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 40\% | (369) | 4\% | (36) | 57\% | (528) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (133) | 14\% | (119) | 71\% | (627) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 38\% | (443) | 5\% | (53) | 58\% | (679) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (69) | 17\% | (91) | 71\% | (392) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 20\% | (64) | 8\% | (28) | $72 \%$ | (236) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 25\% | (50) | 8\% | (15) | 67\% | (131) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 40\% | (394) | 4\% | (39) | 56\% | (548) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 25\% | (137) | 6\% | (33) | 69\% | (374) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 16\% | (70) | 17\% | (72) | 67\% | (287) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 30\% | (109) | 5\% | (18) | 65\% | (232) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 28\% | (92) | 8\% | (26) | 64\% | (209) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 41\% | (54) | 5\% | (7) | 54\% | (73) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 33\% | (40) | 8\% | (9) | 59\% | (71) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 34\% | (49) | 5\% | (7) | 62\% | (89) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | $32 \%$ | (44) | 8\% | (11) | 60\% | (83) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 41\% | (306) | 5\% | (36) | 54\% | (404) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 14\% | (92) | 13\% | (85) | 73\% | (476) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 20\% | (18) | 10\% | (9) | 71\% | (65) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 41\% | (275) | 5\% | (31) | $54 \%$ | (363) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 14\% | (99) | 14\% | (99) | 72\% | (506) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 22\% | (42) | 3\% | (6) | $74 \%$ | (140) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | $28 \%$ | (179) | 7\% | (46) | 64\% | (408) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 28\% | (364) | 8\% | (104) | 64\% | (833) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 26\% | (232) | 9\% | (80) | 65\% | (584) | 896 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_1: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues? Health care

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Adults | $27 \%$ | $(596)$ | $8 \%$ | $(183)$ | $65 \%$ | $(1418)$ | 2197 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $38 \%$ | $(299)$ | $5 \%$ | $(38)$ | $58 \%$ | $(457)$ | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $15 \%$ | $(84)$ | $13 \%$ | $(72)$ | $72 \%$ | $(405)$ | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | $15 \%$ | $(12)$ | $7 \%$ | $(5)$ | $79 \%$ | $(64)$ | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $26 \%$ | $(200)$ | $9 \%$ | $(67)$ | $65 \%$ | $(492)$ | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $27 \%$ | $(105)$ | $9 \%$ | $(37)$ | $64 \%$ | $(251)$ | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $26 \%$ | $(122)$ | $5 \%$ | $(24)$ | $68 \%$ | $(316)$ | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | $26 \%$ | $(211)$ | $9 \%$ | $(75)$ | $65 \%$ | $(537)$ | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | $30 \%$ | $(157)$ | $9 \%$ | $(48)$ | $60 \%$ | $(314)$ | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX4_2: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Education

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table LX4_2: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Education

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $33 \%$ | (714) | 7\% | (154) | 60\% | (1329) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: White | $31 \%$ | (539) | 7\% | (117) | 62\% | (1064) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 36\% | (125) | 5\% | (18) | 59\% | (206) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 43\% | (119) | 7\% | (20) | 49\% | (135) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 28\% | (57) | 8\% | (17) | 64\% | (130) | 204 |
| All Christian | 32\% | (335) | 7\% | (77) | 61\% | (651) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 44\% | (41) | 7\% | (6) | 49\% | (45) | 92 |
| Atheist | 39\% | (39) | 8\% | (8) | 54\% | (54) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | $32 \%$ | (300) | 7\% | (64) | 61\% | (579) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 40\% | (48) | 8\% | (10) | $51 \%$ | (61) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 28\% | (167) | 11\% | (64) | 61\% | (357) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 32\% | (263) | 5\% | (41) | 63\% | (513) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 38\% | (221) | 7\% | (39) | 55\% | (316) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 32\% | (325) | 7\% | (73) | 61\% | (613) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 28\% | (169) | 7\% | (42) | 66\% | (400) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 32\% | (225) | 6\% | (40) | 62\% | (433) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 41\% | (56) | 8\% | (11) | $51 \%$ | (71) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | $33 \%$ | (65) | 9\% | (19) | 58\% | (116) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 30\% | (49) | 4\% | (6) | 67\% | (109) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 32\% | (156) | 6\% | (31) | 62\% | (300) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 32\% | (79) | 10\% | (25) | 58\% | (146) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 29\% | (45) | $11 \%$ | (18) | 59\% | (93) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 32\% | (119) | 7\% | (27) | 61\% | (227) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 33\% | (595) | 7\% | (128) | 60\% | (1101) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 22\% | (190) | 12\% | (106) | 66\% | (562) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 39\% | (524) | 4\% | (48) | 57\% | (766) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 22\% | (204) | 13\% | (117) | 65\% | (592) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 42\% | (491) | 3\% | (35) | 55\% | (639) | 1165 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_2: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Education

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $33 \%$ | (714) | 7\% | (154) | 60\% | (1329) | 2197 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 19\% | (103) | 16\% | (85) | 65\% | (344) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 27\% | (101) | 8\% | (32) | 65\% | (248) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 37\% | (86) | 7\% | (16) | 56\% | (129) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 43\% | (405) | 2\% | (19) | 55\% | (510) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 21\% | (187) | 13\% | (116) | 66\% | (577) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 43\% | (502) | 3\% | (34) | 54\% | (640) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (98) | 17\% | (94) | 65\% | (360) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 27\% | (89) | 7\% | (22) | 66\% | (217) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 35\% | (69) | 6\% | (13) | 59\% | (115) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 44\% | (433) | 2\% | (21) | 54\% | (525) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 29\% | (156) | 5\% | (28) | 66\% | (361) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 24\% | (102) | 14\% | (60) | 62\% | (267) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 38\% | (137) | $4 \%$ | (15) | 58\% | (207) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 37\% | (121) | 9\% | (28) | 54\% | (178) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 39\% | (53) | - | (0) | 61\% | (81) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 34\% | (41) | 7\% | (9) | 59\% | (71) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 41\% | (59) | 3\% | (4) | 56\% | (82) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 33\% | (45) | 8\% | (11) | 59\% | (81) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 45\% | (338) | 2\% | (16) | 52\% | (392) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 22\% | (142) | 12\% | (77) | 66\% | (434) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 25\% | (24) | 6\% | (5) | 69\% | (64) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 46\% | (309) | 2\% | (12) | $52 \%$ | (347) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 21\% | (148) | 13\% | (92) | 66\% | (463) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 26\% | (50) | 3\% | (5) | 71\% | (133) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 33\% | (207) | 7\% | (45) | 60\% | (382) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 33\% | (435) | 7\% | (89) | 60\% | (777) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 31\% | (279) | 7\% | (65) | 62\% | (552) | 896 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_2: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Education

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Adults | $33 \%$ | $(714)$ | $7 \%$ | $(154)$ | $60 \%$ | $(1329)$ | 2197 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $41 \%$ | $(326)$ | $3 \%$ | $(25)$ | $56 \%$ | $(442)$ | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $24 \%$ | $(133)$ | $11 \%$ | $(63)$ | $65 \%$ | $(366)$ | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | $26 \%$ | $(21)$ | $5 \%$ | $(4)$ | $69 \%$ | $(56)$ | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $31 \%$ | $(233)$ | $8 \%$ | $(62)$ | $61 \%$ | $(465)$ | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $35 \%$ | $(139)$ | $9 \%$ | $(34)$ | $56 \%$ | $(220)$ | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $32 \%$ | $(149)$ | $4 \%$ | $(18)$ | $64 \%$ | $(294)$ | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | $31 \%$ | $(252)$ | $8 \%$ | $(63)$ | $62 \%$ | $(508)$ | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | $34 \%$ | $(174)$ | $7 \%$ | $(38)$ | $59 \%$ | $(307)$ | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX4_3: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Gun policy

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |

[^60]Table LX4_3: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Gun policy

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (407) | 28\% | (610) | 54\% | (1180) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: White | 17\% | (294) | 29\% | (493) | 54\% | (932) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 20\% | (71) | 22\% | (77) | 58\% | (201) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | $31 \%$ | (85) | 27\% | (74) | 42\% | (115) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (29) | 21\% | (43) | 65\% | (132) | 204 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (155) | 32\% | (336) | 54\% | (572) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 37\% | (34) | 26\% | (24) | 38\% | (35) | 92 |
| Atheist | 35\% | (35) | 19\% | (19) | 46\% | (47) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 20\% | (184) | 25\% | (231) | 56\% | (527) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 29\% | (35) | 34\% | (40) | 37\% | (44) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (76) | 39\% | (227) | 49\% | (286) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 16\% | (129) | 26\% | (209) | 59\% | (478) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 24\% | (137) | 25\% | (142) | 51\% | (296) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 20\% | (204) | 27\% | (270) | 53\% | (537) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 11\% | (66) | 32\% | (198) | $57 \%$ | (347) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 20\% | (136) | 27\% | (187) | 54\% | (375) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 19\% | (26) | 32\% | (44) | 49\% | (68) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 18\% | (37) | 36\% | (71) | 46\% | (92) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 12\% | (20) | 25\% | (41) | 63\% | (103) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 18\% | (89) | 30\% | (145) | $52 \%$ | (253) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 20\% | (50) | 28\% | (70) | $52 \%$ | (130) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 14\% | (21) | 25\% | (38) | 62\% | (96) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 18\% | (67) | 34\% | (128) | 48\% | (178) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 19\% | (340) | 26\% | (482) | 55\% | (1001) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 6\% | (56) | 41\% | (354) | 52\% | (448) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 26\% | (352) | 19\% | (256) | 55\% | (731) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 6\% | (53) | 43\% | (395) | $51 \%$ | (465) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 30\% | (346) | 16\% | (192) | 54\% | (627) | 1165 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_3: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Gun policy

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (407) | 28\% | (610) | 54\% | (1180) | 2197 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 7\% | (35) | 45\% | (241) | 48\% | (256) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (18) | 40\% | (154) | 55\% | (209) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 21\% | (49) | 27\% | (61) | 52\% | (121) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $32 \%$ | (297) | 14\% | (130) | 54\% | (506) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (32) | 45\% | (398) | $51 \%$ | (449) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 30\% | (357) | 16\% | (193) | 53\% | (626) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (18) | 49\% | (268) | 48\% | (266) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (14) | 40\% | (130) | 56\% | (183) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (31) | 25\% | (48) | 59\% | (116) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 33\% | (326) | 15\% | (145) | 52\% | (509) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (73) | 31\% | (170) | 56\% | (303) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 8\% | (34) | 44\% | (190) | 48\% | (205) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 25\% | (90) | 19\% | (67) | 56\% | (202) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 21\% | (68) | 28\% | (92) | 51\% | (168) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 28\% | (37) | 15\% | (20) | 57\% | (77) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 17\% | (20) | 20\% | (24) | 63\% | (77) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 36\% | (53) | 12\% | (17) | 52\% | (75) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 24\% | (32) | 23\% | (31) | 54\% | (74) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 36\% | (267) | 14\% | (105) | 50\% | (375) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 4\% | (27) | 43\% | (278) | 53\% | (348) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 11\% | (10) | 21\% | (20) | 67\% | (63) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 36\% | (242) | 16\% | (104) | 48\% | (322) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (29) | 42\% | (299) | 53\% | (375) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 12\% | (23) | 18\% | (34) | 70\% | (131) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (113) | 27\% | (173) | 55\% | (348) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 20\% | (254) | 27\% | (358) | 53\% | (689) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 17\% | (153) | 28\% | (252) | 55\% | (491) | 896 |

Table LX4_3: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Gun policy

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Adults | $19 \%$ | $(407)$ | $28 \%$ | $(610)$ | $54 \%$ | $(1180)$ | 2197 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $31 \%$ | $(243)$ | $19 \%$ | $(149)$ | $51 \%$ | $(401)$ | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $5 \%$ | $(30)$ | $40 \%$ | $(224)$ | $55 \%$ | $(308)$ | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | $5 \%$ | $(4)$ | $28 \%$ | $(23)$ | $67 \%$ | $(54)$ | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $17 \%$ | $(129)$ | $28 \%$ | $(213)$ | $55 \%$ | $(417)$ | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $23 \%$ | $(89)$ | $28 \%$ | $(109)$ | $49 \%$ | $(194)$ | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $17 \%$ | $(80)$ | $25 \%$ | $(116)$ | $57 \%$ | $(265)$ | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | $16 \%$ | $(132)$ | $32 \%$ | $(263)$ | $52 \%$ | $(427)$ | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | $20 \%$ | $(106)$ | $23 \%$ | $(121)$ | $56 \%$ | $(293)$ | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX4_4: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Women's issues

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 62\% | (1373) | $4 \%$ | (77) | $34 \%$ | (748) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 59\% | (628) | 4\% | (44) | 37\% | (389) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 66\% | (745) | 3\% | (33) | $32 \%$ | (358) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 67\% | (301) | $4 \%$ | (16) | 30\% | (134) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 59\% | (332) | 5\% | (28) | 36\% | (202) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 60\% | (197) | 4\% | (12) | 36\% | (120) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 62\% | (262) | 3\% | (11) | 35\% | (149) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | 65\% | (281) | $2 \%$ | (11) | 33\% | (143) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 76\% | (158) | $4 \%$ | (8) | 20\% | (41) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 59\% | (340) | 5\% | (28) | 36\% | (210) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 60\% | (331) | $4 \%$ | (20) | 37\% | (204) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 63\% | (477) | $2 \%$ | (18) | 34\% | (260) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 71\% | (535) | 3\% | (20) | 26\% | (194) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 58\% | (435) | $2 \%$ | (18) | 39\% | (293) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 57\% | (403) | 6\% | (39) | 37\% | (260) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 66\% | (235) | 3\% | (12) | $31 \%$ | (109) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 76\% | (300) | $2 \%$ | (8) | $22 \%$ | (85) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 54\% | (187) | 3\% | (11) | 43\% | (147) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 62\% | (248) | $2 \%$ | (7) | 36\% | (146) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 57\% | (206) | 6\% | (20) | 37\% | (133) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 57\% | (197) | 5\% | (19) | 37\% | (127) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 74\% | (444) | 3\% | (16) | 23\% | (138) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 63\% | (373) | 3\% | (18) | 33\% | (196) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 55\% | (402) | 5\% | (36) | 40\% | (294) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 61\% | (919) | $4 \%$ | (56) | 35\% | (535) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 65\% | (287) | 2\% | (11) | 33\% | (145) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 68\% | (166) | $4 \%$ | (10) | 28\% | (67) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 61\% | (707) | $4 \%$ | (41) | 35\% | (411) | 1158 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 62\% | (435) | $4 \%$ | (27) | 34\% | (242) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | 69\% | (231) | $3 \%$ | (9) | 28\% | (95) | 335 |

[^61]Table LX4_4: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Women's issues

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 62\% | (1373) | 4\% | (77) | $34 \%$ | (748) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: White | 62\% | (1067) | 3\% | (59) | 35\% | (594) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 62\% | (217) | 1\% | (5) | 36\% | (127) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 67\% | (184) | 4\% | (11) | 29\% | (79) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 59\% | (121) | 4\% | (8) | 37\% | (75) | 204 |
| All Christian | 64\% | (676) | 3\% | (37) | 33\% | (349) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 66\% | (61) | 4\% | (3) | 30\% | (28) | 92 |
| Atheist | 65\% | (65) | 3\% | (3) | $32 \%$ | (32) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 60\% | (570) | 4\% | (33) | 36\% | (339) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 68\% | (81) | 3\% | (3) | 29\% | (35) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 62\% | (366) | 5\% | (29) | 33\% | (193) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 63\% | (512) | 3\% | (21) | 35\% | (283) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 63\% | (362) | 3\% | (14) | 35\% | (199) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 65\% | (658) | 4\% | (35) | 31\% | (318) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 58\% | (353) | 4\% | (27) | 38\% | (231) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 63\% | (437) | 3\% | (22) | 34\% | (239) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 63\% | (87) | 1\% | (1) | 36\% | (50) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 65\% | (130) | 4\% | (8) | $31 \%$ | (62) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 56\% | (92) | 3\% | (5) | 41\% | (67) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 63\% | (308) | 3\% | (14) | 34\% | (165) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 56\% | (140) | 6\% | (16) | 38\% | (95) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 63\% | (98) | 5\% | (8) | 32\% | (50) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 62\% | (230) | 4\% | (15) | 35\% | (129) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 63\% | (1143) | $3 \%$ | (62) | 34\% | (618) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 54\% | (461) | 5\% | (43) | $41 \%$ | (354) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 68\% | (912) | 2\% | (33) | 29\% | (394) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | $54 \%$ | (493) | 6\% | (50) | 40\% | (369) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 71\% | (832) | 2\% | (25) | 26\% | (308) | 1165 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_4: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Women's issues

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 62\% | (1373) | $4 \%$ | (77) | 34\% | (748) | 2197 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 51\% | (271) | 7\% | (38) | 42\% | (223) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 58\% | (222) | 3\% | (12) | 38\% | (146) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 66\% | (152) | 4\% | (9) | 31\% | (71) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 73\% | (680) | 2\% | (16) | 25\% | (237) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 55\% | (479) | 6\% | (49) | 40\% | (351) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 72\% | (842) | 2\% | (21) | 27\% | (313) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 53\% | (294) | 7\% | (39) | 40\% | (219) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 57\% | (185) | 3\% | (10) | 40\% | (132) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 65\% | (127) | 3\% | (7) | 32\% | (62) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 73\% | (714) | 1\% | (15) | 26\% | (251) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 62\% | (340) | 2\% | (13) | 35\% | (191) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 57\% | (247) | 6\% | (26) | 36\% | (156) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 65\% | (233) | 3\% | (11) | 32\% | (116) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 66\% | (215) | 3\% | (9) | $31 \%$ | (102) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 63\% | (84) | 3\% | (4) | 34\% | (46) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 55\% | (66) | 4\% | (5) | 41\% | (49) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 67\% | (97) | 3\% | (4) | 30\% | (44) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 65\% | (89) | 3\% | (4) | 32\% | (44) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | $72 \%$ | (534) | $2 \%$ | (14) | 27\% | (198) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 56\% | (363) | 5\% | (32) | 39\% | (257) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 48\% | (45) | 2\% | (2) | 50\% | (46) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | $72 \%$ | (480) | 2\% | (11) | 26\% | (177) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | $56 \%$ | (391) | 5\% | (36) | 39\% | (277) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 56\% | (106) | 1\% | (2) | 43\% | (81) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 62\% | (392) | 4\% | (28) | 34\% | (213) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 62\% | (812) | 3\% | (45) | 34\% | (443) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 62\% | (560) | 4\% | (32) | 34\% | (304) | 896 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_4: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Women's issues

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 62\% | (1373) | 4\% | (77) | $34 \%$ | (748) | 2197 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 67\% | (534) | 3\% | (22) | 30\% | (238) | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 58\% | (323) | 5\% | (26) | 38\% | (212) | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | 50\% | (40) | 2\% | (2) | 48\% | (39) | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 62\% | (474) | 4\% | (27) | 34\% | (258) | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 62\% | (244) | 5\% | (19) | $33 \%$ | (131) | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 61\% | (280) | 2\% | (9) | 37\% | (172) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 64\% | (526) | 4\% | (33) | $32 \%$ | (264) | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | 62\% | (322) | $3 \%$ | (16) | $35 \%$ | (181) | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX4_5: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
National security

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^62]Table LX4_5: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
National security

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (183) | $31 \%$ | (690) | 60\% | (1324) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: White | 7\% | (122) | 32\% | (556) | 61\% | (1041) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 13\% | (45) | 26\% | (90) | 61\% | (214) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 15\% | (40) | 29\% | (80) | 56\% | (153) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 10\% | (20) | 26\% | (53) | 64\% | (131) | 204 |
| All Christian | 5\% | (57) | 35\% | (373) | 60\% | (632) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 12\% | (11) | 37\% | (34) | $51 \%$ | (47) | 92 |
| Atheist | 13\% | (13) | 28\% | (28) | 59\% | (60) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 11\% | (102) | 27\% | (254) | 62\% | (585) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 13\% | (16) | 39\% | (47) | 47\% | (56) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 6\% | (35) | 39\% | (229) | 55\% | (325) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (42) | 31\% | (250) | 64\% | (523) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 11\% | (64) | 29\% | (164) | 60\% | (347) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 9\% | (89) | 32\% | (320) | 60\% | (602) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (30) | 34\% | (206) | 61\% | (375) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 9\% | (64) | 31\% | (216) | 60\% | (418) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 10\% | (13) | 38\% | (52) | $53 \%$ | (73) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 10\% | (20) | 34\% | (68) | 56\% | (112) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 6\% | (10) | 26\% | (43) | 68\% | (111) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 6\% | (30) | 36\% | (177) | 58\% | (280) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 9\% | (23) | 28\% | (70) | 63\% | (158) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (9) | 27\% | (42) | 67\% | (105) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 8\% | (30) | 36\% | (136) | 55\% | (207) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (153) | 30\% | (554) | 61\% | (1117) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 2\% | (20) | 44\% | (377) | $54 \%$ | (461) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 12\% | (163) | 23\% | (313) | 64\% | (863) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | $2 \%$ | (18) | 46\% | (418) | $52 \%$ | (477) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 14\% | (163) | 22\% | (258) | 64\% | (744) | 1165 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_5: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
National security

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_5: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
National security

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $8 \%$ | $(183)$ | $31 \%$ | $(690)$ | $60 \%$ | $(1324)$ | 2197 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $13 \%$ | $(100)$ | $24 \%$ | $(194)$ | $63 \%$ | $(500)$ | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $2 \%$ | $(13)$ | $44 \%$ | $(246)$ | $54 \%$ | $(302)$ | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | $3 \%$ | $(2)$ | $24 \%$ | $(19)$ | $74 \%$ | $(60)$ | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $9 \%$ | $(67)$ | $30 \%$ | $(230)$ | $61 \%$ | $(463)$ | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $9 \%$ | $(35)$ | $31 \%$ | $(123)$ | $60 \%$ | $(235)$ | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $6 \%$ | $(28)$ | $30 \%$ | $(137)$ | $64 \%$ | $(296)$ | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | $7 \%$ | $(55)$ | $33 \%$ | $(274)$ | $60 \%$ | $(494)$ | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | $12 \%$ | $(64)$ | $30 \%$ | $(156)$ | $58 \%$ | $(299)$ | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX4_6: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Foreign policy

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |

[^63]Table LX4_6: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Foreign policy

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male candidate | nd female are the same | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (224) | 26\% | (571) | 64\% | (1402) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (154) | 27\% | (462) | 64\% | (1104) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (54) | 21\% | (72) | 64\% | (223) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 19\% | (53) | 27\% | (73) | $54 \%$ | (148) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 9\% | (18) | 18\% | (36) | 74\% | (150) | 204 |
| All Christian | 7\% | (75) | 32\% | (337) | 61\% | (651) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 12\% | (11) | 31\% | (28) | 57\% | (53) | 92 |
| Atheist | 16\% | (17) | 22\% | (22) | 62\% | (62) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 13\% | (121) | 20\% | (184) | 68\% | (637) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 11\% | (13) | 35\% | (42) | 54\% | (65) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 9\% | (51) | 33\% | (195) | 58\% | (342) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (54) | 26\% | (211) | 67\% | (551) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (87) | 23\% | (130) | 62\% | (358) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 10\% | (101) | 28\% | (281) | 62\% | (629) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 6\% | (36) | 26\% | (160) | 68\% | (415) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 10\% | (71) | 26\% | (181) | 64\% | (446) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 11\% | (16) | 38\% | (53) | 50\% | (70) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 13\% | (26) | 27\% | (55) | 60\% | (119) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 9\% | (15) | 18\% | (30) | 73\% | (119) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (39) | 30\% | (144) | 62\% | (303) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 14\% | (34) | 22\% | (54) | 65\% | (163) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 5\% | (8) | 25\% | (39) | 70\% | (109) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (39) | 31\% | (116) | 59\% | (219) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (185) | 25\% | (455) | 65\% | (1183) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 3\% | (24) | 37\% | (315) | 60\% | (519) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (200) | 19\% | (256) | 66\% | (883) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 4\% | (34) | 38\% | (344) | 59\% | (534) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 16\% | (186) | 18\% | (211) | 66\% | (768) | 1165 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_6: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Foreign policy

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (224) | 26\% | (571) | 64\% | (1402) | 2197 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 3\% | (14) | 42\% | (221) | 56\% | (297) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (20) | 32\% | (123) | 62\% | (237) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 11\% | (24) | 29\% | (66) | 61\% | (140) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 17\% | (162) | 15\% | (145) | 67\% | (627) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (28) | 39\% | (341) | 58\% | (509) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (186) | 19\% | (219) | 66\% | (771) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (16) | 42\% | (233) | 55\% | (303) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (13) | 33\% | (108) | 63\% | (207) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (14) | 27\% | (54) | 66\% | (129) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (173) | 17\% | (165) | 66\% | (642) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (41) | 24\% | (133) | 68\% | (370) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 4\% | (17) | 40\% | (170) | 57\% | (243) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 10\% | (36) | 22\% | (78) | 68\% | (244) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (34) | 29\% | (96) | 60\% | (197) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 20\% | (27) | 16\% | (21) | 64\% | (86) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 11\% | (14) | 17\% | (21) | 71\% | (86) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 16\% | (23) | 15\% | (22) | 69\% | (100) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 23\% | (32) | 22\% | (30) | 55\% | (75) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 17\% | (125) | 18\% | (134) | 65\% | (488) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 3\% | (17) | 39\% | (252) | 59\% | (384) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 8\% | (7) | 19\% | (18) | 73\% | (68) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 17\% | (115) | 18\% | (117) | 65\% | (436) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 3\% | (21) | 39\% | (274) | 58\% | (408) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (13) | 17\% | (31) | 76\% | (144) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (74) | 23\% | (148) | 65\% | (412) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (131) | 27\% | (348) | 63\% | (822) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 10\% | (93) | 25\% | (223) | 65\% | (580) | 896 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_6: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Foreign policy

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $10 \%$ | $(224)$ | $26 \%$ | $(571)$ | $64 \%$ | $(1402)$ | 2197 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $15 \%$ | $(119)$ | $19 \%$ | $(154)$ | $66 \%$ | $(522)$ | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $3 \%$ | $(17)$ | $37 \%$ | $(208)$ | $60 \%$ | $(337)$ | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | $4 \%$ | $(3)$ | $29 \%$ | $(24)$ | $67 \%$ | $(54)$ | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $11 \%$ | $(84)$ | $25 \%$ | $(186)$ | $64 \%$ | $(489)$ | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $10 \%$ | $(39)$ | $26 \%$ | $(103)$ | $64 \%$ | $(251)$ | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $9 \%$ | $(40)$ | $24 \%$ | $(113)$ | $67 \%$ | $(309)$ | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | $9 \%$ | $(73)$ | $28 \%$ | $(227)$ | $63 \%$ | $(523)$ | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | $14 \%$ | $(72)$ | $25 \%$ | $(128)$ | $62 \%$ | $(320)$ | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX4_7: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Immigration

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |

[^64]Table LX4_7: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Immigration

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (395) | 18\% | (389) | 64\% | (1413) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: White | 16\% | (273) | 20\% | (337) | 64\% | (1108) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 28\% | (97) | 11\% | (39) | 61\% | (213) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 30\% | (83) | 10\% | (28) | 59\% | (163) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 19\% | (39) | 11\% | (23) | 70\% | (142) | 204 |
| All Christian | 14\% | (152) | 21\% | (221) | 65\% | (688) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 15\% | (14) | 22\% | (21) | 63\% | (58) | 92 |
| Atheist | 32\% | (32) | 14\% | (14) | 54\% | (54) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | $21 \%$ | (197) | 14\% | (132) | 65\% | (612) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 14\% | (17) | 23\% | (28) | 62\% | (75) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 16\% | (93) | 23\% | (136) | 61\% | (360) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 15\% | (126) | 17\% | (141) | 67\% | (549) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 24\% | (137) | 14\% | (82) | 62\% | (357) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 19\% | (187) | 19\% | (187) | 63\% | (637) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (71) | 20\% | (120) | 69\% | (420) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 19\% | (135) | 16\% | (113) | 64\% | (450) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 16\% | (22) | 23\% | (32) | 61\% | (84) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 22\% | (44) | 17\% | (35) | 61\% | (122) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 17\% | (28) | 12\% | (20) | 71\% | (116) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 14\% | (70) | 24\% | (119) | 61\% | (298) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 19\% | (48) | 15\% | (38) | 66\% | (164) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 13\% | (20) | 17\% | (26) | 71\% | (110) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (57) | 24\% | (88) | 61\% | (228) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 19\% | (338) | 16\% | (300) | 65\% | (1185) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 6\% | (55) | 30\% | (253) | 64\% | (550) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 25\% | (340) | 10\% | (135) | 64\% | (863) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (61) | 31\% | (286) | 62\% | (565) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 28\% | (331) | 8\% | (94) | 63\% | (739) | 1165 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_7: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?

## Immigration

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (395) | 18\% | (389) | 64\% | (1413) | 2197 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 5\% | (27) | 37\% | (194) | 58\% | (311) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (34) | 24\% | (92) | 67\% | (255) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 19\% | (43) | 17\% | (40) | 64\% | (148) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 31\% | (288) | 6\% | (55) | 63\% | (591) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (53) | $32 \%$ | (285) | 62\% | (541) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 28\% | (329) | 8\% | (93) | 64\% | (754) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (36) | 37\% | (202) | 57\% | (314) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (18) | 25\% | (82) | 69\% | (227) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 15\% | (29) | 13\% | (25) | 72\% | (141) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 31\% | (300) | 7\% | (68) | 63\% | (613) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (73) | 15\% | (79) | 72\% | (393) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (42) | 32\% | (138) | 58\% | (249) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 21\% | (76) | 12\% | (42) | 67\% | (240) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 20\% | (65) | 21\% | (70) | 59\% | (192) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 29\% | (39) | 4\% | (6) | 67\% | (90) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 17\% | (20) | 17\% | (20) | 67\% | (80) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 31\% | (45) | 10\% | (14) | 59\% | (86) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 25\% | (35) | 14\% | (20) | 60\% | (83) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 29\% | (216) | 8\% | (62) | 63\% | (469) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 8\% | (50) | $31 \%$ | (201) | 61\% | (401) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 11\% | (10) | $11 \%$ | (10) | 78\% | (72) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | $31 \%$ | (209) | 8\% | (51) | 61\% | (408) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | $6 \%$ | (40) | $31 \%$ | (220) | 63\% | (443) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 16\% | (31) | 9\% | (17) | 75\% | (141) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (114) | 16\% | (100) | 66\% | (420) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 18\% | (238) | 18\% | (234) | 64\% | (829) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 18\% | (157) | 17\% | (155) | 65\% | (584) | 896 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_7: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Immigration

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $18 \%$ | $(395)$ | $18 \%$ | $(389)$ | $64 \%$ | $(1413)$ | 2197 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $27 \%$ | $(212)$ | $9 \%$ | $(72)$ | $64 \%$ | $(510)$ | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $5 \%$ | $(30)$ | $31 \%$ | $(174)$ | $64 \%$ | $(358)$ | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | $8 \%$ | $(6)$ | $22 \%$ | $(18)$ | $71 \%$ | $(57)$ | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $19 \%$ | $(147)$ | $16 \%$ | $(125)$ | $64 \%$ | $(488)$ | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $18 \%$ | $(72)$ | $22 \%$ | $(87)$ | $60 \%$ | $(234)$ | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $18 \%$ | $(84)$ | $16 \%$ | $(72)$ | $66 \%$ | $(305)$ | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | $17 \%$ | $(136)$ | $19 \%$ | $(156)$ | $64 \%$ | $(530)$ | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | $20 \%$ | $(103)$ | $14 \%$ | $(73)$ | $66 \%$ | $(344)$ | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX4_8: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues? Climate change

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^65]Table LX4_8: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues? Climate change

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $22 \%$ | (493) | 9\% | (199) | 69\% | (1505) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: White | $21 \%$ | (358) | 10\% | (164) | 70\% | (1197) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 28\% | (98) | 6\% | (21) | 66\% | (230) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 33\% | (91) | 9\% | (24) | 58\% | (159) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 22\% | (44) | 5\% | (11) | 73\% | (149) | 204 |
| All Christian | $22 \%$ | (233) | 10\% | (106) | 68\% | (723) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | $31 \%$ | (29) | 8\% | (7) | 61\% | (56) | 92 |
| Atheist | 27\% | (27) | 12\% | (12) | 62\% | (62) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 22\% | (204) | 8\% | (74) | 70\% | (664) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | $33 \%$ | (39) | 9\% | (11) | 58\% | (69) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 18\% | (106) | 12\% | (73) | 70\% | (410) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | $22 \%$ | (178) | 8\% | (68) | 70\% | (569) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 27\% | (153) | 10\% | (58) | 63\% | (364) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 24\% | (242) | 9\% | (89) | 67\% | (681) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 16\% | (98) | 9\% | (52) | 75\% | (461) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 23\% | (161) | 9\% | (60) | 68\% | (477) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 27\% | (37) | 13\% | (18) | 60\% | (83) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 29\% | (57) | 8\% | (15) | 64\% | (128) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 15\% | (25) | 7\% | (11) | 78\% | (129) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 20\% | (96) | 9\% | (45) | 71\% | (346) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 23\% | (58) | 14\% | (34) | 63\% | (158) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 24\% | (37) | 9\% | (13) | 68\% | (106) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 23\% | (85) | 10\% | (36) | 68\% | (253) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | $22 \%$ | (408) | 9\% | (163) | 69\% | (1253) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 14\% | (116) | 14\% | (119) | 73\% | (622) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 28\% | (376) | 6\% | (79) | 66\% | (883) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 15\% | (132) | 14\% | (123) | 72\% | (657) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 30\% | (354) | 6\% | (69) | 64\% | (742) | 1165 |
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Table LX4_8: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues? Climate change

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_8: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues? Climate change

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Adults | $22 \%$ | $(493)$ | $9 \%$ | $(199)$ | $69 \%$ | $(1505)$ | 2197 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $30 \%$ | $(235)$ | $8 \%$ | $(61)$ | $63 \%$ | $(497)$ | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $14 \%$ | $(77)$ | $11 \%$ | $(62)$ | $75 \%$ | $(422)$ | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | $19 \%$ | $(15)$ | $8 \%$ | $(7)$ | $73 \%$ | $(59)$ | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $22 \%$ | $(165)$ | $9 \%$ | $(68)$ | $69 \%$ | $(526)$ | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $25 \%$ | $(99)$ | $10 \%$ | $(38)$ | $65 \%$ | $(256)$ | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $18 \%$ | $(82)$ | $8 \%$ | $(37)$ | $74 \%$ | $(342)$ | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | $22 \%$ | $(181)$ | $8 \%$ | $(70)$ | $70 \%$ | $(572)$ | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | $25 \%$ | $(131)$ | $10 \%$ | $(54)$ | $64 \%$ | $(335)$ | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX4_9: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Jobs and the economy

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (290) | 19\% | (421) | 68\% | (1486) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (102) | 24\% | (257) | 66\% | (701) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 17\% | (188) | 14\% | (164) | 69\% | (785) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 23\% | (102) | 12\% | (55) | 65\% | (293) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 14\% | (77) | 18\% | (103) | 68\% | (381) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 7\% | (22) | 24\% | (79) | 69\% | (228) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 11\% | (45) | 20\% | (86) | 69\% | (291) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | 10\% | (44) | 23\% | (99) | 67\% | (293) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 21\% | (44) | 16\% | (32) | 63\% | (130) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 19\% | (109) | 13\% | (74) | 68\% | (395) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 9\% | (48) | 23\% | (130) | 68\% | (378) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 11\% | (80) | 20\% | (153) | 69\% | (522) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 22\% | (162) | 13\% | (94) | 66\% | (494) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 11\% | (83) | 15\% | (115) | 73\% | (547) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (45) | 30\% | (212) | 63\% | (445) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 16\% | (57) | 15\% | (53) | 69\% | (246) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 27\% | (105) | 10\% | (41) | 63\% | (247) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (22) | 22\% | (76) | 72\% | (247) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 15\% | (61) | 10\% | (39) | 75\% | (300) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (23) | 36\% | (128) | 58\% | (208) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 6\% | (22) | 25\% | (84) | 69\% | (237) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 21\% | (124) | 13\% | (75) | 67\% | (398) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 14\% | (82) | 15\% | (89) | $71 \%$ | (418) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (36) | $31 \%$ | (230) | 64\% | (465) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (209) | 19\% | (291) | 67\% | (1011) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 10\% | (46) | 20\% | (89) | 70\% | (308) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 14\% | (35) | 17\% | (42) | 69\% | (167) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 14\% | (166) | 19\% | (216) | 67\% | (777) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 11\% | (78) | 19\% | (137) | 69\% | (489) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | 14\% | (46) | 21\% | (69) | 66\% | (220) | 335 |

[^66]Table LX4_9: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Jobs and the economy

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (290) | 19\% | (421) | 68\% | (1486) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: White | $11 \%$ | (184) | 21\% | (359) | 68\% | (1176) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 20\% | (71) | 9\% | (32) | 70\% | (245) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 28\% | (76) | 12\% | (33) | 60\% | (165) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (29) | 15\% | (30) | $71 \%$ | (145) | 204 |
| All Christian | 9\% | (92) | 23\% | (242) | 69\% | (728) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 13\% | (12) | 19\% | (17) | 69\% | (63) | 92 |
| Atheist | $21 \%$ | (21) | 19\% | (19) | 60\% | (60) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (165) | 15\% | (143) | 67\% | (634) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 12\% | (14) | 23\% | (27) | 65\% | (78) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 14\% | (81) | 23\% | (136) | 63\% | (371) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (63) | 21\% | (168) | 72\% | (585) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 19\% | (108) | 16\% | (92) | 65\% | (375) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 12\% | (125) | 20\% | (204) | 68\% | (683) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 9\% | (57) | 21\% | (126) | 70\% | (428) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 13\% | (90) | 19\% | (131) | 68\% | (477) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 12\% | (17) | 17\% | (23) | 71\% | (98) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 20\% | (40) | 21\% | (41) | 59\% | (118) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 13\% | (21) | 13\% | (21) | 75\% | (123) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 10\% | (49) | 24\% | (117) | 66\% | (321) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 14\% | (35) | 19\% | (47) | 67\% | (168) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 14\% | (21) | 17\% | (26) | 70\% | (108) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 12\% | (44) | 25\% | (95) | 63\% | (235) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 13\% | (246) | 18\% | (327) | 69\% | (1251) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 7\% | (62) | 29\% | (246) | 64\% | (550) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 17\% | (228) | 13\% | (175) | 70\% | (936) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 6\% | (51) | 30\% | (278) | 64\% | (584) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 20\% | (230) | 12\% | (137) | 68\% | (798) | 1165 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_9: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Jobs and the economy

| Demographic | Female candidates are better |  | Male candidates are better |  | Male and female candidates are the same |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (290) | 19\% | (421) | 68\% | (1486) | 2197 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 4\% | (23) | $33 \%$ | (176) | 63\% | (333) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (28) | 27\% | (101) | 66\% | (251) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 14\% | (33) | 20\% | (45) | 66\% | (153) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $21 \%$ | (197) | 10\% | (92) | 69\% | (645) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (43) | 32\% | (277) | 64\% | (559) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (229) | 12\% | (137) | 69\% | (810) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (32) | 33\% | (184) | 61\% | (336) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 3\% | (11) | 28\% | (93) | 68\% | (223) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (17) | 20\% | (39) | $71 \%$ | (140) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 22\% | (211) | 10\% | (98) | 68\% | (670) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 11\% | (58) | 18\% | (99) | $71 \%$ | (388) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 6\% | (24) | 32\% | (139) | 62\% | (266) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 14\% | (50) | 18\% | (64) | 68\% | (245) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 17\% | (56) | 17\% | (55) | 66\% | (216) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 25\% | (33) | 4\% | (6) | 71\% | (95) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 11\% | (14) | 19\% | (23) | 69\% | (84) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 20\% | (29) | 9\% | (13) | 71\% | (103) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 19\% | (26) | 16\% | (22) | 65\% | (89) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 21\% | (154) | 10\% | (78) | 69\% | (514) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (35) | 30\% | (197) | 64\% | (421) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 9\% | (8) | 17\% | (16) | 74\% | (69) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 22\% | (144) | 10\% | (64) | 69\% | (460) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (33) | 31\% | (218) | 64\% | (452) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (13) | 12\% | (22) | 81\% | (153) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (99) | 18\% | (115) | 66\% | (420) | 634 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 13\% | (170) | 20\% | (256) | 67\% | (875) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 13\% | (120) | 18\% | (165) | 68\% | (611) | 896 |

Continued on next page

Table LX4_9: Generally speaking, do you believe male candidates running for office or female candidates running for office are better on each of the following issues?
Jobs and the economy

| Demographic | Female candidates are <br> better |  | Male candidates are <br> better | Male and female <br> candidates are the same | Total N |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $13 \%$ | $(290)$ | $19 \%$ | $(421)$ | $68 \%$ | $(1486)$ | 2197 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $19 \%$ | $(154)$ | $12 \%$ | $(98)$ | $68 \%$ | $(542)$ | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $3 \%$ | $(19)$ | $31 \%$ | $(172)$ | $66 \%$ | $(370)$ | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | $2 \%$ | $(2)$ | $19 \%$ | $(15)$ | $79 \%$ | $(64)$ | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $15 \%$ | $(115)$ | $18 \%$ | $(136)$ | $67 \%$ | $(508)$ | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $14 \%$ | $(53)$ | $19 \%$ | $(75)$ | $67 \%$ | $(265)$ | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $11 \%$ | $(53)$ | $21 \%$ | $(96)$ | $68 \%$ | $(313)$ | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | $13 \%$ | $(104)$ | $20 \%$ | $(163)$ | $67 \%$ | $(555)$ | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | $15 \%$ | $(80)$ | $17 \%$ | $(87)$ | $68 \%$ | $(353)$ | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX5: Even if neither is exactly correct, which of the following comes closer to your opinion?

|  | Female politicians tend to be <br> better at collaborating with <br> others on legislation | Female politicians tend to be <br> better at executing on <br> legislation | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Continued on next page

Table LX5: Even if neither is exactly correct, which of the following comes closer to your opinion?

| Demographic | Female politicians tend to be better at collaborating with others on legislation |  | Female po better | icians tend to be executing on islation | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 75\% | (1653) | 25\% | (544) | 2197 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 65\% | (226) | 35\% | (123) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | $61 \%$ | (167) | 39\% | (107) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 64\% | (131) | 36\% | (73) | 204 |
| All Christian | 77\% | (819) | 23\% | (243) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 71\% | (66) | 29\% | (26) | 92 |
| Atheist | 77\% | (78) | 23\% | (23) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 73\% | (691) | 27\% | (252) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 69\% | (83) | $31 \%$ | (37) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 75\% | (442) | 25\% | (147) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 77\% | (630) | 23\% | (186) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 73\% | (418) | 27\% | (158) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 76\% | (768) | 24\% | (244) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 77\% | (467) | $23 \%$ | (143) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 78\% | (543) | $22 \%$ | (155) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 70\% | (97) | 30\% | (41) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 71\% | (141) | 29\% | (59) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 67\% | (110) | 33\% | (54) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 80\% | (389) | 20\% | (98) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 73\% | (183) | 27\% | (68) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 68\% | (107) | $32 \%$ | (49) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 73\% | (273) | 27\% | (101) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 76\% | (1380) | 24\% | (444) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 78\% | (666) | $22 \%$ | (192) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 74\% | (987) | 26\% | (352) | 1339 |
| Trump Job Approve | 77\% | (700) | 23\% | (213) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 75\% | (869) | 25\% | (296) | 1165 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 78\% | (413) | $22 \%$ | (120) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 75\% | (287) | 25\% | (94) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 73\% | (169) | 27\% | (62) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 75\% | (700) | 25\% | (234) | 934 |

Table LX5: Even if neither is exactly correct, which of the following comes closer to your opinion?

| Demographic | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Female politicians tend to be } \\ \text { better at collaborating with } \\ \text { others on legislation }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Female politicians tend to be } \\ \text { better at executing on } \\ \text { legislation }\end{array}$ | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$]$|  |
| :--- |
| Adults |
| Favorable of Trump |
| Unfavorable of Trump |
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Table LX5: Even if neither is exactly correct, which of the following comes closer to your opinion?

| Demographic | Female politicians tend to be <br> better at collaborating with <br> others on legislation | Female politicians tend to be <br> better at executing on <br> legislation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $75 \%$ | $(1653)$ | $25 \%$ | $(544)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $74 \%$ | $(291)$ | $26 \%$ | $(102)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $80 \%$ | $(368)$ | $20 \%$ | $(94)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $75 \%$ | $(616)$ | $25 \%$ | $(207)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $73 \%$ | $(378)$ | $27 \%$ | $(141)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX6_1: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Media coverage of female candidates

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a barrier for women running for office |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (481) | 32\% | (695) | 46\% | (1021) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 19\% | (204) | 31\% | (323) | 50\% | (533) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 24\% | (276) | $33 \%$ | (372) | 43\% | (488) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 32\% | (142) | 31\% | (142) | 37\% | (166) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 23\% | (132) | 32\% | (181) | 44\% | (248) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 19\% | (64) | 28\% | (93) | 52\% | (172) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 18\% | (74) | 32\% | (137) | 50\% | (211) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | 16\% | (68) | 33\% | (143) | $51 \%$ | (224) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | $31 \%$ | (65) | 35\% | (72) | 34\% | (69) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 27\% | (154) | $31 \%$ | (179) | 42\% | (245) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 21\% | (119) | 30\% | (164) | 49\% | (272) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 17\% | (131) | $33 \%$ | (248) | 50\% | (377) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 30\% | (222) | 34\% | (252) | 37\% | (275) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 20\% | (151) | $32 \%$ | (238) | 48\% | (357) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 15\% | (107) | 29\% | (206) | 55\% | (390) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 27\% | (95) | 37\% | (131) | 37\% | (130) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 32\% | (127) | $31 \%$ | (121) | 37\% | (144) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 16\% | (57) | 30\% | (104) | 53\% | (184) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 24\% | (95) | 33\% | (134) | 43\% | (173) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 15\% | (53) | 25\% | (89) | 61\% | (218) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 16\% | (55) | 34\% | (117) | 50\% | (171) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 35\% | (212) | $34 \%$ | (205) | 30\% | (181) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 20\% | (116) | 34\% | (203) | 46\% | (269) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 13\% | (92) | 27\% | (197) | 61\% | (443) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 21\% | (312) | 31\% | (474) | 48\% | (724) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 24\% | (106) | $33 \%$ | (148) | 43\% | (190) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 26\% | (62) | 30\% | (74) | 44\% | (108) | 244 |
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Table LX6_1: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Media coverage of female candidates

| Demographic | Major barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Minor barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Not a barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |

[^67]Table LX6_1: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Media coverage of female candidates

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a barrier for women running for office |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (481) | $32 \%$ | (695) | 46\% | (1021) | 2197 |
| Trump Job Approve | 15\% | (137) | 29\% | (265) | 56\% | (510) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 29\% | (335) | 34\% | (396) | 37\% | (434) | 1165 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 15\% | (78) | 26\% | (140) | 59\% | (314) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 16\% | (59) | 33\% | (125) | 52\% | (196) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 19\% | (45) | 38\% | (88) | 43\% | (99) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 31\% | (290) | 33\% | (308) | 36\% | (335) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (118) | 28\% | (246) | 59\% | (514) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 29\% | (346) | 35\% | (412) | 35\% | (417) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (80) | 25\% | (136) | 61\% | (336) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (38) | 34\% | (110) | 55\% | (179) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | $21 \%$ | (41) | 38\% | (73) | 42\% | (81) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | $31 \%$ | (305) | 35\% | (338) | 34\% | (336) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 22\% | (117) | 32\% | (176) | 46\% | (251) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 14\% | (62) | 28\% | (121) | 57\% | (246) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 27\% | (97) | $31 \%$ | (112) | 42\% | (150) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 16\% | (52) | 39\% | (128) | 45\% | (147) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 37\% | (49) | 25\% | (33) | 38\% | (52) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 26\% | (32) | 32\% | (38) | 42\% | (51) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 27\% | (39) | 35\% | (50) | 39\% | (56) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 24\% | (32) | 27\% | (36) | 50\% | (69) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 30\% | (223) | 35\% | (264) | 35\% | (259) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 14\% | (90) | 28\% | (185) | 58\% | (378) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 25\% | (23) | 22\% | (20) | 54\% | (50) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 29\% | (194) | 36\% | (243) | 35\% | (231) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 14\% | (96) | 29\% | (206) | 57\% | (402) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 20\% | (38) | $31 \%$ | (59) | 49\% | (91) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 24\% | (152) | 30\% | (187) | 47\% | (295) | 634 |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_1: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Media coverage of female candidates

| Demographic | Major barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Minor barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Not a barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX6_2: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Networking

| Demographic | Major barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Minor barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Not a barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_2: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Networking

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a barrier for women running for office |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (333) | 31\% | (687) | 54\% | (1178) | 2197 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (174) | 30\% | (351) | 55\% | (633) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 13\% | (91) | $33 \%$ | (235) | 54\% | (377) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | 20\% | (67) | 30\% | (100) | 50\% | (167) | 335 |
| Ethnicity: White | 14\% | (234) | 31\% | (539) | 55\% | (947) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 20\% | (70) | 34\% | (119) | 46\% | (160) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 23\% | (62) | 27\% | (75) | 50\% | (136) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 18\% | (37) | 36\% | (73) | 46\% | (94) | 204 |
| All Christian | 12\% | (125) | $33 \%$ | (346) | 56\% | (591) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 13\% | (12) | 33\% | (31) | 53\% | (49) | 92 |
| Atheist | 25\% | (25) | 27\% | (27) | 48\% | (48) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (170) | 30\% | (283) | $52 \%$ | (489) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 12\% | (15) | 37\% | (45) | 50\% | (60) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (89) | 29\% | (172) | 56\% | (328) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (101) | 33\% | (270) | 55\% | (446) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (96) | $33 \%$ | (191) | 50\% | (288) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (139) | $31 \%$ | (317) | 55\% | (554) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 16\% | (97) | 29\% | (178) | 55\% | (335) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 13\% | (93) | 34\% | (234) | 53\% | (371) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 11\% | (15) | 34\% | (47) | 55\% | (77) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 17\% | (33) | 36\% | (73) | 47\% | (94) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 10\% | (17) | 29\% | (47) | 61\% | (100) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 13\% | (64) | 29\% | (141) | 58\% | (282) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 20\% | (51) | 30\% | (74) | 50\% | (126) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 22\% | (35) | 25\% | (39) | 53\% | (82) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 16\% | (59) | 30\% | (112) | 54\% | (202) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 15\% | (273) | $32 \%$ | (575) | 53\% | (976) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 13\% | (115) | 30\% | (254) | 57\% | (489) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 16\% | (217) | $32 \%$ | (433) | $51 \%$ | (688) | 1339 |

[^68]Table LX6_2: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office? Networking

| Demographic | Major barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Minor barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Not a barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_2: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Networking

| Demographic | Major barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Minor barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Not a barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Total N |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX6_3: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Women are recruited less by party establishments

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a barrier for women running for office |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 35\% | (777) | $32 \%$ | (700) | 33\% | (719) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | $31 \%$ | (330) | 34\% | (360) | 35\% | (370) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 39\% | (447) | 30\% | (340) | $31 \%$ | (350) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 43\% | (192) | 28\% | (124) | 30\% | (134) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 36\% | (201) | 33\% | (184) | $31 \%$ | (176) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 32\% | (105) | 30\% | (98) | 38\% | (126) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 32\% | (137) | $31 \%$ | (130) | 37\% | (155) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | $33 \%$ | (143) | 38\% | (164) | 30\% | (129) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 47\% | (97) | 27\% | (55) | 26\% | (55) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 37\% | (213) | $31 \%$ | (179) | 32\% | (186) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 34\% | (188) | $31 \%$ | (173) | 35\% | (194) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | $33 \%$ | (247) | 34\% | (260) | 33\% | (249) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 45\% | (340) | $31 \%$ | (230) | 24\% | (179) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 34\% | (253) | $31 \%$ | (228) | 35\% | (264) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 26\% | (184) | 34\% | (242) | 39\% | (276) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 39\% | (139) | 34\% | (122) | 27\% | (95) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | $51 \%$ | (201) | 28\% | (108) | 21\% | (84) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | $31 \%$ | (107) | 33\% | (114) | 36\% | (124) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 37\% | (146) | 28\% | (114) | 35\% | (141) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 24\% | (85) | 35\% | (124) | 42\% | (150) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 29\% | (99) | 34\% | (118) | 37\% | (125) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | $51 \%$ | (305) | 32\% | (189) | 17\% | (104) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 34\% | (198) | $32 \%$ | (188) | 34\% | (202) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 26\% | (192) | $31 \%$ | (229) | 42\% | (311) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 34\% | (513) | $31 \%$ | (468) | 35\% | (530) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 38\% | (167) | 34\% | (152) | 28\% | (124) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 40\% | (97) | $33 \%$ | (81) | 27\% | (65) | 244 |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_3: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Women are recruited less by party establishments

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a barrier for women running for office |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 35\% | (777) | 32\% | (700) | 33\% | (719) | 2197 |
| Income: Under 50k | 34\% | (394) | 30\% | (349) | 36\% | (415) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 34\% | (242) | 35\% | (243) | $31 \%$ | (219) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | 42\% | (141) | 32\% | (108) | 26\% | (86) | 335 |
| Ethnicity: White | 34\% | (584) | 33\% | (571) | $33 \%$ | (564) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 42\% | (148) | 30\% | (106) | 27\% | (96) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 42\% | (116) | 26\% | (71) | $32 \%$ | (87) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 38\% | (77) | 29\% | (59) | 34\% | (68) | 204 |
| All Christian | 33\% | (348) | 34\% | (364) | 33\% | (350) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 43\% | (39) | 35\% | (32) | 22\% | (20) | 92 |
| Atheist | 41\% | (41) | 29\% | (30) | 30\% | (30) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 37\% | (349) | 29\% | (274) | 34\% | (319) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | $41 \%$ | (48) | 39\% | (46) | 21\% | (25) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 37\% | (216) | 29\% | (173) | 34\% | (199) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | $32 \%$ | (262) | 35\% | (285) | 33\% | (269) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 41\% | (236) | 30\% | (172) | 29\% | (167) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 34\% | (348) | 32\% | (328) | 33\% | (335) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | $32 \%$ | (194) | $33 \%$ | (200) | 35\% | (217) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 37\% | (258) | 31\% | (220) | 32\% | (220) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | $31 \%$ | (43) | $33 \%$ | (46) | 35\% | (49) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | $33 \%$ | (66) | 35\% | (70) | 32\% | (64) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | $31 \%$ | (51) | 33\% | (54) | 36\% | (60) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 32\% | (157) | 35\% | (173) | 32\% | (157) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 36\% | (89) | 31\% | (77) | 34\% | (85) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 39\% | (61) | 25\% | (39) | 36\% | (56) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 33\% | (123) | 32\% | (121) | 35\% | (129) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 36\% | (654) | 32\% | (579) | 32\% | (590) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 26\% | (221) | $32 \%$ | (273) | 42\% | (364) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 42\% | (556) | $32 \%$ | (428) | 27\% | (355) | 1339 |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_3: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office? Women are recruited less by party establishments

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a barrier for women running for office |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 35\% | (777) | 32\% | (700) | $33 \%$ | (719) | 2197 |
| Trump Job Approve | 26\% | (240) | 32\% | (296) | $41 \%$ | (377) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 44\% | (507) | 32\% | (370) | 25\% | (287) | 1165 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 25\% | (133) | 30\% | (160) | 45\% | (238) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 28\% | (106) | 36\% | (136) | 36\% | (139) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 28\% | (65) | 44\% | (102) | 28\% | (64) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 47\% | (442) | 29\% | (268) | 24\% | (223) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 25\% | (220) | 32\% | (281) | 43\% | (378) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 45\% | (530) | 32\% | (377) | 23\% | (269) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 25\% | (136) | 29\% | (162) | 46\% | (254) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 26\% | (84) | 36\% | (119) | 38\% | (124) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 34\% | (67) | 37\% | (72) | 29\% | (57) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 47\% | (463) | $31 \%$ | (305) | 22\% | (213) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 34\% | (187) | 32\% | (172) | 34\% | (186) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 26\% | (113) | 33\% | (143) | 40\% | (174) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 39\% | (139) | 37\% | (131) | 25\% | (88) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 37\% | (122) | 33\% | (108) | 30\% | (98) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 45\% | (60) | 23\% | (31) | 33\% | (44) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 35\% | (42) | 27\% | (33) | 38\% | (46) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 45\% | (65) | 28\% | (41) | 26\% | (38) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 36\% | (50) | 30\% | (42) | 34\% | (46) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 45\% | (336) | 33\% | (246) | 22\% | (164) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 25\% | (160) | 33\% | (215) | 42\% | (277) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 43\% | (40) | 23\% | (21) | 34\% | (32) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 44\% | (294) | 33\% | (218) | 23\% | (156) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 26\% | (183) | 33\% | (231) | 41\% | (289) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 39\% | (73) | 31\% | (58) | $31 \%$ | (58) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | $36 \%$ | (227) | $31 \%$ | (194) | $34 \%$ | (213) | 634 |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_3: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Women are recruited less by party establishments

| Demographic | Major barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Minor barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Not a barrier for <br> women running for <br> office |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $35 \%$ | $(777)$ | $32 \%$ | $(700)$ | $(719)$ |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $36 \%$ | $(465)$ | $32 \%$ | $(414)$ | $33 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $35 \%$ | $(312)$ | $32 \%$ | $(287)$ | $32)$ |  |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $43 \%$ | $(344)$ | $30 \%$ | $(240)$ | $33 \%$ | $(297)$ |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $26 \%$ | $(146)$ | $33 \%$ | $(186)$ | $26 \%$ | $(210)$ |
| 2012 Vote: Other | $31 \%$ | $(25)$ | $38 \%$ | $(30)$ | $41 \%$ | $(229)$ |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $34 \%$ | $(261)$ | $32 \%$ | $(243)$ | $31 \%$ | $(25)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $31 \%$ | $(123)$ | $37 \%$ | $(144)$ | $34 \%$ | $(255)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $33 \%$ | $(150)$ | $36 \%$ | $(168)$ | $32 \%$ | $(126)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $38 \%$ | $(314)$ | $28 \%$ | $(232)$ | $31 \%$ | $(144)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $37 \%$ | $(190)$ | $30 \%$ | $(157)$ | $34 \%$ | $(277)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX6_4: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Belief that the country isn't ready for a female president

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a barrier for women running for office |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 39\% | (846) | 28\% | (615) | 34\% | (736) | 2197 |
| Gender: Male | 35\% | (370) | 29\% | (306) | 36\% | (385) | 1060 |
| Gender: Female | 42\% | (477) | 27\% | (309) | $31 \%$ | (351) | 1137 |
| Age: 18-29 | 50\% | (225) | 21\% | (97) | 29\% | (129) | 450 |
| Age: 30-44 | 38\% | (214) | 29\% | (163) | 33\% | (183) | 561 |
| Age: 45-54 | 38\% | (125) | 25\% | (82) | 37\% | (121) | 328 |
| Age: 55-64 | 33\% | (140) | 30\% | (127) | 37\% | (155) | 422 |
| Age: 65+ | 33\% | (142) | $33 \%$ | (146) | 34\% | (148) | 436 |
| Generation Z: 18-22 | 53\% | (111) | 21\% | (44) | 25\% | (52) | 207 |
| Millennial: Age 23-38 | 42\% | (241) | 25\% | (144) | 33\% | (193) | 578 |
| Generation X: Age 39-54 | 38\% | (214) | 28\% | (153) | 34\% | (188) | 555 |
| Boomers: Age 55-73 | 32\% | (242) | 32\% | (239) | 36\% | (275) | 756 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 49\% | (366) | 26\% | (197) | 25\% | (187) | 749 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 35\% | (261) | 27\% | (204) | 38\% | (281) | 746 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | $31 \%$ | (220) | 30\% | (214) | 38\% | (268) | 702 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 43\% | (154) | 30\% | (108) | 26\% | (94) | 356 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 54\% | (211) | 23\% | (89) | 24\% | (93) | 393 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | $33 \%$ | (115) | 26\% | (89) | 41\% | (141) | 345 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 36\% | (145) | 29\% | (115) | 35\% | (140) | 401 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 28\% | (100) | 30\% | (109) | 42\% | (150) | 359 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 35\% | (120) | $31 \%$ | (105) | $34 \%$ | (118) | 343 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 56\% | (336) | 25\% | (149) | 19\% | (112) | 597 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 37\% | (215) | 29\% | (169) | 35\% | (204) | 588 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 28\% | (201) | 30\% | (216) | 43\% | (314) | 731 |
| Educ: < College | 38\% | (580) | 27\% | (401) | 35\% | (529) | 1510 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 39\% | (171) | 32\% | (140) | 30\% | (132) | 443 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 39\% | (95) | 30\% | (73) | $31 \%$ | (75) | 244 |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_4: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Belief that the country isn't ready for a female president

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a barrier for women running for office |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 39\% | (846) | 28\% | (615) | 34\% | (736) | 2197 |
| Income: Under 50k | 40\% | (459) | 25\% | (287) | 36\% | (412) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 36\% | (250) | 32\% | (224) | 33\% | (229) | 703 |
| Income: 100k+ | 41\% | (137) | $31 \%$ | (103) | 28\% | (94) | 335 |
| Ethnicity: White | 37\% | (631) | 29\% | (503) | $34 \%$ | (585) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 47\% | (166) | 24\% | (85) | 28\% | (99) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 45\% | (122) | 25\% | (68) | 31\% | (84) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 46\% | (93) | 21\% | (43) | $33 \%$ | (67) | 204 |
| All Christian | 36\% | (385) | 30\% | (318) | 34\% | (358) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 52\% | (48) | 26\% | (24) | 22\% | (20) | 92 |
| Atheist | 43\% | (43) | 26\% | (26) | 31\% | (31) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 39\% | (369) | 26\% | (246) | 35\% | (327) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 53\% | (64) | 24\% | (29) | 22\% | (27) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 35\% | (209) | 29\% | (172) | 35\% | (208) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 38\% | (307) | 28\% | (229) | 34\% | (280) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 44\% | (253) | 24\% | (139) | 32\% | (183) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 37\% | (379) | 31\% | (313) | 32\% | (320) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 35\% | (215) | 27\% | (162) | 38\% | (233) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 40\% | (281) | 29\% | (205) | 30\% | (212) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 45\% | (62) | 20\% | (27) | 36\% | (49) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 37\% | (74) | 31\% | (61) | 32\% | (65) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 33\% | (55) | 35\% | (58) | 32\% | (52) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | $31 \%$ | (151) | $31 \%$ | (151) | 38\% | (185) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 39\% | (97) | 23\% | (59) | 38\% | (95) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 40\% | (63) | 26\% | (40) | 34\% | (53) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 37\% | (137) | 29\% | (108) | 34\% | (129) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 39\% | (710) | 28\% | (507) | 33\% | (607) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 30\% | (254) | 27\% | (232) | 43\% | (372) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 44\% | (592) | 29\% | (382) | 27\% | (364) | 1339 |

[^69]Table LX6_4: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Belief that the country isn't ready for a female president

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a barrier for women running for office |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 39\% | (846) | 28\% | (615) | 34\% | (736) | 2197 |
| Trump Job Approve | 31\% | (285) | 27\% | (249) | 42\% | (379) | 913 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 46\% | (541) | 29\% | (333) | 25\% | (291) | 1165 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 29\% | (154) | 26\% | (137) | 45\% | (241) | 532 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 34\% | (130) | 29\% | (112) | 36\% | (138) | 380 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | $34 \%$ | (80) | 41\% | (94) | 25\% | (57) | 231 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 49\% | (461) | 26\% | (239) | 25\% | (234) | 934 |
| Favorable of Trump | 29\% | (256) | 27\% | (240) | 44\% | (382) | 879 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 48\% | (566) | 28\% | (329) | 24\% | (280) | 1176 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 28\% | (152) | 26\% | (146) | 46\% | (254) | 552 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 32\% | (104) | 29\% | (94) | 39\% | (128) | 327 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 38\% | (74) | 37\% | (72) | 25\% | (49) | 196 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 50\% | (492) | 26\% | (257) | 24\% | (231) | 980 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 39\% | (210) | 30\% | (161) | 32\% | (174) | 545 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 31\% | (133) | 29\% | (122) | 40\% | (173) | 429 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 43\% | (156) | 30\% | (108) | 27\% | (95) | 359 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 37\% | (121) | 30\% | (99) | 33\% | (108) | 327 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 45\% | (61) | 18\% | (24) | 36\% | (49) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 41\% | (49) | 25\% | (30) | 34\% | (41) | 121 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 48\% | (70) | 21\% | (31) | $31 \%$ | (44) | 145 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | $34 \%$ | (47) | 29\% | (39) | 37\% | (51) | 137 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 48\% | (360) | 29\% | (216) | 23\% | (170) | 746 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 28\% | (183) | 27\% | (179) | 45\% | (291) | 653 |
| 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 38\% | (35) | 24\% | (22) | 38\% | (35) | 93 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 48\% | (317) | 28\% | (185) | 25\% | (165) | 668 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 29\% | (202) | 29\% | (203) | 42\% | (298) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 35\% | (66) | 30\% | (57) | 35\% | (65) | 188 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 41\% | (260) | 27\% | (169) | $32 \%$ | (205) | 634 |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_4: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Belief that the country isn't ready for a female president

| Demographic | Major barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Minor barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Not a barrier for <br> women running for <br> office |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $39 \%$ | $(846)$ | $28 \%$ | $(615)$ | $(736)$ |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $36 \%$ | $(473)$ | $28 \%$ | $(370)$ | $34 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $42 \%$ | $(374)$ | $27 \%$ | $(245)$ | $35)$ |  |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | $46 \%$ | $(363)$ | $27 \%$ | $(213)$ | $31 \%$ | $(278)$ |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | $27 \%$ | $(151)$ | $30 \%$ | $(170)$ | $27 \%$ | $(218)$ |
| 2012 Vote: Other | $27 \%$ | $(22)$ | $31 \%$ | $(25)$ | $43 \%$ | $(241)$ |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | $41 \%$ | $(309)$ | $27 \%$ | $(207)$ | $42 \%$ | $(34)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $39 \%$ | $(152)$ | $30 \%$ | $(117)$ | $32 \%$ | $(243)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $37 \%$ | $(169)$ | $27 \%$ | $(125)$ | $32 \%$ | $(124)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $38 \%$ | $(313)$ | $30 \%$ | $(246)$ | $36 \%$ | $(168)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $41 \%$ | $(213)$ | $24 \%$ | $(127)$ | $32 \%$ | $(265)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table LX6_5: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Family responsibilities

|  | Major barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Minor barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Not a barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_5: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Family responsibilities

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a barrier for women running for office |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (494) | 34\% | (758) | 43\% | (946) | 2197 |
| Income: Under 50k | 23\% | (261) | $33 \%$ | (386) | 44\% | (511) | 1158 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 23\% | (162) | 35\% | (249) | 42\% | (293) | 703 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 21\% | (71) | 37\% | (123) | 42\% | (141) | 335 |
| Ethnicity: White | 21\% | (365) | 35\% | (603) | 44\% | (751) | 1719 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 23\% | (79) | 39\% | (135) | 39\% | (134) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 31\% | (86) | 29\% | (78) | 40\% | (110) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 21\% | (44) | 37\% | (76) | 41\% | (84) | 204 |
| All Christian | 23\% | (243) | 37\% | (389) | 41\% | (431) | 1062 |
| All Non-Christian | 22\% | (21) | 45\% | (41) | $33 \%$ | (30) | 92 |
| Atheist | 23\% | (23) | $32 \%$ | (33) | 44\% | (45) | 100 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 22\% | (207) | $31 \%$ | (295) | 47\% | (440) | 942 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 25\% | (29) | 44\% | (53) | $31 \%$ | (37) | 119 |
| Evangelical | 27\% | (159) | $33 \%$ | (192) | 40\% | (238) | 589 |
| Non-Evangelical | 20\% | (164) | 38\% | (306) | 42\% | (346) | 816 |
| Community: Urban | 23\% | (132) | $33 \%$ | (192) | 44\% | (251) | 575 |
| Community: Suburban | 22\% | (218) | 37\% | (371) | 42\% | (422) | 1011 |
| Community: Rural | 24\% | (144) | 32\% | (195) | 45\% | (272) | 611 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 22\% | (152) | 36\% | (250) | 42\% | (296) | 698 |
| Employ: Government | 28\% | (39) | 32\% | (44) | 40\% | (55) | 138 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 30\% | (60) | $33 \%$ | (65) | 38\% | (75) | 200 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 20\% | (33) | 35\% | (57) | 45\% | (74) | 164 |
| Employ: Retired | 21\% | (102) | 37\% | (181) | 42\% | (204) | 487 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 21\% | (53) | 31\% | (77) | 48\% | (121) | 250 |
| Employ: Other | 24\% | (37) | $33 \%$ | (51) | 44\% | (68) | 156 |
| Military HH: Yes | 23\% | (86) | $34 \%$ | (127) | 43\% | (160) | 373 |
| Military HH: No | 22\% | (408) | 35\% | (630) | 43\% | (786) | 1824 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 25\% | (211) | $33 \%$ | (279) | 43\% | (368) | 858 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 21\% | (282) | $36 \%$ | (479) | 43\% | (578) | 1339 |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_5: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Family responsibilities

| Demographic | Major barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Minor barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Not a barrier for <br> women running for <br> office | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Continued on next page

Table LX6_5: Some say that women run for elected office less often than men because they face inherent barriers. Do you believe each of the following is a major barrier, a minor barrier, or not a barrier for women when they are running for elected office?
Family responsibilities

| Demographic | Major barrier for women running for office |  | Minor barrier for women running for office |  | Not a women | arrier for unning for ffice | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (494) | 34\% | (758) | 43\% | (946) | 2197 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 23\% | (304) | 35\% | (454) | 42\% | (542) | 1301 |
| Voted in 2014: No | $21 \%$ | (190) | 34\% | (303) | 45\% | (403) | 896 |
| 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 24\% | (188) | 33\% | (263) | 43\% | (343) | 794 |
| 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 21\% | (120) | 38\% | (211) | 41\% | (230) | 561 |
| 2012 Vote: Other | 20\% | (16) | 40\% | (33) | 40\% | (33) | 81 |
| 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 22\% | (169) | $33 \%$ | (250) | 45\% | (339) | 759 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 22\% | (87) | 32\% | (127) | 46\% | (179) | 393 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $21 \%$ | (98) | 32\% | (147) | 47\% | (217) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 25\% | (205) | 35\% | (292) | 40\% | (326) | 823 |
| 4-Region: West | 20\% | (104) | 37\% | (192) | 43\% | (223) | 519 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

## Respondent Demographics Summary

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

| Demographic | Group | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| xdemAll | Adults | 2197 | 100\% |
| xdemGender | Gender: Male Gender: Female $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1060 \\ 1137 \\ 2197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & 52 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| age5 | Age: 18-29 <br> Age: 30-44 <br> Age: 45-54 <br> Age: 55-64 <br> Age: 65+ <br> $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 450 \\ 561 \\ 328 \\ 422 \\ 436 \\ 2197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ 26 \% \\ 15 \% \\ 19 \% \\ 20 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| demAgeGeneration | Generation Z: 18-22 <br> Millennial: Age 23-38 <br> Generation X: Age 39-54 <br> Boomers: Age 55-73 <br> N | $\begin{array}{r} 207 \\ 578 \\ 555 \\ 756 \\ 2095 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \% \\ 26 \% \\ 25 \% \\ 34 \% \end{array}$ |
| xpid3 | PID: Dem (no lean) <br> PID: Ind (no lean) <br> PID: Rep (no lean) <br> N | $\begin{array}{r} 749 \\ 746 \\ 702 \\ 2197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \% \\ & 34 \% \\ & 32 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| xpidGender | PID/Gender: Dem Men PID/Gender: Dem Women PID/Gender: Ind Men PID/Gender: Ind Women PID/Gender: Rep Men PID/Gender: Rep Women | $\begin{array}{r} 356 \\ 393 \\ 345 \\ 401 \\ 359 \\ 343 \\ 2197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & 18 \% \\ & 16 \% \\ & 18 \% \\ & 16 \% \\ & 16 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| xdemIdeo3 | Ideo: Liberal (1-3) <br> Ideo: Moderate (4) <br> Ideo: Conservative (5-7) <br> $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 597 \\ 588 \\ 731 \\ 1916 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \% \\ & 27 \% \\ & 33 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| xeduc3 | Educ: < College <br> Educ: Bachelors degree <br> Educ: Post-grad <br> $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1510 \\ 443 \\ 244 \\ 2197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \% \\ 20 \% \\ 11 \% \end{array}$ |

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

| Demographic | Group | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| xdemInc3 | Income: Under 50k Income: 50k-100k Income: 100k+ $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1158 \\ 703 \\ 335 \\ 2197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ 32 \% \\ 15 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| xdemWhite | Ethnicity: White | 1719 | 78\% |
| xdemHispBin | Ethnicity: Hispanic | 349 | 16\% |
| demBlackBin | Ethnicity: Afr. Am. | 274 | 12\% |
| demRaceOther | Ethnicity: Other | 204 | 9\% |
| xdemReligion | All Christian All Non-Christian Atheist Agnostic/Nothing in particular | $\begin{array}{r} 1062 \\ 92 \\ 100 \\ 942 \\ 2197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \% \\ 4 \% \\ 5 \% \\ 43 \% \end{array}$ |
| xdemReligOther | Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 119 | 5\% |
| xdemEvang | Evangelical Non-Evangelical $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 589 \\ 816 \\ 1405 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \% \\ & 37 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| xdemUsr | Community: Urban Community: Suburban Community: Rural | $\begin{array}{r} 575 \\ 1011 \\ 611 \\ 2197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & 46 \% \\ & 28 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| xdemEmploy | Employ: Private Sector <br> Employ: Government Employ: Self-Employed Employ: Homemaker Employ: Retired Employ: Unemployed Employ: Other | $\begin{array}{r} 698 \\ 138 \\ 200 \\ 164 \\ 487 \\ 250 \\ 156 \\ 2094 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \% \\ 6 \% \\ 9 \% \\ 7 \% \\ 22 \% \\ 11 \% \\ 7 \% \end{array}$ |
| xdemMilHH1 | Military HH: Yes Military HH: No $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 373 \\ 1824 \\ 2197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| xnr1 | RD/WT: Right Direction RD/WT: Wrong Track $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 858 \\ 1339 \\ 2197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ 61 \% \end{gathered}$ |

## Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

| Demographic | Group | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trump_Approve | Trump Job Approve | 913 | $42 \%$ |
|  | Trump Job Disapprove | 1165 | $53 \%$ |
| Trump_Approve2 | N | 2078 |  |
|  | Trump Job Strongly Approve | 532 | $24 \%$ |
|  | Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 380 | $17 \%$ |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 231 | $11 \%$ |  |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 934 | $42 \%$ |  |
| Trump_Fav | N | 2078 |  |
| Trump_Fav_FULL | Favorable of Trump | 879 | $40 \%$ |
|  | Unfavorable of Trump | 1176 | $54 \%$ |
|  |  | $N$ | 2055 |

Continued on next page

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

| Demographic | Group | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| xsubVote12O | 2012 Vote: Barack Obama | 794 | $36 \%$ |
|  | 2012 Vote: Mitt Romney | 561 | $26 \%$ |
|  | 2012 Vote: Other | 81 | $4 \%$ |
|  | 2012 Vote: Didn't Vote | 759 | $35 \%$ |
|  |  | $N$ | 2196 |
| xreg4 | 4-Region: Northeast | 393 | $18 \%$ |
|  | 4-Region: Midwest | 462 | $21 \%$ |
|  | 4-Region: South | 823 | $37 \%$ |
|  | 4-Region: West | 519 | $24 \%$ |
|  | $N$ | 2197 |  |

Note: Group proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. All statistics are calculated with demographic post-stratification weights applied.
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